

---

Subject: Re: CHECK macro

Posted by [exolon](#) on Fri, 27 Oct 2006 02:05:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Fri, 27 October 2006 02:41 It is similar to ASSERT, but expression gets evaluated even in release mode (therefore you do not lose desired side effects - e.g. you can use CHECK to test the return code of some routine. If you would do it with ASSERT, routine would not get called in release mode).

So it's a sort of design-by-contract "defensive programming" thing?

luzr wrote on Fri, 27 October 2006 02:41 I guess the simple thing to do is #undef CHECK before including your unit testing stuff.

Well, doing this caused a lot of errors since CHECK was redefined and some code in Core is trying to use it. It's not a big deal, I made a terrible sed script to do some of the replacements. And if, as you say, unit testing is a future consideration for U++ (a very good thing!!), I'll participate as much as my limited experience allows on that topic.

---