
Subject: Re: How to mark `std::array<T, N>` moveable if only T is moveable

Posted by [busiek](#) on Tue, 23 Jul 2024 16:25:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Thu, 18 July 2024 08:41Lance wrote on Wed, 03 July 2024 03:39Reminds me of some exploration I did with Moveable.

I vaguely recalled that when I tested a few years back, the way Moveable was designed were causing a compile time error with Windows/VSBUILD. I would also welcome a redesign of Moveable using more recent language facilities.

Suggestions? (But it needs to be backward compatible).

I was thinking about something like that:

```
#include <Core/Core.h>
```

```
#include <type_traits>
```

```
namespace Upp {
```

```
// First way of marking class moveable is to be an ancestor of NtlMoveableBase
```

```
template <class T> class NtlMoveableBase {};
```

```
// Second way is to specialize NtlMoveableClass
```

```
template <class T>
```

```
struct NtlMoveableClass
```

```
: std::integral_constant<bool,
```

```
    std::is_trivial_v<T>
```

```
|| std::is_base_of_v<Upp::NtlMoveableBase<T>, T>
```

```
// below is not needed if we make them derived from NtlMoveableBase
```

```
|| std::is_base_of_v<Upp::Moveable_<T>, T>
```

```
|| std::is_base_of_v<Upp::Moveable<T>, T>
```

```
|| std::is_base_of_v<Upp::MoveableAndDeepCopyOption<T>, T>> {};
```

```
// For instance mark std::array<T, N> moveable if only T is moveable
```

```
template <class T, size_t N>
```

```
struct NtlMoveableClass<std::array<T, N>>
```

```
: std::integral_constant<bool, NtlMoveableClass<T>::value> {};
```

```
// Helper for checking whether class is moveable
```

```
template <class T>
```

```
inline constexpr bool IsNtlMoveable = NtlMoveableClass<T>::value;
```

```
// Optional concept for c++20
```

```
template <class T>
```

```
concept NtlMoveable = IsNtlMoveable<T>;
```

```
}
```

```
using namespace Upp;
```

```

// use of concept
template <NtlMoveable T>
struct MyVector
{
    T *ptr;
    // Or use static_assert
    ~MyVector() { static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<T>); }
};

CONSOLE_APP_MAIN
{
    // Checking if given type is moveable
    static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<int>);
    static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<const void *>);
    static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<Vector<int>>);
    static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<std::array<int, 5>>);
    static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<std::array<Vector<int>, 5>>);
    static_assert(!IsNtlMoveable<Thread>);
}

```

You could redefine NTL_MOVEABLE macro as a specialization of NtlMoveableClass.
 But you need to change an assertion AssertMoveable((T*)0) to static_assert(IsNtlMoveable<T>).
 Are those ideas usable?
