
Subject: Re: 2024rc1

Posted by [Lance](#) on Mon, 21 Oct 2024 16:12:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Mon, 21 October 2024 08:45Lance wrote on Mon, 21 October 2024 13:01

A compiler that encounters a non-constexpr if-statement with a constexpr conditional may issue a warning advising you to use if constexpr instead. This will ensure that compile-time evaluation will occur (even if optimizations are disabled).

Well, thinking about it, I guess actually the real benefit for me would be something else: compiler issues an error if the expression you marked constexpr is not...

Agreed. Like "override", make a programmer's intention more explicit, and do, more important than but similar, compiler check when it doesn't going as claimed by the programmer.

Reminds me of a related case, where constexpr seems to be helpful or possibly necessary.

```
union Flags{
int32 dummy;
struct{
    byte borderLeft :3;
    byte borderRight :3;
    byte borderTop :3;
    byte borderBottom:3;
    byte halign :2;
    byte valign :2; //16th bit

    bool faceNotNull :1;
    bool boldNotNull :1;
    bool heightNotNull :1;
    bool widthNotNull :1;
    bool underlineNotNull:1;
    bool italicNotNull :1;
    bool strikeoutNotNull:1; //23rd bit
};
```

```
constexpr Flags() : dummy(0){ static_assert(sizeof(*this)==sizeof(dummy)); }
```

```
static constexpr int32 FontMask()
{
    Flags f;
    f.faceNotNull = true;
    f.boldNotNull = true;
    f.heightNotNull = true;
```

```
f.widthNotNull = true;
f.underlineNotNull = true;
f.italicNotNull = true;
f.strikeoutNotNull = true;
return f.dummy;
}
};
```

It's a somewhat contrived example. I am not sure ,for int32 FontMask(), if I don't it constexpr, will the code be compiled same as if I do. It's totally possible they do with todays smart and aggressive as crazy compiler optimization.
