Subject: Re: HTTPS?
Posted by mirek on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 20:22:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

rylek wrote on Fri, 01 December 2006 15:07Hi!

I have no problem with turning the socket opening functions into methods although I don't see much that's to be gained here. The internals of the socket implementation (i.e. the Socket::Data class interface) has to be exposed at least to the Web/SSL module anyway

Well, I consider it simply as Socket implementation divided into two packages...

Quote:
The only long-term result seems to me blocking the socket class against possible future extension to other socket-like data interchange channel accessors.

That is quite unlikely, but if that happens, we can do the same (add OpenClientFUTUREEXTENSION).

Anyway, the sole reason why I do not like the current state is: If socket has PIMPL exposed in interface, why Stream does not have the same design? If we add this to the Stream, why not Ctrl?

Mirek