Subject: Why glibc-2.4 and not glibc-2.3 is needed for U++ on Linux?
Posted by mikisha on Thu, 22 Feb 2007 11:48:22 GMT

Hi All,
Why glibc-2.4 and not glibc-2.3 is needed for U++ on Linux? I have checked that today only a few distros are packaged with glibc-2.4, for instance Ubuntu Edge. I would like to give U++ a try on Linux. I have been using Debian Etch for a long time but Etch lacks of glibc-2.4 and it has glibc-2.3.6 instead. I am satisfied with it and I do not want now to change it for Ubuntu Edge only for giving U++ a try.
So my question is: Is it possible somehow to run U++ on Linux distro with glibc-2.3? Of course I know I could run Ubuntu Edge from live dvd without installing (of course, I have to get it first) but I would like to run it on my Debian Etch.