Subject: Re: upp-portage Posted by mirek on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 20:52:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ebojd wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 13:09luzr wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 10:41No, sorry, what I wanted to say is that I believe that file should be called like

upp-portage-2007.1rc3.zip

and be placed in 2007.1rc3 group.

There should be no "upp-portage" group.

Mirek

Ah... OK. How about I name it using the gentoo conventions (which are very similar to UPP's). This would make it upp-portage-2007.1_beta1.zip. The only problem here is the versioning between upp and upp-portage might confuse a couple of people, but would likely be the best.

I tried to hide/remove the package and had problems... I'll have to take another look at it tonight after work.

EBo --

Well, we had beta1 before... My undestanding is that beta is version before "gold" release, supposed to be changed. "rc" is file that, if succesful, is just renamed (by deleting "rc" part) and then is final.

Anyway, the nameing convention of portage file is not that important IMHO. But it logically belongs to the group, no need to create a special group...

Mirek

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from U++ Forum