
Subject: Re: signature of the stou function
Posted by [rylek](#) on Sun, 05 Feb 2006 15:50:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Perhaps you're right. The main practical reason for the above declarations is to avoid the need to have two versions of the function with the 'endptr' argument of type `char **` and `const char **` (note that, unlike with `char *` and `const char *`, `char **` cannot be automatically converted to `const char **`). But perhaps it's better to sacrifice three more lines of code for the sake of clarity, so I'll discuss it with Mirek and I guess we'll agree on changing it.

Regards

Tomas
