
Subject: Re: GCC.bm problems
Posted by [ebojd](#) on Thu, 29 Mar 2007 12:54:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Wed, 28 March 2007 15:46

Well, I am simply not sure this is worth the effort. Having existing .bm, variations are minimal and usually you can have it fixed in minutes. I think it will change two or three times on each distribution max. Fixing Makefile generator to generate .bm would be take days. Fixing generated Makefiles would not solve a problem, as you would have to fix Makefile more often then to fix .bm.

Mirek

OK. My thought was that without it, it does not just "run out of the box". Once you have anything running, then I agree that the changes are trivial. The real problem comes for someone building u++ for the very first time, and has no clue why it is broken... When this happens to me I first ask myself why it does not work, then I ask myself if it is worth trying to figure out what went wrong, and lastly I ask myself if the basic install fails, then what else did they get wrong. U++ is a really great tool, and having an install break out of the box leaves the wrong impression IMHO.

EBo --