
Subject: Re: signature of the stou function
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 13:34:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I see your point, your arguments are correct, however I am not going to define (dword)Null as 0xffffffff - if there are any relations between Null-able types, Null should be < than all other values...

Mirek
