
Subject: Re: Upp 2007.1 released
Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Wed, 11 Apr 2007 17:51:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think maintaining list of patches is basically just as difficult as doing a branch in versioning system, actually probably even more prone to go wrong.

What about simply branching 2007.1 in svn and keep patches there?
Anything usefully can be merged later upstream into uvs for new release. And other people interested into bug fixes for known release bugs will be able to get patches from svn repository.

Doing a branch is couple of minutes, and the trunk may remain in the sync with uvs development version, just like now.

And anyone interested into stable release may take it from branch, with or without any patches.

Overall I think too many living versions are not a very good idea, it may be quite confusing in forums and support.

IMHO it's better to release stable version more often and fix bugs there, rather than having stable, stable+patched and development (especially with development version as far from stable as 2007.1 was from 605). Even if the price for this will be some minor bugs in every "stable" release. Those can be patched afterwards like now, or the affected user can wait for another release if it will be out soon enough.
