Subject: Re: PostgreSQL Support Classes [Experimental] Posted by mirek on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 08:37:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

unodgs wrote on Mon, 16 April 2007 04:22

And we should remember that we provide a COMMON way to define databse structure. In others databases serial not awlays means primary key (rather auto increment)

IMO, RDBMS are too different to provide single common .sch "syntax&semantics" for all of them.

## Quote:

I think we should solve the problem of multi-field primary key.

Does it work in upp?:

In Oracle, yes:

## Quote:

TABLE\_(O\_POLOZKA)

INT\_ (O\_POLOZKA\_SEQ) INDEX

INT (O DOKLAD SEQ) REFERENCES(O DOKLAD) INDEX

STRING (POPIS, 2000)

DOUBLE\_(CENAJ)

INT\_ (MNC)

INT (MNJ)

DUAL\_PRIMARY\_KEY(O\_POLOZKA\_SEQ, O\_DOKLAD\_SEQ)

**END TABLE** 

Obviously, not as sexy as simply puttin more "PRIMARY\_KEY" columns, OTOH at least Oracle SQL syntax is similar - you have to add it in single contraint, not as several "PRIMARY\_KEY" comlums.

Mirek