
Subject: Re: PostgreSQL Support Classes [Experimental]

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 08:37:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

unodgs wrote on Mon, 16 April 2007 04:22

And we should remember that we provide a COMMON way to define database structure. In others databases serial not always means primary key (rather auto increment)

IMO, RDBMS are too different to provide single common .sch "syntax&semantics" for all of them.

Quote:

I think we should solve the problem of multi-field primary key.

Does it work in upp?:

In Oracle, yes:

Quote:

```
TABLE_(O_POLOZKA)
INT_  (O_POLOZKA_SEQ)  INDEX
INT   (O_DOKLAD_SEQ)   REFERENCES(O_DOKLAD) INDEX
STRING (POPIS, 2000)
DOUBLE_ (CENAJ)
INT_  (MNC)
INT_  (MNJ)
DUAL_PRIMARY_KEY(O_POLOZKA_SEQ, O_DOKLAD_SEQ)
END_TABLE
```

Obviously, not as sexy as simply putting more "PRIMARY_KEY" columns, OTOH at least Oracle SQL syntax is similar - you have to add it in single constraint, not as several "PRIMARY_KEY" columns.

Mirek
