
Subject: Re: PostgreSQL Support Classes [Experimental]

Posted by [jibe](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 07:08:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi zsolc,

Thanks for your reply ! Maybe I worry only because I'm just discovering UPP, and have no experience with it !

To go back to the topic :

luzr wrote on Mon, 16 April 2007 20:37PRIMARY_KEYS("KEY1, KEY2, KEY3")

does not sound that bad...

Yes, it looks like very much what I use with Firebird, and is also common with some other databases...

unodgs wrote on Mon, 16 April 2007 22:42It looks fine to me! Maybe except S letter at the end suggessting multiple primary keys. But if you could add it to sql schema do it please!

Yes, I think also that this S is not so usefull... Why not

PRIMARY KEY ("UNIQUE_KEY")

or

PRIMARY KEY ("KEY1, KEY2, ...")

It seems logical, and I don't see any ambiguity ? But maybe I don't know enough yet about all consequences in upp...
