
Subject: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [luoganda](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2017 10:59:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

for c/c++ language usually set/get are needed for properties,
using public_read section or flag would be useful for
properties, so there are not unneeded code, eg:

```
class Class{
public:
    //accessed and modifiable by any
    int someProp;

    //this would be non-modifiable in outer usage
    public_read:
    int somePropX;

    //or
    int public_read somePropX;
    //or
    public_read int somePropX;
    //or something...
};
```

for compilers that would not implement this,
just "unsafe" public: would be used instead.

Subject: Re: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2017 08:21:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luoganda wrote on Tue, 12 December 2017 11:59for c/c++ language usually set/get are needed
for properties,
using public_read section or flag would be useful for
properties, so there are not unneeded code, eg:

```
class Class{
public:
    //accessed and modifiable by any
    int someProp;

    //this would be non-modifiable in outer usage
    public_read:
    int somePropX;

    //or
```

```
int public_read somePropX;  
//or  
public_read int somePropX;  
//or something...  
};
```

for compilers that would not implement this,
just "unsafe" public: would be used instead.

IDK, people are having these various ideas about properties, but I do not understand why. Is it really so hard to write () ?

Also, with (writable) properties, one can do things like

```
a.ink = White;  
a.font = Arial;
```

which IMO is really verbose and inconvenient compared to "U++ chained modifiers" style

```
a.Ink(White()).SetFont(Arial());
```

Subject: Re: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [luoganda](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2017 11:44:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bar::Item(orIt'sDerivates) is a good example why.
ToolButton is one such derivate.

There is no way to read properties, only Set... are there like Text(), but no Get(),
unless someone 1)subclasses it or 2)duplicate data in advance <=both is unneded

If this c++ flags were available, there would be no hokus pokus to
get those values now(noNeedToWrite Get...) and worying if both set/get is implemented,
because they would already be available by design.

+ you get direct access to it, no need to worry if
setter/getter is written as by val/ref ,like ...& Get(...) and Set(...&) to access it byRef

Subject: Re: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2017 12:54:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bar::Item is not really a good example: there are no member variables in it...

Subject: Re: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [luoganda](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2017 17:57:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah, i know,
post was re-edited a few moments later...

Subject: Re: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2017 18:06:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, but we are not in control of C++ standard anyway... :)

Subject: Re: c++ standard read-only property flag would be handy

Posted by [luoganda](#) on Fri, 15 Dec 2017 14:15:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah, i know that too...
