Subject: Critical issues to resolve for U++ 2018.1 - please suggest
Posted by mirek on Mon, 15 Jan 2018 12:41:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would like to increase the release frequency and right now, there are some nice additions and important fixes since the last release, so I would like to release within a month or so...

Right now I am not aware about any _critical_ issues that would have to be resolved right now. If you know about anything, please resond here.

Mirek

Subject: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by ren42 on Mon, 15 Jan 2018 21:00:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Mirek,

I tried to compile the reference/GLDrawDemo example but compiling fails:

/home/thomas/upp/uppsrc/GLDraw/GLDrawS.cpp (245):
error: non-constant-expression cannot be narrowed from type 'int' to 'GLshort' (aka 'short') in initializer list [-Wc++11-narrowing]

I was able to fix these errors with three changes in GLDrawS.cpp:

```
Line 244
// GLshort vertex[] = {
 GLint vertex[] = {
    rect.left, rect.top,
    rect.left, rect.bottom,
    rect.right, rect.bottom,
    rect.right, rect.top,
 };
Line 307
// GLshort vertex[] = {
 GLint vertex[] = {
    r.left, r.top,
    r.left, r.bottom,
    r.right, r.bottom,
    r.right, r.top,
 };
Line 373
// GLshort vertex[] = {
```

```
GLint vertex[] = {
    rect.left, rect.top,
    rect.left, rect.bottom,
    rect.right, rect.bottom,
    rect.right, rect.top,
};
```

With this changes it compiled and run but...
the window shows only a copy of the screen "behind" it
instead of rendering what this example want.

Is the type change from GLshort to GLint ok?
Or is there something wrong with OpenGL?

my System:OpenSuse Leap42.2 Upp2017.2 clang

Thanks in advance,
Thomas

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by Klugier on Mon, 15 Jan 2018 21:27:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I am aware that OpenGL implementation is not working as it should be on Linux. The first reason
is that our GTK backend doesn't support DHCtrl. Which is require for high performance OpenGL.
On the other hand our X11 implementation that worked previously for some reasons stops
working (Due to Mirek's patch to CtrlCore). And there is no obvious way how to fix it - I spent
some time try to fix it without success.

However, from Linux our goal should be developing DHCtrl for GTK and after that build OpenGL
ctrl. The X11 back-end has got lower priority for us. I believe for now we should only fix crashes -
nothing else.

Mirek fix GLDraw compilation at 9th January of 2018. It should be fixed in next release.

+Mirek
I prioritize some redmines ticket and set them with hight priority. Can you look at this and analyze
that should we fix it in 2018.1 (Service pack for 2017.1). Just sort "Issues" with priority.

Sincerely,
Klugier

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by cbpporter on Tue, 30 Jan 2018 14:41:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Here is a problem I'm having: sometimes, the apps that TheIDE launches for debugging remain hung up.

This is an ancient issue, at least 3 years old, but in the past it happened incredibly rarely, like single digit times/a year.

Now it happens between 2-3 times a week up to 5-6 times a day.

The executable becomes impossible to write to and I can't close it at all, even with End process tree from task manager. I used to have to restart Windows to fix it.

But now I found a solution. Close TheIDE, delete the file and restart TheIDE. The delete might be optional.

So TheIDE must be keeping my application "closed" but still loaded in memory.

Anybody else having this problem?

Maybe we should add a check to TheIDE: if it thinks that the application is closed, maybe it should go over the process tree and if the application is found, give a message? At least for debugging this hang up?

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by mirek on Tue, 30 Jan 2018 17:24:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cbpporter wrote on Tue, 30 January 2018 15:41Here is a problem I'm having: sometimes, the apps that TheIDE launches for debugging remain hung up.

This is an ancient issue, at least 3 years old, but in the past it happened incredibly rarely, like single digit times/a year.

Now it happens between 2-3 times a week up to 5-6 times a day.

The executable becomes impossible to write to and I can't close it at all, even with End process tree from task manager. I used to have to restart Windows to fix it.

But now I found a solution. Close TheIDE, delete the file and restart TheIDE. The delete might be optional.

So TheIDE must be keeping my application "closed" but still loaded in memory.

Anybody else having this problem?

Maybe we should add a check to TheIDE: if it thinks that the application is closed, maybe it should go over the process tree and if the application is found, give a message? At least for debugging this hang up?

a) this is not really related to opengl, right?

b) you should specify the host platform and compiler

Anyway, I suppose its Windows and MSC.

I have to say this is long term issue which I have problems with too - I think this happens when you start pdb debugger and then stop debugging (Shift+F5). Workaround is to restart theide (no need to delete the file).

The problem is likely that I have not figured out how to kill debugged process correctly in all cases. The critical part of code is in void Pdb::Stop(), it is really only a couple of lines, so maybe if anybody could look into it and fix this, it would be great.

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by cbpporter on Thu, 15 Feb 2018 12:20:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I looked over Pdb.Stop() and don't see why it would fail. It call CloseHandle on both process and thread handles.

The only idea I have is to check every single WinAPI call and MessageBox on a fail :).

Like I said, I know the bug is an old one, but right now it happens multiple times a day.

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by mirek on Fri, 16 Feb 2018 08:33:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cbpporter wrote on Thu, 15 February 2018 13:20I looked over Pdb.Stop() and don't see why it would fail. It call CloseHandle on both process and thread handles.

The only idea I have is to check every single WinAPI call and MessageBox on a fail :).

Good idea.

Anyway, thinking about it, maybe the order of calling these things is wrong. If you have a bit of

time, perhaps you can try to shuffle them a bit...

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by cbpporter on Fri, 16 Feb 2018 10:57:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sure. This issue is ridiculously annoying. Especially since I need more version of TheIDE running at once and once I have to close one because of this issue, I have to close them all because the re-opened one is in a different order on the task-bar and I have strong muscle memory and end up clicking on the wrong one.

So far TerminateProcess fails and also my PromptOK causes TheIDE to crash :).

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by mirek on Fri, 16 Feb 2018 11:24:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cbpporter wrote on Fri, 16 February 2018 11:57Sure. This issue is ridiculously annoying. Especially since I need more version of TheIDE running at once and once I have to close one because of this issue, I have to close them all because the re-opened one is in a different order on the task-bar and I have strong muscle memory and end up clicking on the wrong one.

So far TerminateProcess fails and also my PromptOK causes TheIDE to crash :).

I would try to move it before CloseHandle...

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by mirek on Fri, 16 Feb 2018 11:26:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alternatively, this could be meaningful:

Quote:
TerminateProcess is asynchronous; it initiates termination and returns immediately. If you need to be sure the process has terminated, call the WaitForSingleObject function with a handle to the process.

https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/ms6 86714(v=vs.85).aspx

---

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL

Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Fri, 16 Feb 2018 11:49:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Replaced the PromptOKs with logs and now I'm waiting patiently for the next lock-up.

I think we'll have some results early next week...

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Thu, 22 Feb 2018 10:36:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mirek wrote on Fri, 16 February 2018 13:26Alternatively, this could be meaningful:

Quote:
TerminateProcess is asynchronous; it initiates termination and returns immediately. If you need to be sure the process has terminated, call the WaitForSingleObject function with a handle to the process.

https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/ms6 86714(v=vs.85).aspx
That might be it. Since I added the logs, there were some failures, but not on TerminateProcess and no hang ups. Maybe the time it took to write the logs is enough for TerminateProcess to finish and no lock ups?

Subject: Re: Issues with OpenGL
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 22 Feb 2018 12:42:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cbpporter wrote on Thu, 22 February 2018 11:36mirek wrote on Fri, 16 February 2018 13:26Alternatively, this could be meaningful:

Quote:
TerminateProcess is asynchronous; it initiates termination and returns immediately. If you need to be sure the process has terminated, call the WaitForSingleObject function with a handle to the process.

https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/ms6 86714(v=vs.85).aspx
That might be it. Since I added the logs, there were some failures, but not on TerminateProcess and no hang ups. Maybe the time it took to write the logs is enough for TerminateProcess to finish and no lock ups?

OK, perhaps you can try WaitForSingleObject now and remove the logs?

Subject: Re: Critical issues to resolve for U++ 2018.1 - please suggest
Posted by cbpporter on Wed, 16 May 2018 09:57:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, you know how this goes. I program in phases on different projects, and only 3 are in U++.
So there are a couple of months of U++ work and activity on forum and then I'm gone :p.

I'm back now for a couple of months and another feature phase on my projects, so back to the old
problems.

I got today one of these signature debugger hangs.

I'm testing:
WaitForSingleObject(hProcess, INFINITE);

I'll get back to you in a few days to see if this helps in any way...

Subject: Re: Critical issues to resolve for U++ 2018.1 - please suggest
Posted by cbpporter on Tue, 22 May 2018 07:10:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cbpporter wrote on Wed, 16 May 2018 12:57Well, you know how this goes. I program in phases
on different projects, and only 3 are in U++. So there are a couple of months of U++ work and
activity on forum and then I'm gone :p.

I'm back now for a couple of months and another feature phase on my projects, so back to the old
problems.

I got today one of these signature debugger hangs.

I'm testing:
WaitForSingleObject(hProcess, INFINITE);

I'll get back to you in a few days to see if this helps in any way...
Preliminary evaluation of my solution: TheIDE locks up waiting for the process to finish.

I'm out of ideas for now, since not even task manager can sometimes shut down those rogue
hanging processes.

Subject: Re: Critical issues to resolve for U++ 2018.1 - please suggest
Posted by mirek on Tue, 27 Nov 2018 08:52:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Continuation: https://www.ultimatepp.org/forums/index.php?t=msg&goto=5 0621&#msg_50621

Subject: Re: Critical issues to resolve for U++ 2018.1 - please suggest
Posted by cbpporter on Wed, 28 Nov 2018 16:34:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mirek wrote on Tue, 27 November 2018 10:52Continuation:
https://www.ultimatepp.org/forums/index.php?t=msg&goto=5 0621&#msg_50621
Is this the debugger not being able to terminate issue?

Because I am getting that at least once an hour and need to close up TheIDE. I'll test the nightly too if that is the case.

Subject: Re: Critical issues to resolve for U++ 2018.1 - please suggest
Posted by mirek on Wed, 28 Nov 2018 17:12:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hopefully it is fixed; but in nightly tomorrow.