

---

Subject: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 15 Apr 2019 06:23:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

after a ministorm of bugs and fixes, we are now at rc4.

<https://sourceforge.net/projects/upp/files/upp/2019.1.rc4/>

Thinks fixed and added:

- Support for Visual C++ / Build Tools 2019
- MacOS version does not require crypto.dylib installed to run theide
- Fixed issue in theide help (multiplying entries for topics with TOC)
- Mingw (and, in fact GCC and CLANG) now support "SO" compilation (compiles packages to .dll/.so/.dylib, this helps with linking speed)

Thanks for testing!

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Mon, 15 Apr 2019 06:54:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you all for your great job :) :)

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Tom1](#) on Tue, 16 Apr 2019 06:39:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I have now tested rc4 (on Windows 10 1809 / 64-bit with my own application code) and everything seems to work fine. :)

Thanks and best regards,

Tom

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Wed, 17 Apr 2019 12:48:00 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Will test it ASAP. Takes a couple of days to merge all my forks into the main release...

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 17 Apr 2019 19:31:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I was investigating a crash with TheIDE and discovered a bunch of uninitialized memory reads. Please check an attached file.

### File Attachments

---

1) [vg.log](#), downloaded 372 times

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [forlano](#) on Wed, 17 Apr 2019 21:43:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Mirek,

the Mac OS version seems to work properly.

Thanks,

Luigi

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 17 Apr 2019 22:22:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm getting a random crash with TheIDE (every second time I launch it) with the call stack below. (Full rebuild)

```
#0 0x0000555555879007 in SkipGdbInfo(Upp::CParser&) (p=...) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/Debuggers/GdbData.cpp:8
#1 0x000055555587a7d8 in DataClean(Upp::CParser&) (p=...) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/Debuggers/GdbData.cpp:63
#2 0x000055555587ac13 in DataClean(char const*) (s=<optimized out>) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/Debuggers/GdbData.cpp:79
#3 0x000055555587fac2 in Gdb::TryAuto(Upp::Index<Upp::String>&, Upp::String const&)
(this=0x7fffde4e4030, tested=..., exp=...)
    at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/String.h:313
#4 0x000055555587fd69 in Gdb::Autos() (this=0x7fffde4e4030) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/Debuggers/GdbData.cpp:172
#5 0x0000555555883dd9 in Gdb::Cmdp(char const*, bool, bool)
(this=0x7fffde4e4030, cmdline=<optimized out>, fr=<optimized out>, setframe=<optimized
```

```

out>)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/Debuggers/Gdb.cpp:292
#6 0x000055555588470a in Gdb::Step(char const*) (this=0x7ffffde4e4030, cmd=0x555555f18f84
"next")
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/Debuggers/Gdb.cpp:453
#7 0x00005555558fcb7d in Upp::Function<void ()>::operator>() const (this=<optimized out>) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Function.h:76
#8 0x00005555558fcb7d in Upp::Bar::ScanKeys::Do(unsigned int) (k=131015,
this=0x7fffffcad10)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlLib/Bar.cpp:509
#9 0x00005555558fcb7d in Upp::Bar::Scan(Upp::Function<void (Upp::Bar&>), unsigned int)
(proc=..., key=131015)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlLib/Bar.cpp:520
#10 0x00005555558fd26f in Upp::TopSubMenuItem::HotKey(unsigned int) (this=0x7ffff3a05080,
key=131015) at /usr/include/c++/8/bits/atomic_base.h:299
#11 0x0000555555bf1d15 in Upp::Ctrl::HotKey(unsigned int) (this=<optimized out>, key=131015)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/CtrlCore.h:1113
#12 0x0000555555bf1d15 in Upp::Ctrl::HotKey(unsigned int) (this=this@entry=0x7fffffccb70,
key=key@entry=131015)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/CtrlCore.h:1113
#13 0x00005555559191f2 in Upp::MenuBar::HotKey(unsigned int) (this=0x7fffffccb70,
key=131015)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlLib/MenuBar.cpp:433
#14 0x0000555555bf1d15 in Upp::Ctrl::HotKey(unsigned int) (this=<optimized out>, key=131015)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/CtrlCore.h:1113
#15 0x0000555555bf1d15 in Upp::Ctrl::HotKey(unsigned int) (this=<optimized out>, key=131015)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/CtrlCore.h:1113
#16 0x0000555555bf5010 in Upp::Ctrl::DispatchKey(unsigned int, int) (keycode=131015,
count=1) at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Ptr.h:43
#17 0x0000555555c0b945 in Upp::Ctrl::Proc() (this=<optimized out>) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/GtkEvent.cpp:485
#18 0x0000555555c0c78f in Upp::Ctrl::ProcessEvent0(bool*, bool) (quit=0x0, fetch=<optimized
out>)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/GtkEvent.cpp:557
#19 0x0000555555c0cd21 in Upp::Ctrl::ProcessEvents0(bool*, bool) (fetch=fetch@entry=true,
quit=0x0)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/GtkEvent.cpp:583
#20 0x0000555555c0d50d in Upp::Ctrl::ProcessEvents(bool*) (quit=0x0) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/GtkEvent.cpp:631
#21 0x0000555555c0d50d in Upp::Ctrl::EventLoop(Upp::Ctrl*) (ctrl=0x7fffffccb380) at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/GtkEvent.cpp:631
#22 0x0000555555c15a71 in Upp::TopWindow::Run(bool) (this=this@entry=0x7fffffccb380,
appmodal=appmodal@entry=false)
  at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlCore/TopWindow.cpp:324
#23 0x0000555555811f1e in GuiMainFn_() () at
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/main.cpp:305
#24 0x0000555555710d08 in main(int, char**, char const**) (argc=1, argv=0x7fffffdef8,
envptr=0x55555628b2f0)

```

at /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/ide/main.cpp:120

It is most likely related to uninitialized memory reads or corrupted memory.

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 05:59:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Thu, 18 April 2019 00:22I'm getting a random crash with TheIDE (every second time I launch it) with the call stack below. (Full rebuild)

Thanks. It would be really helpful to provide info about host platform and steps to reproduce.

From the call stack it looks like like crash in Gdb interface. Does it happen when trying to debug something?

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 06:03:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Thu, 18 April 2019 00:22I'm getting a random crash with TheIDE (every second time I launch it) with the call stack below. (Full rebuild)  
It is most likely related to uninitialized memory reads or corrupted memory.

Based on stack dump, hopefully fixed in trunk. Please test.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 08:17:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Weirdly I got a compilation error in some code that hasn't been touched in years related to variable shadowing...

Something must have changed in the way the C++ compiler is invoked.

Not a real problem though, it is better to not shadow so I renamed the variables.

My projects are made out of multiple executable and usually I have multiple TheIDEs running (3+), but this new release has a blazing fast "open main package".

I tested the command line stuff. No issues.

Next I'll test GUI.

Is there a way to force dark mode under Windows? I have code editor colors for dark mode, but they always looked out of place with light UI.

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Tom1](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 08:30:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi cbpporter,

Dark theme is enabled in Windows 10 using Settings > Colors > Choose your default app mode > Dark

Then restart TheIDE.

Best regards,

Tom

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 08:53:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Wed, 17 April 2019 21:31 I was investigating a crash with TheIDE and discovered a bunch of uninitialized memory reads. Please check an attached file.

Should be all fixed in the trunk.

All of it was innocent things like Enabling/Disabling random buttons in theide toolbar during initialization, then it got fixed by second SetBar call even before theide is open.

That said, it is definitely better if theide runs valgrind clean, so I from now on I will do valgrind checks before each release. Thank you.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 20:20:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Thu, 18 April 2019 02:03Novo wrote on Thu, 18 April 2019 00:22I'm getting a random crash with TheIDE (every second time I launch it) with the call stack below. (Full rebuild) It is most likely related to uninitialized memory reads or corrupted memory.

Based on stack dump, hopefully fixed in trunk. Please test.

Mirek

Thank you! So far everything seems to work fine.

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [Novo](#) on Thu, 18 Apr 2019 22:32:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Thu, 18 April 2019 04:53Novo wrote on Wed, 17 April 2019 21:31I was investigating a crash with TheIDE and discovered a bunch of uninitialized memory reads. Please check an attached file.

Should be all fixed in the trunk.

All of it was innocent things like Enabling/Disabling random buttons in theide toolbar during initialization, then it got fixed by second SetBar call even before theide is open.

That said, it is definitely better if theide runs valgrind clean, so I from now on I will do valgrind checks before each release. Thank you.

Mirek

Thank you! Below are two more fixes which make TheIDE 100% valgrind-clean.

(I fixed/added two constructors)

```
CppItem() : access(), kind(), at(), virt(), decla(), lvalue(), isptr(), filetype(), impl(), file(), line(),  
qualify(true) {}
```

```
struct PPItem {  
    PPItem() : type(), segment_id() {}
```

These changes won't make TheIDE any slower.

This is C++98 initialization style. I didn't do that C++11 way for compatibility reason.

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 19 Apr 2019 10:27:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Fri, 19 April 2019 00:32mirek wrote on Thu, 18 April 2019 04:53Novo wrote on Wed, 17 April 2019 21:31I was investigating a crash with TheIDE and discovered a bunch of uninitialized memory reads. Please check an attached file.

Should be all fixed in the trunk.

All of it was innocent things like Enabling/Disabling random buttons in the toolbar during initialization, then it got fixed by second SetBar call even before the toolbar is open.

That said, it is definitely better if the toolbar runs valgrind clean, so I from now on I will do valgrind checks before each release. Thank you.

Mirek

Thank you! Below are two more fixes which make TheIDE 100% valgrind-clean.

Did it trigger any valgrind errors? If so, which ones?

Quote:

(I fixed/added two constructors)

```
CppItem() : access(), kind(), at(), virt(), decla(), lvalue(), isptr(), filetype(), impl(), file(), line(),  
qualify(true) {}
```

```
struct PPItem {  
    PPItem() : type(), segment_id() {}
```

These changes won't make TheIDE any slower.

Probably not noticeably, but it is matter of principle: Do I need from now on to initialize variables that are not used in particular branch of code?

Quote:

This is C++98 initialization style. I didn't do that C++11 way for compatibility reason.

Why? :)

Mirek

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 19 Apr 2019 14:43:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Fri, 19 April 2019 06:27  
Did it trigger any valgrind errors? If so, which ones?

Yes, they did. Please check the attached file.

mirek wrote on Fri, 19 April 2019 06:27

Quote:

(I fixed/added two constructors)

```
CppItem() : access(), kind(), at(), virt(), decla(), lvalue(), isptr(), filetype(), impl(), file(), line(),  
qualify(true) {}
```

```
struct PPItem {  
  PPItem() : type(), segment_id() {}
```

These changes won't make TheIDE any slower.

Probably not noticeably, but it is matter of principle: Do I need from now on to initialize variables that are not used in particular branch of code?

Well, valgrind and sanitizers is very often the only way to figure out an origin of a problem because sometimes this can be a bug with a compiler but the code itself. An when I see a lot of garbage in the output I just want to stop using the code because it is unprofessional. It is not that hard to fix all errors reported by valgrind and sanitizers.

In the second case both members are used, I believe.

In the first case I initialized everything because I didn't have time to figure out which one exactly is used uninitialized.

mirek wrote on Fri, 19 April 2019 06:27

Quote:

This is C++98 initialization style. I didn't do that C++11 way for compatibility reason.

Why? :)

Old habit.

## File Attachments

---

1) [vg.log.02](#), downloaded 282 times

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Fri, 19 Apr 2019 14:53:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It would be great if "Output mode/Target file override" would be made package specific :).

Currently, you open package A, compile with "Target file override" into the desired location, open up a random package from examples, compile and your old executable from another project is overwritten.

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 19 Apr 2019 22:33:19 GMT

---

I do not know what is wrong with the GUI\_APP\_MAIN, but I'm getting 1.2 Mb of complains from valgrind. Please check attached file.

## File Attachments

---

1) [vg.log](#), downloaded 283 times

---

---

**Subject:** Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

**Posted by** [Novo](#) on Fri, 19 Apr 2019 22:51:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I do not know who is responsible for this crash (libfontconfig.so.1+0xdb67 or /uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:34:10), but I cannot even get close to my own code.

Memory Sanitizer:

Uninitialized bytes in \_\_interceptor\_strlen at offset 0 inside [0x7010000008a0, 11)

==30845==WARNING: MemorySanitizer: use-of-uninitialized-value

```
#0 0x7f3bb6714097 (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0xb097)
#1 0x7f3bb6716baa in FcConfigFilename (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0xdbaa)
#2 0x7f3bb672f607 (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0x26607)
#3 0x7f3bb6721be3 (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0x18be3)
#4 0x7f3bb6721e45 (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0x18e45)
#5 0x7f3bb6714736 (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0xb736)
#6 0x7f3bb6721f05 in FcInitBringUptoDate
(/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0x18f05)
#7 0x7f3bb6724be9 in FcFontList (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0x1bbe9)
#8 0x292ed45 in Upp::GetAllFacesSys()
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/FontFc.cpp:236:18
#9 0x292e354 in Upp::Font::FaceList() /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:34:10
#10 0x292fc97 in Upp::slnitFonts() /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:42:2
#11 0x292fd68 in Upp::s__sF0_46_fn() /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:47:2
#12 0x12bb799 in Upp::Callinit::Callinit(void (*)(), char const*, int)
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Defs.h:176:83
#13 0x469944 in __cxx_global_var_init.4
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:46:1
#14 0x469d5c in _GLOBAL__sub_I__blitz.cpp
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/out/MyApps/Draw/CLANGcpp17msan.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared.
Usemalloc/$blitz.cpp
#15 0x3dfd874 in __libc_csu_init
(/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/out/MyApps/CLANGcpp17msan.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared.Use
malloc/OpenCorpora+0x3dfd874)
#16 0x7f3bb47a0029 in __libc_start_main
/build/glibc-B9XfQf/glibc-2.28/csu/./csu/libc-start.c:264:6
#17 0x471839 in _start
(/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/out/MyApps/CLANGcpp17msan.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared.Use
malloc/OpenCorpora+0x471839)
```

```
Uninitialized value was created by a heap allocation
#0 0x47adfc in __interceptor_malloc
(/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/out/MyApps/CLANGcpp17msan.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared.Use
malloc/OpenCorpora+0x47adfc)
#1 0x7f3bb6716b67 in FcConfigFilename (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0xdb67)
#2 0x292e354 in Upp::Font::FaceList() /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:34:10
#3 0x292fc97 in Upp::slnitFonts() /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:42:2
#4 0x292fd68 in Upp::s__sF0_46_fn() /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:47:2
#5 0x12bb799 in Upp::Callinit::Callinit(void (*)(), char const*, int)
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Defs.h:176:83
#6 0x469944 in __cxx_global_var_init.4 /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Draw/Font.cpp:46:1
#7 0x469d5c in _GLOBAL__sub_I__blitz.cpp
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/out/MyApps/Draw/CLANGcpp17msan.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared.
Usemalloc/$blitz.cpp
#8 0x3dfd874 in __libc_csu_init
(/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/out/MyApps/CLANGcpp17msan.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared.Use
malloc/OpenCorpora+0x3dfd874)
```

SUMMARY: MemorySanitizer: use-of-uninitialized-value  
(/usr/lib/x86\_64-linux-gnu/libfontconfig.so.1+0xb097)  
Exiting

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 19 Apr 2019 22:57:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/lheap.cpp:46:18: runtime error: index -1 out of bounds for
type 'Upp::word [77]'
```

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Hash.cpp:307:33: runtime error: load of misaligned
address 0x0000027ed2a3 for type 'const unsigned int', which requires 4 byte alignment
0x0000027ed2a3: note: pointer points here
```

```
74 2e 68 00 28 64 65 66 61 75 6c 74 29 00 28 70 65 72 20 64 65 66 65 63 74 65 29 00 28 76
c3 bd
```

^

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Ops.h:3:51: runtime error: load of misaligned address
0x00000288de89 for type 'const Upp::dword' (aka 'const unsigned int'), which requires 4 byte
alignment
```

```
0x00000288de89: note: pointer points here
```

```
61 6e 73 2d 73 65 72 69 66 00 41 72 69 61 6c 00 e6 96 b0 e5 ae 8b e4 bd 93 00 53 69 6d 53
75 6e
```

^

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Ops.h:7:55: runtime error: store to misaligned address
0x7f1e14817182 for type 'Upp::dword' (aka 'unsigned int'), which requires 4 byte alignment
```

```
0x7f1e14817182: note: pointer points here
```

```
65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65
46 72
```



mirek wrote on Fri, 19 April 2019 19:06 Will check all those too, but

Ops.h:3:51: runtime error: load of misaligned address

(and stores)

- that one is impossible to fix, it is meant to be.

Note #ifdef CPU\_UNALIGNED before it...

Mirek

Do you mind adding code below to Defs.h and marking PeekXXle and PokeXXle with NO\_UBSAN

?

```
// ***** Sanitizer-related
```

```
#if defined(__has_feature)
```

```
  #if __has_feature(address_sanitizer)
```

```
    #define NO_ASAN __attribute__((no_sanitize_address))
```

```
  #else
```

```
    #define NO_ASAN
```

```
  #endif
```

```
  #if __has_feature(memory_sanitizer)
```

```
    #define NO_MSAN __attribute__((no_sanitize_memory))
```

```
  #else
```

```
    #define NO_MSAN
```

```
  #endif
```

```
  #else
```

```
  // gcc doesn't have __has_feature
```

```
  #if defined (__GNUC__)
```

```
    #define NO_ASAN __attribute__((no_sanitize_address))
```

```
  #else
```

```
    #define NO_ASAN
```

```
  #endif
```

```
  // gcc doesn't support memory sanitizer.
```

```
  #define NO_MSAN
```

```
  // gcc doesn't support safe-stack sanitizer.
```

```
  // gcc doesn't support shadow-call-stack sanitizer.
```

```
  #endif
```

```
#if defined(__clang__) || defined (__GNUC__)
```

```
  #define NO_UBSAN __attribute__((no_sanitize("undefined")))
```

```
#else
```

```
#define NO_UBSAN
#endif
```

Thank you in advance.

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 22 Apr 2019 09:03:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Sat, 20 April 2019 00:33I do not know what is wrong with the GUI\_APP\_MAIN, but I'm getting 1.2 Mb of complains from valgrind. Please check attached file.

Looks like something that affects menu item enabling is not initialized in OC class...

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 22 Apr 2019 09:08:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"\_\_interceptor\_malloc" - It is very unlikely that U++ called that malloc (normally we do not allocated memory via malloc).

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 23 Apr 2019 07:53:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

TheIDE now seems to run 'ubsan clean' (with GCC), but I really have mixed feeling about santizing bools:

```
struct Foo { bool a, b; };
```

```
Foo a, b;
```

```
a = b;
```

Above code fails with ubsan, as a / b are uninitialized. In practice this means you have to initialize all bool member variables if the struct is to be copied even if code logic absolutely does not need it....

---

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Tue, 23 Apr 2019 13:05:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Mon, 22 April 2019 05:08" \_\_interceptor\_malloc" - It is very unlikely that U++ called that malloc (normally we do not allocated memory via malloc).  
I'm using standard allocator with static build + shared libraries. Otherwise it won't link.  
"All shared" will link fine with U++ allocator, if I remember correctly.

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Tue, 23 Apr 2019 13:33:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Tue, 23 April 2019 03:53TheIDE now seems to run 'ubsan clean' (with GCC), but I really have mixed feeling about santizing bools:

```
struct Foo { bool a, b; };
```

```
Foo a, b;
```

```
a = b;
```

Above code fails with ubsan, as a / b are uninitialized. In practice this means you have to initialize all bool member variables if the struct is to be copied even if code logic absolutely does not need it....

I'm personally not getting any messages with ubsan + gcc + C++17 + Debug in this case. Everything is clean.

I'm getting them with ubsan + clang + C++17 + Debug.

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Hash.cpp:308:33: runtime error: load of misaligned address 0x0000008c646e for type 'const unsigned int', which requires 4 byte alignment
```

```
0x0000008c646e: note: pointer points here
```

```
41 47 45 00 45 6d 70 74 79 20 64 72 69 76 65 00 44 72 69 76 65 20 76 61 63 c3 ad 6f 00 4c 65 65
```

^

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Ops.h:7:63: runtime error: store to misaligned address 0x7f7ca993b222 for type 'Upp::dword' (aka 'unsigned int'), which requires 4 byte alignment
```

```
0x7f7ca993b222: note: pointer points here
```

```
65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72
```

^

```
/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Ops.h:3:59: runtime error: load of misaligned address 0x7f7ca993b222 for type 'const Upp::dword' (aka 'const unsigned int'), which requires 4 byte alignment
```

```
0x7f7ca993b222: note: pointer points here
```

```
35 00 46 72 fe 4c 6c 56 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65 46 72 65 65
```

46 72

^

It doesn't look like NOUBSAN is defined for Clang.

I'm also getting a bunch of warnings with Clang + C++17.

In file included from /home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Core.h:280:

/home/ssg/dvlp/cpp/upp/git/uppsrc/Core/Stream.h:94:141: warning: add explicit braces to avoid dangling else [-Wdangling-else]

```
void Put(const void *data, int size) { ASSERT(size >= 0); if(size) if(ptr + size <= wrlim) {  
memcpy(ptr, data, size);  
ptr += size; } else _Put(data, size); }
```

One of the reasons why I'm using Clang on Linux is because it has the best support for sanitizers.

I attached my project and build methods for clang and gcc.

### File Attachments

1) [test\\_ubsan.zip](#), downloaded 255 times

---

Subject: Re: U++ 2019.1.rc4 released

Posted by [Novo](#) on Tue, 23 Apr 2019 13:36:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Tue, 23 April 2019 03:53TheIDE now seems to run 'ubsan clean' (with GCC)

Thank you very much!

---