Subject: thread bug....and fix Posted by aftershock on Tue, 30 Jul 2019 12:53:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Hi,
Bug report.
It seems that
handle can be 0 at unexpected places,
So I added additional checks for that to fix.
See added lines.
Wait function got stuck at WaitForSingleObject when handle was 0.
int Thread::Wait()
{
if(!IsOpen())
 return -1;
int out:
#ifdef PLATFORM WIN32
dword exit:
if(!GetExitCodeThread(handle, &exit))
 return -1;
if(exit != STILL ACTIVE)
 out = (int)exit;
else
{
 if (!IsOpen()) // ADDED THIS LINE
   return Null;
 if(WaitForSingleObject(handle, INFINITE) != WAIT OBJECT 0)
 return Null;
 if (!IsOpen()) // ADDED THIS LINE
   return Null:
 out = GetExitCodeThread(handle, &exit) ? int(exit) : int(Null);
}
Detach();
#endif
#ifdef PLATFORM POSIX
void *thread_return;
if(pthread join(handle, &thread return))
 out = Null;
else
 out = (int)(intptr t)thread return;
handle = 0:
#endif
return out;
}
```

Subject: Re: thread bug....and fix Posted by mirek on Wed, 31 Jul 2019 10:44:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Would be nice to show some example of "unexpected place".

Also I would rather have a copy of the whole file than unformated text :)

Mirek

Subject: Re: thread bug....and fix Posted by aftershock on Wed, 31 Jul 2019 10:57:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It is where I added the lines. GetExitCodeThread(handle.... handle was 0 in the debugger. Application Verifier... detected those things.

Subject: Re: thread bug....and fix Posted by mirek on Fri, 02 Aug 2019 09:34:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

aftershock wrote on Wed, 31 July 2019 12:57It is where I added the lines. GetExitCodeThread(handle.... handle was 0 in the debugger. Application Verifier... detected those things.

I mean, what is your code?

Somehow I fail to grasp how calling Wait with NULL handle could have happened.

To be more specific, as long as there is no catastrophic failure of client code, handle can get 0 in 3 ways:

- thread creation fails. Why do you call Wait in that case?
- Detach is called. Why do you call Wait in that case?
- no attempt to start thread (default constructor). Why do you call Wait in that case?

So I am really curious what has happened here. In other words, if above patch is really fixing something, then this requires much more careful investigation because it would mean many things are not what they should be....

(Ideally, testcase package demonstrating the problem would be welcome).

Mirek

Subject: Re: thread bug....and fix Posted by aftershock on Fri, 02 Aug 2019 17:28:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Could debugger display false values?

Is that class multithreaded?

Subject: Re: thread bug....and fix Posted by mirek on Sat, 03 Aug 2019 09:23:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

aftershock wrote on Fri, 02 August 2019 19:28Could debugger display false values?

Can happen. When in doubt, use DUMP/RDUMP/DDUMP.

Quote: Is that class multithreaded?

Of itself, no.

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from U++ Forum