

---

Subject: Implementation of Vector::Add()

Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 09 Aug 2019 02:26:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Vector::Add() (and similar methods) can be implemented via Vector::Add(T&& x=T()). That reduces amount of code.

Similar methods include:

Array::Add()

BiVector::AddHead(), BiVector::AddTail()

AMap::Add(K&& k), Add(const K& k), FindAdd(const K& k), FindAdd(K&& k), Put(const K& k),  
e.t.c.

ArrayMap::Add(const K& k), Add(K&& k)

FixedAMap::Add(const K& k)

It looks like half of methods of AMap can be eliminated ...

Just my two cents ...

---

---

Subject: Re: Implementation of Vector::Add()

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 09 Aug 2019 06:50:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Fri, 09 August 2019 04:26 Vector::Add() (and similar methods) can be implemented via Vector::Add(T&& x=T()). That reduces amount of code.

Similar methods include:

Array::Add()

BiVector::AddHead(), BiVector::AddTail()

AMap::Add(K&& k), Add(const K& k), FindAdd(const K& k), FindAdd(K&& k), Put(const K& k),  
e.t.c.

ArrayMap::Add(const K& k), Add(K&& k)

FixedAMap::Add(const K& k)

It looks like half of methods of AMap can be eliminated ...

Just my two cents ...

That AFAIK requires that T has defined move constructor. Add() only requires default constructor.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Implementation of Vector::Add()  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 09 Aug 2019 17:06:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Fri, 09 August 2019 02:50 That AFAIK requires that T has defined move constructor. Add() only requires default constructor.

Mirek

You are right. I missed this point.

---