## Subject: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics) <br> Posted by shutalker on Fri, 09 Aug 2019 10:14:12 GMT <br> View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi all!

I've encountered with the following problem. When I try to define such object as

```
const static VectorMap<String, Vector<String>> MY_MAP = {
    {"s1", pick(Vector<String>{"s11", "s12", "s13", "s14"})},
    {"s2", pick(Vector<String>{"s21", "s22", "s23", "s24"})},
    {"s3", pick(Vector<String>{"s31", "s32", "s33", "s34"})},
    {"s4", pick(Vector<String>{"s41", "s42", "s43", "s44"})},
    {"s5", pick(Vector<String>{"s51", "s52", "s53", "s54"})}
};
```

I get several errors like this
/home/alexis/upp/uppsrc/Core/Vcont.hpp (158): error: call to implicitly-deleted copy constructor of 'Upp::Vector[Upp::String](Upp::String)'
/upp/uppsrc/Core/Core.h (357): In file included from /home/alexis/upp/uppsrc/Core/Core.h:357:
(): T * $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{new}(\operatorname{Rdd}()) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})$;
/home/alexis/upp/uppsrc/Core/Vcont.h (132): note: in instantiation of member function
'Upp::Vector<Upp::Vector<Upp::String\gg::GrowAdd' requested here
(): T\& Add(const T\& x) $\quad$ return items < alloc ? *(new(Rdd()) T(clone(x))) :

GrowAdd(x); \}
/home/alexis/upp/uppsrc/Core/Map.h (51): note: in instantiation of member function
'Upp::Vector<Upp::Vector<Upp::String\gg::Add' requested here
(): T\& Add(const K\& k, const T\& x) \{ key.Add(k); return value.Add(x); \}
/home/alexis/upp/uppsrc/Core/Map.h (179): note: in instantiation of member function
'Upp::AMap<Upp::String, Upp::Vector[Upp::String](Upp::String), Upp::Vector<Upp::Vector<Upp::String\gg
>::Add' requeste
d here
(): AMap(std::initializer_list<std::pair<K, T>> init) \{ for(const auto\& i : init) Add(i.first, i.second); \}
/home/alexis/upp/uppsrc/Core/Map.h (236): note: in instantiation of member function
'Upp::AMap<Upp::String, Upp::Vector[Upp::String](Upp::String), Upp::Vector<Upp::Vector<Upp::String\gg
>::AMap' reques
ted here
(): VectorMap(std::initializer_list<std::pair<K, T>> init) : B::AMap(init) $\}$

I guess the reason is
T *q = new(Rdd()) T(x); // <-- should be clone (x)
So I made a little patch that fixed the problem. Please, check it and give feedback if I did

## UPD

I use upp from git repository https://github.com/ultimatepp/mirror
Used compiler: FreeBSD clang version 6.0.0 (tags/RELEASE_600/final 326565) (based on LLVM 6.0.0)

## File Attachments

1) vcont.patch, downloaded 203 times

## Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics)

## Posted by Novo on Fri, 09 Aug 2019 18:12:20 GMT

## View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I personally would say that this is not a bug. This is a feature. :)
clone was intentionally removed from Add to prevent implicit cloning.
Basically, std::initializer_list will create a temporary const object and after that it will force you to create another copy of $\overline{i t}$. This is an unnecessary allocation.
U++ is warning you about that and offering you other tools like
VectorMap<String, Vector<String>> MY_MAP;
MY_MAP.Add("s1", Vector<String>\{"s11", "s12", "s13", "s14"\});
In this case objects will be moved.
Ideally, it would be great to have a set of overloaded operators VectorMap\& operator()(const K\& $k$, const T\&v)

More details on this problem can be found here.
A comment to this article has an interesting code snippet:
template<std::size_t N>
Vec(T(\&\&a)[N])
: _vect(std::make_move_iterator(std::begin(a)), std::make_move_iterator(std::end(a)))
\{\}
Extra braces needed though, but somebody may find this more idiomatic:
Vec<int> v \{\{1, 2\}\};

Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics)
Posted by Novo on Sat, 10 Aug 2019 04:33:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Actually, it is possible to move data from std::initializer_list with a little hack:
template <typename T>

```
struct Foo {
Foo(std::initializer_list<T> init) {
for(const T& i : init)
v.Add(pick(const_cast<T&>(i)));
}
//Foo(std::initializer_list<T> init) {
//for(const T& i : init)
//v.Add(i);
//}
Vector<T> v;
};
struct Boo:Moveable<Boo> {
Boo() {}
Boo(const Boo&) = default;
Boo(Boo&&) = delete;
};
CONSOLE_APP_MAIN
{
Foo<Vector<int>> f = {{1}};
//Foo<Boo> f = {Boo()};
}
```

The problem is that this will require all types to have a move constructor.
A move constructor can be detected via std::is_move_constructible, but I couldn't figure out how to apply SFINAE to a constructor.

IMHO, all this code complexity is unnecessary in this case.

Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics) Posted by mirek on Tue, 13 Aug 2019 07:08:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
I made it work, even without pick:
const static VectorMap<String, Vector<String>> MY_MAP = \{
\{"s1", Vector<String>\{"s11", "s12", "s13", "s14"\}\},
\{"s2", Vector<String>\{"s21", "s22", "s23", "s24"\}\},
\{"s3", Vector<String>\{"s31", "s32", "s33", "s34"\}\},
\{"s4", Vector<String>\{"s41", "s42", "s43", "s44"\}\},
\{"s5", Vector<String>\{"s51", "s52", "s53", "s54"\}\}
\};
(making this work is perhaps slight departure from "use clone/pick always", OTOH I feel uneasy altering initialization data (by pick) anyway).

Mirek

## Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics)

Posted by Novo on Tue, 13 Aug 2019 14:02:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
mirek wrote on Tue, 13 August 2019 03:08I made it work, even without pick:
This won't compile:
const VectorMap<Vector<String>, String> MY_MAP = \{
\{Vector<String>\{"s11", "s12", "s13", "s14"\}, "s1"\},
\};
pick wasn't needed because Vector<String>\{...\} is an rvalue by itself.
It would be great to have all overloads of
VectorMap\& VectorMap\&::operator()(const K\& k, const T\& v)
similar to AMap::Add(k, v).
IMHO , the problem is not a constructor of VectorMap, but an implementation of std::initializer_list. I believe I saw an alternative implementation somewhere.

## Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics) Posted by mirek on Tue, 13 Aug 2019 15:09:54 GMT <br> View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Novo wrote on Tue, 13 August 2019 16:02mirek wrote on Tue, 13 August 2019 03:08I made it work, even without pick:

This won't compile:

```
const VectorMap<Vector<String>, String> MY_MAP = {
    {Vector<String>{"s11", "s12", "s13", "s14"}, "s1"},
};
```

pick wasn't needed because Vector<String>\{...\} is an rvalue by itself.

## Works now. Thanks.

Quote:
It would be great to have all overloads of
VectorMap\& VectorMap\&::operator()(const K\& k, const T\& v)
similar to AMap::Add(k, v).

Done. Long live std::forward...
Mirek

```
Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics) Posted by Novo on Thu, 15 Aug 2019 01:47:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
mirek wrote on Tue, 13 August 2019 11:09Done. Long live std::forward...
Mirek
Thank you!
```


## Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics) Posted by shutalker on Mon, 26 Aug 2019 08:42:28 GMT <br> View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mirek, Novo, thank you! Your explanations and this article are very helpful, though I should find out more about initialization by myself :)

Subject: Re: Problem with Vector::Add (pick/clone semantics) Posted by mr_ped on Mon, 26 Aug 2019 17:27:05 GMT

About C++ initialization .. (animated gif .. sort of joke... but not really):
https://i.imgur.com/3wlxtI0.gifv

