

---

Subject: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sat, 08 Feb 2020 01:19:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello,

There is a big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

The example gives a warning and with reason

It may seem like a small issue but it'd not. This is used all over UPP and Thelde.

I can't compile a working non crashing version of Thelde (and my software) with it.

It's roulette whether it works or not. On my colleagues computer it works, on mine it doesn't

See example below.

```
#include <Core/Core.h>
```

```
using namespace Upp;
```

```
// this construction is used a lot in upp
```

```
String SomeFunction()
```

```
{  
    StringBuffer s;  
    s.Cat("M\0nkey", 6); // some binary data  
    return s; // warning C4927: illegal conversion; more than one user-defined conversion has been  
    implicitly applied
```

```
    // this construction (returning a StringBuffer to a String return type) is used a LOT in UPP
```

```
    // it relies on the constructor of String(StringBuffer& ) to do the copying
```

```
    // However another path is from StringBuffer::Begin() -> String::String(const char*)
```

```
    // this however does not work for binary data (containing a 0)
```

```
    // Visual Studio 2019 picks the first or the second option depending on which *EXACT* version of  
    it you're using, and gives this warning that it flipped a coin
```

```
    // IT IS NOT A BENIGN WARNING! It's roulette if the software works or not.
```

```
    // I cannot compile a stable executable of Thelde with VS2019 on my computer. It just keeps
```

```
    // crashing. My colleague can.
```

```
}
```

```
CONSOLE_APP_MAIN
```

```
{
```

```
    String rv = SomeFunction();
```

```
    Cout() << rv.GetCount() << "\r\n"; // Should print 6, but prints 1
```

```
}
```

---

## File Attachments

1) [StringBuffer.exe](#), downloaded 315 times

2) [StringBuffer.zip](#), downloaded 283 times

3) [error.txt](#), downloaded 261 times

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sat, 08 Feb 2020 10:50:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If I make the following change:

```
//return s;  
return String(s);
```

I get the correct results and the warning is gone.

I also tried changing it the same way in UPP in all 86 places (yes some were WString and there was a T) and that also works.

I can make a patch if that is appreciated.

Perhaps someone knows a more elegant solution?

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 08 Feb 2020 10:55:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

:(

It would be worth to write here explicit version of visual studio that mibehaves.

If it is the most recent, we can file a bug if we can simplify the issue to nonU++. Last time the fix was fast.

As everything seems to be fine with gcc and clang, I do not think we should hurry for workaround here.

Mirek

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sat, 08 Feb 2020 12:02:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It is the most recent. I downloaded it yesterday.

I'll look up the exact number in a minute

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sat, 08 Feb 2020 12:10:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

## File Attachments

---

1) [vstudio.png](#), downloaded 890 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sat, 08 Feb 2020 12:17:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My colleague's computer (on which it works without workaround) does still emit the warning....

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 09 Feb 2020 09:34:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK, now I have to admit that I am reluctant to break my environment by downloading possibly broken compiler....

So thinks that come to mind:

- first of all, I believe that this is compiler bug, not ours, because operator `char *` is two conversions far, while `StringBuffer` is direct parameter. Do you agree?

- are you able to create some working single file testcase for microsoft?

- as much as I hate the idea, we can perhaps try workaround by removing `String(StringBuffer&)` constructor and adding operator `String` to `StringBuffer`.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sun, 09 Feb 2020 13:33:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, don't break your computer.

I came up with this:

```
#include <iostream>
```

```
typedef unsigned char bYte;
#define min(a,b) ( a<b ? a : b )
```

```
class B;
```

```
class A {
public:
    bYte data[256]; bYte datalen;

public:
    A(const void* b, bYte len); // assign binary data
    A(char* string); // assign C string
    A(B& b);
    operator char*() // to c string
    {
        data[sizeof(data)-1]=0;
        return (char*)data;
    };
};
```

```
class B {
public:
    bYte data[256]; bYte datalen;

public:
    B(const void* b, bYte len); // assign binary data
    B(char* string); // assign C string
    operator char* () // to c string
    {
        data[sizeof(data)-1]=0;
        return (char*)data;
    }
};
```

```
A::A(const void* b, bYte len) // assign binary data
{
    datalen = min(len, sizeof(data)-1);
    memcpy(data, b, datalen);
    data[datalen]=0;
}
```

```
A::A(char* string) // assign C string
{
    datalen = min(strlen(string)+1, sizeof(data));
    memcpy(data, string, datalen);
    data[sizeof(data)-1]=0;
}
```

```

}

A::A(B& b)
{
    datalen = b.datalen;
    memcpy(data, b.data, sizeof(data));
}

B::B(const void* b, bYte len) // assign binary data
{
    datalen = min(len, sizeof(data)-1);
    memcpy(data, b, datalen);
    data[datalen]=0;
}

B::B(char* string) // assign C string
{
    datalen = min(strlen(string)+1, sizeof(data));
    memcpy(data, string, datalen);
    data[sizeof(data)-1]=0;
}

A ReturnB()
{
    const char binary[10] = {1,2,0,3,4,5,6,7,8,0};
    B b(binary, 10);
    return b;
}

int main(int argc, const char *argv[])
{
    A a = ReturnB();
    int len = a.datalen;
    std::cout << "This should return 10 and it returns " << len << "\r\n";
    return 0;
}

```

## File Attachments

---

- 1) [msvc10bug.exe](#), downloaded 261 times
  - 2) [msvc10bug.cpp](#), downloaded 253 times
- 

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

---

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Sun, 09 Feb 2020 13:35:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

An interesting thing happens:

if I make the following change:

```
// A(B& b);
```

```
  A(const B& b);
```

Then the warning goes away and I get the correct results..... :?

That also works for `String::String(StringBuffer&)` but that one can't be const of course.

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 10 Feb 2020 09:32:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sorry, but way too long. Have a pity for those poor compiler maintainers... :)

Does this show the problem?

```
#include <iostream>
```

```
struct B;
```

```
struct A {
```

```
  A(char*) { std::cout << "A::A(char *)\n"; }
```

```
  A(B&)   { std::cout << "A::A(B&)\n"; }
```

```
};
```

```
struct B {
```

```
  operator char*() { std::cout << "B::operator char *()\n"; }
```

```
};
```

```
A Test()
```

```
{
```

```
  B b;
```

```
  return b;
```

```
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char *argv[])
```

```
{
```

```
  A a = Test();
```

```
  return 0;
```

```
}
```

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Mon, 10 Feb 2020 09:58:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, it does. Excellent pruning :d But it needs to return a value to compile:

```
// operator char*() { std::cout << "B::operator char *()\n"; }  
operator char*() { std::cout << "B::operator char *()\n"; return ""; }
```

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 10 Feb 2020 10:50:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<https://developercommunity.visualstudio.com/content/problem/912723/recent-version-of-visual-c-compiler-might-have-over.html>

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [gocubsgo](#) on Tue, 28 Jul 2020 16:12:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Is there any update on this? It seems like Microsoft never did respond to your feedback?

With the latest Visual Studio 2019 (16.6.5) I'm still getting many C4927 warnings. The result in my app is the UI (all defaults) is missing elements, like some assets aren't being loaded. Forcing to the older Platform Toolset (v141) when building up results in things looking as they should.

By the way, this is using the 2020.1 release. Looking at the latest nightly, I don't see anything suggesting it would be different in this respect.

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 29 Jul 2020 08:32:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[gocubsgo](#) wrote on Tue, 28 July 2020 18:12: Is there any update on this? It seems like Microsoft never did respond to your feedback?

With the latest Visual Studio 2019 (16.6.5) I'm still getting many C4927 warnings. The result in my app is the UI (all defaults) is missing elements, like some assets aren't being loaded. Forcing to the older Platform Toolset (v141) when building up results in things looking as they should.

By the way, this is using the 2020.1 release. Looking at the latest nightly, I don't see anything suggesting it would be different in this respect.

No update. This is clearly Visual C++ bug and current compiler is broken.

Fortunately Windows CLANG is now good enough as replacement (which works out of box).

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Wed, 29 Jul 2020 12:17:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I can't use clang or mingw on windows because it misses certain libraries that I need like winscard and plugin/wav also doesn't compile on either.

However I find applying the workaround\* relatively easy and I don't upgrade all that often anyway, so I'll see when it happens and if not, no big deal. Just have to be mindful of that compiler quirk.

\* workaround is putting `return String(s);` instead of `return s;` everywhere the warning throws.

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Wed, 29 Jul 2020 12:27:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It would however be handy if this patch could be made:  
cppbuilder.cpp line 469

before:

```
if(package == mainpackage)
    info << Join(SvnInfo(package), "\r\n");
```

after patch

```
if(package == mainpackage)
    info << Join(SvnInfo(package), "\r\n") << "\r\n";
```

This is because I am including "build\_info.h" in the .rc file and the microsoft resource compiler throws an error if the last line of an included file does not end on a `\r\n`. Yeah, also their fault but it's an ancient bug that will likely never be fixed.

It would avoid me having to recompile theide on a broken system.

---

---



Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 23 Sep 2020 10:45:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alboni wrote on Wed, 29 July 2020 14:17I can't use clang or mingw on windows because it misses certain libraries that I need like winscard and plugin/wav also doesn't compile on either. However I find applying the workaround\* relatively easy and I don't upgrade all that often anyway, so I'll see when it happens and if not, no big deal. Just have to be mindful of that compiler quirk.

\* workaround is putting return String(s); instead of return s; everywhere the warning throws.

In the I decided to go with workaround, so trunk should now work fine out of box...

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [JeyCi](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2025 05:58:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gocubsgo wrote on Tue, 28 July 2020 18:12

With the latest Visual Studio 2019 (16.6.5) I'm still getting many C4927 warnings.  
the same problem now/today - e.g. used for this code

mirek wrote on Wed, 29 July 2020 10:32

No update. This is clearly Visual C++ bug and current compiler is broken.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2025 12:38:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, all versions give the warning, but not all of them make the wrong choice as to which execution path to follow.

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2025 14:37:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alboni wrote on Thu, 20 March 2025 13:38Yes, all versions give the warning, but not all of them make the wrong choice as to which execution path to follow.

Uh, I am suprised this has returned. MSC 2022 and current U++ -> no warnings...

Are you testing this?

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [Alboni](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2025 20:12:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No, I haven't tested anything with VS22 and later. I spoke from memory. Sorry for the confusion.

---

---

Subject: Re: Big issue with Visual Studio 2019 (some versions)

Posted by [JeyCi](#) on Sun, 01 Jun 2025 17:19:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Thu, 20 March 2025 15:37

Uh, I am suprised this has returned. MSC 2022 and current U++ -> no warnings...

I could not even suppose how and why, but after some experiments, having created new project with VSBT2019, - it started to compile OK for about a month -- just build\_method file should be correct, perhaps... but after reinstalling VSBT2019 - were 2 unresolved functions...

GetTempPathW function (fileapi.h) and GetModuleFileNameW function (libloaderapi.h) - both headers from in C:\Program Files\Windows Kits\10\Include\10.0.19041.0\um... OK, they reference windef.h that is in C:\Program Files\Windows Kits\10\Include\10.0.19041.0\shared, include together with um & ucrt. And for c-runtime to PATH C:\Program Files\Microsoft Visual Studio\2019\BuildTools\VC\Redist\MSVC\v142 and bin, include, lib from ..\VC\Tools\..

P.S. C:\Program Files\Microsoft SDKs\Windows Kits - no more used - C4927 warning appears with C:\Program Files\Microsoft SDKs\Windows Kits\10\ExtensionSDKs\Microsoft.UniversalCRT.Debug\10.0.1904 1.0\Redist\Debug, C:\Program Files\Windows Kits\10 - use instead

---