
Subject: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Thu, 08 Dec 2005 11:06:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what is the situation with MDI in U++?

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 10:38:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

or how to make something like this:

<http://doc.trolltech.com/4.1/qdockwidget.html#details>

or MFC CDocument?

As I understand, some docking features would be useful for toolbars anyway?

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 10:44:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fudadmin wrote on Thu, 08 December 2005 06:06 what is the situation with MDI in U++?

MDI in classical form will unlikely be supported (last time I have seen it was in win nt 3.5 file explorer anyway).

Floating toolbars are ToDo.

Mirek

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 10:58:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Have you seen MS Access tree view?

Edit: (Relationship)

It exists even 2003 version. Would you suggest MS to throw it away?

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:44:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fudadmin wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 06:58 Have you seen MS Access tree view?

Edit: (Relationship)

It exists even 2003 version. Would you suggest MS to throw it away?

Actually, I have not seen Access at all

<offtopic>

In fact, I have not used MS-Office for more than year. Not even installed it on my new computers. OpenOffice works fine for me.

</offtopic>

Classical MDI is not that hard to implement in U++, it is just quite a lot of code to write (my estimate is 500-1000 lines). The most difficult part is actually to draw captions and borders of windows

Mirek

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 14:12:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 12:44fudadmin wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 06:58Have you seen MS Access tree view?

Edit: (Relationship)

It exists even 2003 version. Would you suggest MS to throw it away?

Actually, I have not seen Access at all

<offtopic>

In fact, I have not used MS-Office for more than year. Not even installed it on my new computers. OpenOffice works fine for me.

</offtopic>

Classical MDI is not that hard to implement in U++, it is just quite a lot of code to write (my estimate is 500-1000 lines). The most difficult part is actually to draw captions and borders of windows

Mirek

As I see the situation now, in many essential areas (no MDI, no Drag&Drop interface, no normal table Ctrl, no normal easy-to-use text Ctrl, no Calendar Ctrl etc. etc.) Ultimate++ is just ultimate collection of buttons! And it is far away from other toolkits... And, if someone wants full set of features which other toolkits have, then he/she has to spend many months on DIY!

No surprise then, that Ultimate's popularity is so low...

And my last year's estimates (that Ultimate++ would catch other toolkits this summer) were too much optimistic... Two more years? Then, maybe...

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [gprentice](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 15:21:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fudadmin wrote on Sat, 29 April 2006 02:12As I see the situation now, in many essential areas (no MDI, no Drag&Drop interface, no normal table Ctrl, no normal easy-to-use text Ctrl,no Calendar Ctrl etc. etc.) Ultimate++ is just ultimate collection of buttons! And it is far away from other toolkits... And, if someone wants full set of features which other toolkits have, then he/she has to spend many months on DIY!

No surprise then, that Ultimate's popularity is so low...

And my last year's estimates (that Ultimate++ would catch other toolkits this summer) were too much optimistic... Two more years? Then, maybe...

Are you sure. Last I heard, MDI had been abandoned by MS quite some time ago.

What do you mean by "easy to use" text control? What is wrong with the existing text control - (I haven't used it so I'm wondering what the problem is?)

BTW - does Linux OS support drag & drop?

Graeme

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 16:47:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fudadmin wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 10:12

As I see the situation now, in many essential areas (no MDI, no Drag&Drop interface, no normal table Ctrl, no normal easy-to-use text Ctrl,no Calendar Ctrl etc. etc.) Ultimate++ is just ultimate collection of buttons! And it is far away from other toolkits... And, if someone wants full set of features which other toolkits have, then he/she has to spend many months on DIY!

No surprise then, that Ultimate's popularity is so low...

And my last year's estimates (that Ultimate++ would catch other toolkits this summer) were too much optimistic... Two more years? Then, maybe...

Thank for encouraging words, however, you got the mission goal wrong. U++ is about making all things simple to implement, not about having them implemented.

Also, some of things you mention are already done and used (drag&drop, calendar Ctrl), but not perfect. We do not want to provide imperfect solutions as it involves future conversion costs (I think PixelArray->new Image alone is pretty bad and I hope that it will be last major interface upgrade).

Mirek

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [pivica](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 22:11:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Also, some of things you mention are already done and used (drag&drop, calendar Ctrl)

Dose it mean that calendar control exist? Where is it? I cant find it.

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 22:52:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pivica wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 18:11Quote:Also, some of things you mention are already done and used (drag&drop, calendar Ctrl)

Dose it mean that calendar control exist? Where is it? I cant find it.

It's in upbbox repository (with example how to use it).

I'm currently working on 2.0 version which is gonna have:

- popuped months and year list
- properly reacting paint method on different font sizes

Expext it together with new chamelon technology..

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [pivica](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 23:51:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

unodgs wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 18:52pivica wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 18:11Quote:Also, some of things you mention are already done and used (drag&drop, calendar Ctrl)

Dose it mean that calendar control exist? Where is it? I cant find it.

It's in upbbox repository (with example how to use it).

I'm currently working on 2.0 version which is gonna have:

- popuped months and year list
- properly reacting paint method on different font sizes

Expext it together with new chamelon technology..

Good to know because I've just started creating my own calendar control. Now I can work on something else

It would be nice to have a list (maybe on site or wiki) of all stuff that are under development, and

also all other stuff that are waiting to be developed.
Whit this others could take some task for that list and try to code it.

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 29 Apr 2006 05:42:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe we should start releasing some form of "experimental packages" with this stuff.

Also, on the opposite side, we in future should start "backwards compatibility layer".

Mirek

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?
Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Sat, 29 Apr 2006 06:32:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gprentice wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 16:21

BTW - does Linux OS support drag & drop?

Graeme

<http://doc.trolltech.com/3.3/qdropevent.html>

Do you think qt provides this only for Windows?

and Fox-toolkit:

```
SEL_DND_ENTER,           // Drag action entering potential drop target
SEL_DND_LEAVE,          // Drag action leaving potential drop target
SEL_DND_DROP,           // Drop on drop target
SEL_DND_MOTION,         // Drag position changed over potential drop target
SEL_DND_REQUEST,       // Inquire drag and drop data
```

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?
Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Sat, 29 Apr 2006 06:37:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 17:47fudadmin wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 10:12

As I see the situation now, in many essential areas (no MDI, no Drag&Drop interface, no normal table Ctrl, no normal easy-to-use text Ctrl, no Calendar Ctrl etc. etc.) Ultimate++ is just ultimate collection of buttons! And it is far away from other toolkits... And, if someone wants full set of features which other toolkits have, then he/she has to spend many months on DIY!

No surprise then, that Ultimate's popularity is so low...

And my last year's estimates (that Ultimate++ would catch other toolkits this summer) were too much optimistic... Two more years? Then, maybe...

Thank for encouraging words, however, you got the mission goal wrong. U++ is about making all things simple to implement, not about having them implemented.

Mirek

So, you are just confirming that Ultimate++ is not a complete toolkit but a collection DIY pieces...

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Sat, 29 Apr 2006 06:47:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 28 April 2006 17:47

U++ is about making all things simple to implement, not about having them implemented.

Mirek

So, you are just confirming that Ultimate++ is not a complete toolkit but a collection DIY pieces...
[/quote]

Then, how does it relate to "programmers productivity" advertised on top of the home page? It must be stated that Ultimate is not for "end-user-programmers" but for "libraries-programmers"!

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 29 Apr 2006 07:44:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thing is that programming itself is DIY affair. If it would not be, there would be no programs left to implement

There is also one aspect of this issue - often it is much easier to do some concrete implementation than to generalise the solution to the all problems of the world. E.g. if I would need MDI for any of my applications, I would simply do it in about 50 lines of code (still less than using "ready to use" MDI in many other frameworks). Ditto for drag&drop.

However, making "generic all case" MDI is little bit more difficult. Cross-platform, cross-format, inter-application drag&drop is quite hard to do right because there are too many factors involved.

Mirek

Subject: Re: what is the situation with MDI and Dock widget in U++?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Sat, 29 Apr 2006 08:14:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Sat, 29 April 2006 08:44: Thing is that programming itself is DIY affair. If it would not be, there would be no programs left to implement

There is also one aspect of this issue - often it is much easier to do some concrete implementation than to generalise the solution to the all problems of the world. E.g. if I would need MDI for any of my applications, I would simply do it in about 50 lines of code (still less than using "ready to use" MDI in many other frameworks). Ditto for drag&drop.

However, making "generic all case" MDI is little bit more difficult. Cross-platform, cross-format, inter-application drag&drop is quite hard to do right because there are too many factors involved.

Mirek

That's why I said "Two more years. Then, maybe..." for Ultimate++ to catch up with other toolkits...
