Subject: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by Xemuth on Sat, 22 Aug 2020 16:19:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

When using the Bazaar/plugin/assimp package, I got an error at linking (only when using CLANG) (MSVS work perfect) :

```
(): Linking has failed
(): lld-link: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
(): >>> defined at Core.a(heap.o)
(): >>> defined at libc++.a(new.cpp.obj)
():
(): lld-link: error: duplicate symbol: operator new(unsigned long long)
(): >>> defined at Core.a(heap.o)
(): >>> defined at libc++.a(new.cpp.obj)
 ...
```

The only way I have found to get around this issu is to put the flag "USEMALLOC" but I don't think it's a good way.
I don't know if this"problem" is related to Upp or assimp.

Someone can help me by explaining what's happening ?

Thanks

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by koldo on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 08:49:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry Xemuth

I've tried my best, unsuccessfully, trying to remove this duplicate symbol error.

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by mirek on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 09:00:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I believe it can still be related to:

https://github.com/mstorsjo/llvm-mingw/issues/91

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()

Posted by koldo on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 10:03:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mirek wrote on Mon, 24 August 2020 11:00I believe it can still be related to:

https://github.com/mstorsjo/llvm-mingw/issues/91
Yes sure.
However I am clueless. Even commenting all new/delete overloading in plugin/assimp, and rebuilding all, the error remains...

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by Xemuth on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 14:44:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What's the impact of flag "USEMALLOC" is using malloc instead of new/delete in U++ slower ?!

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by mirek on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 14:58:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Xemuth wrote on Mon, 24 August 2020 16:44What's the impact of flag "USEMALLOC" is using malloc instead of new/delete in U++ slower ?!

I hope so :)

Mirek

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by Xemuth on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 16:17:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I mean do using malloc/free instead of new/delete is slower ? If no then this error is not a priority ^^

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by mirek on Mon, 24 Aug 2020 20:14:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Xemuth wrote on Mon, 24 August 2020 18:17I mean do using malloc/free instead of new/delete is slower ? If no then this error is not a priority ^^

I mean, yes, it should. I have spent a lot of time optimizing our own allocator...

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by koldo on Tue, 25 Aug 2020 05:29:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The ASSIMP case is a good example of U++ efficiency (thanks to Mirek :)).
The same ASSIMP example compiled with MSC without USEMALLOC is much faster than with USEMALLOC.
Now it would be great to get CLANG working without USEMALLOC.

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by mirek on Tue, 25 Aug 2020 07:40:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

koldo wrote on Tue, 25 August 2020 07:29The ASSIMP case is a good example of U++ efficiency (thanks to Mirek :)).
The same ASSIMP example compiled with MSC without USEMALLOC is much faster than with USEMALLOC.
Now it would be great to get CLANG working without USEMALLOC.

Just for the info: I have looked into it, removed all funny new/delete related code in ASSIMP, but it still does not work.

Subject: Re: error: duplicate symbol: std::__throw_bad_alloc()
Posted by koldo on Tue, 25 Aug 2020 07:42:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mirek wrote on Tue, 25 August 2020 09:40koldo wrote on Tue, 25 August 2020 07:29The ASSIMP case is a good example of U++ efficiency (thanks to Mirek :)).
The same ASSIMP example compiled with MSC without USEMALLOC is much faster than with USEMALLOC.
Now it would be great to get CLANG working without USEMALLOC.

Just for the info: I have looked into it, removed all funny new/delete related code in ASSIMP, but it still does not work.
Yes, I did that and obtained the same result :(