

---

Subject: Some bazaar packages ported to UppHub  
Posted by [mdefede](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2022 17:07:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I created a gitlab repository on my server with some of my old Bazaar packages ported to UppHub.

Some are been already ported by somebody (Uniq, PolyXML, Cypher...) and some not (Serial, Protect, RasterCtri...).

Repository is here :

<https://gitlab.veneto.com/upphub?page=1>

Feel free to link in official UppHub list the ones you want... I still think that it should be possible to add MORE UppHub URLs inside theide, as I pointed in a previous post, so everybody could provide its own list of Hub packages to add, without having to replace the official list.

I even tested links inside json package list, they don't work.

Ciao

Max

---

---

Subject: Re: Some bazaar packages ported to UppHub  
Posted by [Klugier](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2022 20:26:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Massimo,

Quote:

Feel free to link in official UppHub list the ones you want... I still think that it should be possible to add MORE UppHub URLs inside theide, as I pointed in a previous post, so everybody could provide its own list of Hub packages to add, without having to replace the official list.  
I even tested links inside json package list, they don't work.

I agree that it would be cool to have the possibility to add additional lists to the official one. I have this on my radar, however we can not do everything at once :) Currently there is a possibility to override official list with the custom one via "More.." -> "Set UppHub URL..". Did you try this option? If yes and if it doesn't work make sure that .json is formatted similar to our official nests.json file. This option should work with remote and local files. Screenshot with configuration window below:

Quote:

Some are been already ported by somebody (Uniq, PolyXML, Cypher...) and some not (Serial,

Protect, RasterCtri...).

In case of your packages. We ported them, because we were not sure you are still interested in contributing to U++. I think we should migrate to yours package version for our official nests.json. Could you give me all packages that are already in UppHub, but belongs to you and are available on <https://gitlab.veneto.com/upphub?page=1>. I will replace links.

Please use always U++ as a name of our framework. Ultimate++ is deprecated. So, in description "Ultimate++ ([www.ultimatepp.org](http://www.ultimatepp.org)) UppHub packages" should be replaced with "U++ ([ultimatepp.org](http://www.ultimatepp.org)) UppHub packages".

Moreover, did you consider different name for your group on GitLab? UppHub seems like official repository/registry and in this case it is not. This group is dedicated to your packages. For example Inaki has Anboto organization, maybe you can have something similar like MaxPackagesForUpp, MaxPackages, MaxUppPackages, MPackages, PowerPackages, PowerUppPackages, etc.. It is up to you what you will choose, but UppHub as a group name should be avoided in this case.

Klugier

#### File Attachments

1) [Zrzut ekranu\\_20221219\\_210338.png](#), downloaded 486 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Some bazaar packages ported to UppHub  
Posted by [mdefede](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2022 21:26:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Klugier wrote on Mon, 19 December 2022 21:26Hello Massimo,

I agree that it would be cool to have the possibility to add additional lists to the official one. I have this on my radar, however we can not do everything at once :) Currently there is a possibility to override official list with the custom one via "More.." -> "Set UppHub URL..". Did you try this option? If yes and if it doesn't work make sure that .json is formatted similar to our official nests.json file.

Yep, I'm manually appending "official" json to mine, on a local text file, but that's not optimal. My idea would be to add more lines in URL dialog, and to give "precedence" to last ones. So if somebody want to test some local patches, it's enough to add an url pointing to local fork and, when the package is ready for deployment, remove it.  
For example,

<https://github.com/ultimatepp/UppHub/blob/main/nests.json>

contains 'Uniq' package and I want to test some local changes, I could add a line like this :

<https://github.com/ultimatepp/UppHub/blob/main/nests.json>

[https://veneto.gitlab.com/UppHub/my\\_local\\_nests.json](https://veneto.gitlab.com/UppHub/my_local_nests.json)

which could contain 'Uniq' package too, which would take over the official one.

Quote:

In case of your packages. We ported them, because we were not sure you are still interested in contributing to U++. I think we should migrate to yours package version for our official nests.json. Could you give me all packages that are already in UppHub, but belongs to you and are available on <https://gitlab.veneto.com/upphub?page=1>. I will replace links.

Please, not for now, I'm still quite busy and don't know how well will I be able to maintain them. Maybe on next year things will change.

Quote:

Please use always U++ as a name of our framework. Ultimate++ is deprecated. So, in description "Ultimate++ ([www.ultimatepp.org](http://www.ultimatepp.org)) UppHub packages" should be replaced with "U++ ([ultimatepp.org](http://www.ultimatepp.org)) UppHub packages".

Ok.

Quote:

Moreover, did you consider different name for your group on GitLab? UppHub seems like official repository/registry and in this case it is not. This group is dedicated to your packages. For example Inaki has Anboto organization, maybe you can have something similar like MaxPackagesForUpp, MaxPackages, MaxUppPackages, MPackages, PowerPackages, PowerUppPackages, etc.. It is up to you what you will choose, but UppHub as a group name should be avoided in this case.

well, [gitlab.veneto.com](https://gitlab.veneto.com) is my private server, so the /UppHub group there should not bring problems, IMHO.

I want to keep my code on my server and not on public ones.

Ciao

Max

---