
Subject: Layout files versus embedded code

Posted by [MrAndreas](#) on Fri, 17 Feb 2023 13:25:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just came across U++ and soon found the following example...

```
#include <CtrlLib/CtrlLib.h>
```

```
#define LAYOUTFILE <Days/Days.lay>
```

```
#include <CtrlCore/lay.h>
```

```
class Days : public WithDaysLayout<TopWindow> {  
public:
```

```
    typedef Days CLASSNAME;  
    Days();  
};
```

```
Days::Days()  
{  
    CtrlLayout(*this, "Days");  
    date1 ^= date2 ^= [=] {  
        result = IsNull(date1) || IsNull(date2) ? "" :  
            Format("There is %d day(s) between %` and %`", abs(Date(~date1) - Date(~date2)),  
~date1, ~date2);  
    };  
}
```

```
GUI_APP_MAIN  
{  
    Days().Run();  
}
```

Source: U++ Overview / 1. Whetting your appetite

Based on this example it appears U++ makes use of external data files that describe the GUI similar to how Delphi and C++ Builder uses DFM files for the same purpose. If this is the case then why was this paradigm chosen when it has been proven to be a source of many errors and in general flawed? Note that Anders, the original architect of Delphi/C++ Builder, recognised and rectified these flaws when he designed C# and made the GUI definitions as plain C# code embedded in standard C# source files.

Cheers,
-Andreas

Subject: Re: Layout files versus embedded code
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 19 Feb 2023 09:12:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nope. See this:

```
#define LAYOUTFILE <Days/Days.lay>
#include <CtrlCore/lay.h>
```

This is actually the layout definition and it is embedded directly to sources.

AFAIK, this is very similar to C# does. The one difference is that while C# dialog designer produces complex C# code with multiple warning in comments "do not edit by hand", in U++, thanks to macros, we have a bit nicer "format"

```
LAYOUT(DaysLayout, 320, 64)
ITEM(Upp::EditDate, date1, LeftPosZ(52, 100).TopPosZ(8, 19))
ITEM(Upp::Label, dv___1, SetLabel(t_("Date 1")).LeftPosZ(8, 40).TopPosZ(8, 19))
ITEM(Upp::EditDate, date2, LeftPosZ(212, 100).TopPosZ(8, 19))
ITEM(Upp::Label, dv___3, SetLabel(t_("Date 2")).LeftPosZ(168, 40).TopPosZ(8, 19))
ITEM(Upp::Label, result, LeftPosZ(8, 304).TopPosZ(36, 19))
END_LAYOUT
```

which definitely can be and often is edited as text, but with a bit of macro trickery is actually possible input for C++ compiler.

So no, no external files, layouts are C++ code.

Subject: Re: Layout files versus embedded code
Posted by [MrAndreas](#) on Sun, 19 Feb 2023 16:39:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you for clarifying this.

The fact that U++ uses C++ code for layout definitions is a huge advantage over most other solutions.

Subject: Re: Layout files versus embedded code
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 19 Feb 2023 17:57:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MrAndreas wrote on Sun, 19 February 2023 17:39 Thank you for clarifying this.

The fact that U++ uses C++ code for layout definitions is a huge advantage over most other solutions.

It gets even better - same is true for images (icons) :) These are simply embedded as C++ code as well.

Mirek
