
Subject: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [forlano](#) on Thu, 20 Feb 2025 16:01:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

do we have in core a STABLE fast sort algorithm?

Thanks,
Luigi

Subject: Re: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [koldo](#) on Thu, 20 Feb 2025 16:24:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In [https://www.ultimatepp.org/srcdoc\\$Core\\$Tutorial\\$en-us.html](https://www.ultimatepp.org/srcdoc$Core$Tutorial$en-us.html) it appears this:

File Attachments

1) [firefox_0JTROk3tWL.png](#), downloaded 298 times

Subject: Re: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [JeyCi](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2025 06:28:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

can just advise to use COM-dll from bedvit (if it will connect to Upp)

1. ArraySort (String)
 2. ArraySort (Variant)
-

Subject: Re: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [Didier](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2025 18:48:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Recently I had challenged sort algorithms: Upp, Std, other ...And Upp sorts were the fastest :) (at least for my use-case)

If you want to transform an unstable algorithm into stable one, you just need to add data index comparison in you're comparison criteria.

I tried it with Upp sort, and it was faster than stable sort :?: (for my use case were there where the comparison criteria was quite complex)

Subject: Re: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [JeyCi](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2025 21:05:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Didier wrote on Thu, 20 March 2025 19:48

If you want to transform an unstable algorithm into stable one, you just need to add data index comparison in your comparison criteria.

as I remember, he took comparator from here. He is developing COM and uses sorting of pointers to memory - that is considered to be faster, but as I know, UPP IDE also works with stack and heap directly in its source-files, just hiding pointers to Core and other packages.

Subject: Re: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 18 Apr 2025 12:19:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Didier wrote on Thu, 20 March 2025 19:48 Recently I had challenged sort algorithms: Upp, Std, other ... And Upp sorts were the fastest :) (at least for my use-case)

It is possible they are not faster in general case - they are tuned to sort `Upp::String` - which usually is the use-case.

Subject: Re: Stable Sort algorithm
Posted by [Didier](#) on Sun, 20 Apr 2025 17:50:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe my use case is close to that:

Sort an array of int based on sort criteria coming from data pointed by the index: sounds pretty close to sorting strings

If my memory is good, the `upp::sort` was 2 times faster than `std::sort`
