Subject: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by mirek on Thu, 03 Jul 2025 09:10:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Fixes CLANG 20 warning about Moveable and fedora assist crashes:

https://sourceforge.net/projects/upp/files/upp/2025.1.1rc1/

Please test, if there are no complaints I will make it default in ~10 days.

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Didier on Thu, 03 Jul 2025 15:55:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Still fine for me

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Tom1 on Fri, 04 Jul 2025 09:25:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Mirek,

A quick check suggests that it works here.

Please note that the license agreement shown during installation still states last year:

"Copyright © 1998, 2024 U++ team"

Best regards,

Tom

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Klugier on Fri, 04 Jul 2025 16:54:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello Tom,

I won't change that, it is a hotfix release with critical changes. From my point of view, it is very cosmetic issue and it won't influence user experience in anyway. If we will look what we fixed since release, there will be more important things to pick. So, I will leave it as it is and focus to fix it on main and then on the new release.

Klugier

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Tom1 on Fri, 04 Jul 2025 20:40:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Klugier,

Absolutely! It's all up to you how you wish to proceed with that. I just felt I should let you know about it. Personally, I do not have any preference...

Best regards,

Tom

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Klugier on Thu, 17 Jul 2025 18:35:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello Mirek,

I saw that there is a compilation issue on older Linux distributions due unable to handle new AES stuff. I am also aware that you comited workaround to fix this problem. What should we do in context of release? Should we proceed with 2025.1.1 and then release proper fix with 2025.1.2 or should we wait and fix this issue. I think we shouldn't wait with 2025.1.1 the fastest we release the batter. The addressed issues are critical.

Klugier

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by mirek on Thu, 17 Jul 2025 19:06:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Klugier wrote on Thu, 17 July 2025 20:35Hello Mirek,

I saw that there is a compilation issue on older Linux distributions due unable to handle new AES stuff. I am also aware that you comited workaround to fix this problem. What should we do in context of release? Should we proceed with 2025.1.1 and then release proper fix with 2025.1.2 or should we wait and fix this issue. I think we shouldn't wait with 2025.1.1 the fastest we release the batter. The addressed issues are critical.

Klugier

AES is post 2025.1 (AFAIK - please check).

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Oblivion on Thu, 17 Jul 2025 19:17:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Quote:Hello Mirek,

I saw that there is a compilation issue on older Linux distributions due unable to handle new AES stuff. I am also aware that you comited workaround to fix this problem. What should we do in context of release? Should we proceed with 2025.1.1 and then release proper fix with 2025.1.2 or should we wait and fix this issue. I think we shouldn't wait with 2025.1.1 the fastest we release the batter. The addressed issues are critical.

AES-GCM & "Secure" stuff is meant for release >= 2025.2. 2025.1.x shouldn't ship it.

I'm working on a proper workaround (it will be available as late as next week) for the compilation issue on older linux distros.

Best regards,
Oblivion

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Klugier on Thu, 17 Jul 2025 20:04:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

Thanks. So, I think we can move forward with releasing 2025.1.1. It is now older than 10 days

Klugier

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Klugier on Mon, 28 Jul 2025 22:04:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello Mirek,

I think you can make renmae 2025.1.1rc1 to 2025.1.1 on Sourceforge and make it official release. Once done, I will create release on GitHub and then update flatpak. Instead of having 10 days, now e almost have 30...

Klugier

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by mirek on Tue, 29 Jul 2025 11:32:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry, I thought I already did... Done.

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Klugier on Tue, 29 Jul 2025 16:51:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello Mirek,

Thanks! I created 2025.1.1 release on GitHub. Alos, I updated documentation on the stable release in this commit. Should we merge stable to main or cherry pick this commit? I am affraid of potential conflicts in the future.

Klugier

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by superdev on Tue, 29 Jul 2025 22:40:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Klugier wrote on Tue, 29 July 2025 18:51Hello Mirek,

Thanks! I created 2025.1.1 release on GitHub. Alos, I updated documentation on the stable release in this commit. Should we merge stable to main or cherry pick this commit? I am affraid of potential conflicts in the future.

Klugier
17810?

Subject: Re: 2025.1.1rc1
Posted by Klugier on Wed, 30 Jul 2025 20:01:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello superdev,

It's fine, for GitHub releases I don't use commit number version in the release title. IMO, It doesn't present well. The only reference to this version is on the files associated with release.

Klugier