
Subject: tutorial check completed - "bugs" and bug
Posted by [Werner](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 09:55:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is a minor "bug" in tutorial "Gui14", "Gui15", "Gui20a", "Gui20b", and "Gui20c":

The respective line
`typedef MyApp[Window] CLASSNAME;`
is unnecessary.

Tutorial "Ntl01" is broken:

Ntl01.upp line #5 reads
Ntl01.cpp.cpp;
but should read

Ntl01.cpp;

Werner

Subject: Re: tutorial check completed - "bugs" and bug
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 18:23:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Werner wrote on Sun, 20 August 2006 05:55There is a minor "bug" in tutorial "Gui14", "Gui15",
"Gui20a", "Gui20b", and "Gui20c":

The respective line
`typedef MyApp[Window] CLASSNAME;`
is unnecessary.

That is true, but in this case, I think I will keep it as it is. Adding this typedef for GUI class is a
good practice in U++.... (because you soon will need some THISBACK anyway

Mirek

Subject: Re: tutorial check completed - "bugs" and bug
Posted by [Werner](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:33:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Sun, 20 August 2006 20:23Werner wrote on Sun, 20 August 2006 05:55There is a
minor "bug" in tutorial "Gui14", "Gui15", "Gui20a", "Gui20b", and "Gui20c":

The respective line
typedef MyApp[Window] CLASSNAME;
is unnecessary.

That is true, but in this case, I think I will keep it as it is. Adding this typedef for GUI class is a good practice in U++.... (because you soon will need some THISBACK anyway

Mirek

Apologies for being insistent , but might I suggest that you reconsider your decision ?

After all the tutorials are not production code, or are they? They are meant to demonstrate a certain topic. And here the KISS principle applies: Keep it short and simple! If you do prefer to retain the line in question - and it goes without saying that your "good practice" argument is compelling - please consider to document this line. There are probably a lot of users like me who are not professional programmers and have to strive hard to analyze code undocumented to a large extent .

Werner

Subject: Re: tutorial check completed - "bugs" and bug
Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:44:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Werner wrote on Sun, 20 August 2006 20:33luzr wrote on Sun, 20 August 2006 20:23Werner wrote on Sun, 20 August 2006 05:55There is a minor "bug" in tutorial "Gui14", "Gui15", "Gui20a", "Gui20b", and "Gui20c":

The respective line
typedef MyApp[Window] CLASSNAME;
is unnecessary.

That is true, but in this case, I think I will keep it as it is. Adding this typedef for GUI class is a good practice in U++.... (because you soon will need some THISBACK anyway

Mirek

Apologies for being insistent , but might I suggest that you reconsider your decision ?

After all the tutorials are not production code, or are they? They are meant to demonstrate a certain topic. And here the KISS principle applies: Keep it short and simple! If you do prefer to retain the line in question - and it goes without saying that your "good practice" argument is compelling - please consider to document this line. There are probably a lot of users like me who are not professional programmers and have to strive hard to analyze code undocumented to a

large extent .

Werner

May I suggest, that it should just mentioned somewhere with big letters that "typedef is not "a must" but "a good practice" for THISBACK macro callbacks"

Subject: Re: tutorial check completed - "bugs" and bug

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:47:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK. I agree.

Mirek
