
Subject: UPT: enhancement suggestion
Posted by [Werner](#) on Sat, 14 Oct 2006 13:11:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is an updated and corrected version of a post which I had sent to Mirek.

Maybe it had better go into "U++ 'under development' Classes/Packages" but I can't find an appropriate topic. (I'm going to report this to a moderator.)

I once again suggest to enhance the UPT handling, this time by adding another template item "text" ("TEMPLATEITEM_TEXT").

What you can do with UPTs, increases dramatically when you can use strings with any character. The template items "id" and "filename" suffer from filtering. Using the template item "select" would make it far to difficult to handle the selection.

After all the suggested change requires the modification of just 7 lines of code, 1 in "UppDlg.h":

```
enum { TEMPLATEITEM_ID, TEMPLATEITEM_FILENAME, TEMPLATEITEM_OPTION,  
TEMPLATEITEM_SELECT, TEMPLATEITEM_TEXT };
```

and 6 in "Template.cpp":

```
static char *nm[] = { "id", "filename", "option", "select", "text" };  
...  
case TEMPLATEITEM_TEXT: {  
    EditField *e = new EditField;  
    ctrl.Add(e);  
    break;  
}
```

Werner

Subject: Re: UPT: enhancement suggestion
Posted by [Werner](#) on Sun, 15 Oct 2006 11:35:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Werner wrote on Sat, 14 October 2006 15:11 This is an updated and corrected version of a post which I had sent to Mirek.

After all the suggested change requires the modification of just 7 lines of code, 1 in "UppDlg.h":

...

and 6 in "Template.cpp":

...

```
case TEMPLATEITEM_TEXT: {  
    EditField *e = new EditField;  
    ctrl.Add(e);  
    break;  
}
```

Mirek,

as far as I can see, in modification block 1324 "Template.cpp" still needs the above-mentioned completion.

This is certainly my fault. In my first message (directly to you) I mentioned only 2 lines to be changed, but 7 lines would have been correct. Sorry.

Werner
