
Subject: Sql global

Posted by [laurice](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 07:47:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How do you declare a global Sql sql instance?

Why do I need this? I want to speed up a very long process by executing just one query and my SetTimeCallback function will just fetch it from the global sql.Fetch().

I tried to declare a global Sql sql and attach the session by
sql = Sql(session), but it exits the program.

Are there any other approach other than populating global Vectors or Arrays, such as Sql iterators?

Thanks,

Laurice

Subject: Re: Sql global

Posted by [pepe11](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 08:50:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

In Sqls.h is on line 211 :

```
struct AppSql : Sql {  
    void operator=(SqlSource& s) { Assign(s); }  
    AppSql() : Sql(NULLSQL) {}  
};  
AppSql& AppCursor();  
#define SQL AppCursor()
```

And in Sql.cpp is written on line 404 :

```
GLOBAL_VAR(AppSql, AppCursor)
```

Try used SQL as global instance.

It is only my observant. Maybe i'm wrong.

pepe11

Subject: Re: Sql global

Posted by [laurice](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:24:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can you give a short code snippet on how can I use this SQL macro?

Subject: Re: Sql global

Posted by [zsolt](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 11:03:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm using something like these two functions to open and close sqlite database file:

```
void OpenDB()
{
    Sqlite3Session &sql_session = Single<Sqlite3Session>();
#ifdef _DEBUG
    sql_session.LogErrors(true);
#endif
    if(!sql_session.Open(ConfigFile("data.db"))) {
        LOG("Can't create or open database file\n");
        return;
    }

    // This is global:
    SQL = sql_session;
}
```

```
void CloseDB()
{
    Single<Sqlite3Session>().Close();
}
```

And in code I query database this way:

```
Sql sql;
sql * Select(SqlAll()).From(SOME_TABLE);
while(sql.Fetch()){
    //Do something
    Value some_field = sql[SOME_FIELD];
}
```

Subject: Re: Sql global

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 21:04:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

zsolt wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 06:03I'm using something like these two functions to open and close sqlite database file:

Interesting, why two functions and Single?

In my apps, I open DB in GUI_APP_MAIN and let destructor to close it.

```
GUI_APP_MAIN {  
    Sqlite3Session s;  
    if(!s.Open(...)) ...  
    SQL = s;  
  
    ..run the app...  
}
```

Mirek

Subject: Re: Sql global
Posted by [zsolt](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 22:06:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Interesting, why two functions and Single?
I implemented this, because in my app, I have to close and open databases while the app is running. But SqlSession interface, that I can get from the global SQL, has no Open() method to open sqlite3 session.

Subject: Re: Sql global
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 22:11:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That explains it... (but in that case, I may rather used Sqlite variable instead of Single and just Open - it should close the previous connection first, at least that is how U++ classes are expected to work....).

Mirek

Subject: Re: Sql global
Posted by [zsolt](#) on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 22:26:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Mon, 20 November 2006 23:11 That explains it... (but in that case, I may rather used Sqlite variable instead of Single and just Open - it should close the previous connection first, at least that is how U++ classes are expected to work....).
I don't undestand this. I use Single, because the session have to be live in memory. If I create an

Sqlitee3Session on the stack and Open() it, it will be destroyed at the end of the method.
Or do you mean, that using a global Sqlitee3Session is better than single?

Subject: Re: Sql global

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:19:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Or do you mean, that using a global Sqlitee3Session is better than single?

Sometimes. But I rather had in mind member variable.

Anyway, solution with Single is OK as well. Just that Sqlite is not the typical singleton class

Mirek

Subject: Re: Sql global

Posted by [laurice](#) on Tue, 21 Nov 2006 04:37:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

great, modified the source code with SQL, and works like a charm.
