Subject: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by Werner on Sat, 06 Jan 2007 11:32:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've incorporated Mirek's statement on the current state of porting Ultimate++ to various operating systems (message #7463) into my web site.

May I respectfully ask everybody who is involved in this topic to check whether my interpretation is correct?

Werner

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by mirek on Sat, 06 Jan 2007 13:18:09 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

MacOS/X11 runs on both x86 (and x86-64) and PowerPC CPUs (not only on PowerPC).

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by Werner on Sat, 06 Jan 2007 16:13:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luzr wrote on Sat, 06 January 2007 14:18MacOS/X11 runs on both x86 (and x86-64) and PowerPC CPUs (not only on PowerPC).

Thanks. Corrected.

Werner

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by lundman on Sun, 07 Jan 2007 00:42:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I didn't have too much issue porting it to NetBSD, i386 only at the time, but not reason other CPUs can't be made to run.

Didn't realise Solaris was wanted, it is all we run at work. Pretty sure I have Purify installed too, if you feel really confident about your code

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by Werner on Sun, 07 Jan 2007 06:12:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lundman wrote on Sun, 07 January 2007 01:42
I didn't have too much issue porting it to NetBSD, i386 only at the time, but not reason other CPUs can't be made to run.

Didn't realise Solaris was wanted, it is all we run at work. Pretty sure I have Purify installed too, if you feel really confident about your code

Thanks for your response.

I understand that you succeeded in porting Ultimate++ to NetBSD running on an x86 cpu machine. So, can I tell the visitors of my web site that basically Ultimate++ runs under NetBSD and ... that you are willing to help if problems arise?

Did I get you right that, as far as you see, Ultimate++ should run under Solaris, too?

Werner

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by masu on Sun, 07 Jan 2007 22:15:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Werner,

Ultimate++ is also only tested on an i386 machine on FreeBSD (which also runs on several platforms).

Matthias

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by lundman on Tue, 09 Jan 2007 10:37:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yes, NetBSD compile was fine, minor tweaks.. I can do a package for 601, and even submit patches (few) now that I know that they have a chance to be used. (sorry, just been burnt before)

No, I don't mind helping. But it is MUCH easier if you have one IDE to build another. The commandline building is harder.

Lund

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by mirek on Tue, 09 Jan 2007 10:52:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lundman wrote on Tue, 09 January 2007 05:37The commandline building is harder.

Chicken-egg problem That is why I am so glad we have working Mac X11 IDE now, that will speed up things a lot...

Mirek

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by Werner on Tue, 09 Jan 2007 13:54:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

masu wrote on Sun, 07 January 2007 23:15Hi Werner,

Ultimate++ is also only tested on an i386 machine on FreeBSD (which also runs on several platforms).

Matthias

Thanks.

At the next opportunity I'll rephrase that more precisely on my web site.

Werner

Subject: Re: OSes: request for "advertising" audit
Posted by Werner on Tue, 09 Jan 2007 13:57:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lundman wrote on Tue, 09 January 2007 11:37Yes, NetBSD compile was fine, minor tweaks.. I can do a package for 601, and even submit patches (few) now that I know that they have a chance to be used. ...

Thanks.

At the next opportunity I'll rephrase this topic more precisely on my web site.

Werner