## Subject: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by masu on Fri, 19 Jan 2007 22:43:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'd just like to report that progress bar is not cameleonized on my system. It looks like winXP progress bar.

Matthias

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by mirek on Fri, 19 Jan 2007 23:18:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yes, these are elements not chameleonized yet:

- HeaderCtrl
- ProgressBar
- ComboBox
- Menu (complete)
- SliderCtrl

This will be improving gradually (fighting GTK is time consuming issue...)

Mirek

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by piratalp on Fri, 14 Sep 2007 17:52:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luzr wrote on Fri, 19 January 2007 20:18Yes, these are elements not chameleonized yet:

- HeaderCtrl
- ProgressBar
- ComboBox
- Menu (complete)
- SliderCtrl

This will be improving gradually (fighting GTK is time consuming issue...)

Mirek

This info is outdated, Header, Progress & Combo are already chameleonized, Menu style.. I think it's just borning, having only item & topitem values for selected items is like having nothing.., they should support the 4 states plus one style for "separators" and a 4 state value for checks also, it also lacks a value for menu background, another for topbar background (so we can be render

things like horizontal gradients present in .NET 2.0 & Office 2003), and a "lateral" value rendered on top of menu background (the area where the icons are painted), this should conclude Menu theming, SliderCtrl I don't know ATM..

Regards Mauricio

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by piratalp on Fri, 14 Sep 2007 17:58:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Also, ToolBar style has a look[6] which does not belong for toolbars at all, it is used for buttons rendered inside, and it lacks the toolbar style itself, so we can render a chameleonized toolbar too (its background!), BTW what are look[4] & look[5] uses?

Regards Mauricio

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by mirek on Sat, 15 Sep 2007 08:10:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

piratalp wrote on Fri, 14 September 2007 13:52luzr wrote on Fri, 19 January 2007 20:18Yes, these are elements not chameleonized yet:

- HeaderCtrl
- ProgressBar
- ComboBox
- Menu (complete)
- SliderCtrl

This will be improving gradually (fighting GTK is time consuming issue...)

Mirek

This info is outdated,

Not really, it was addressing X11/GTK support.

Mirek

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized

## Posted by mirek on Sat, 15 Sep 2007 08:12:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

piratalp wrote on Fri, 14 September 2007 13:58Also, ToolBar style has a look[6] which does not belong for toolbars at all, it is used for buttons rendered inside, and it lacks the toolbar style itself, so we can render a chameleonized toolbar too (its background!), BTW what are look[4] & look[5] uses?

Regards Mauricio

ToolBar chameleon is not quite finished; feel free to improve on it.

Anyway, I think that style of buttons rendered belongs right to ToolBar, because it is ToolBar who creates the buttons. (But I might be wrong too; I think that the proof of concept here is to provide the Office toolbar implementation that would be capable of displaying normal XP toolbar too).

Mirek

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by piratalp on Mon, 17 Sep 2007 17:38:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luzr wrote on Sat, 15 September 2007 05:12piratalp wrote on Fri, 14 September 2007 13:58Also, ToolBar style has a look[6] which does not belong for toolbars at all, it is used for buttons rendered inside, and it lacks the toolbar style itself, so we can render a chameleonized toolbar too (its background!), BTW what are look[4] & look[5] uses?

Regards Mauricio

ToolBar chameleon is not quite finished; feel free to improve on it.

Anyway, I think that style of buttons rendered belongs right to ToolBar, because it is ToolBar who creates the buttons. (But I might be wrong too; I think that the proof of concept here is to provide the Office toolbar implementation that would be capable of displaying normal XP toolbar too).

Mirek

Exactly, that's why I told we need look for toolbar background also (-Value background- maybe?), OTOH you left my question about look[4] & look[5] unanswered.. what are they for?

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized Posted by mirek on Mon, 17 Sep 2007 20:14:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:

Exactly, that's why I told we need look for toolbar background also (-Value background- maybe?)

Obviously it has to be Value background

Quote:

, OTOH you left my question about look[4] & look[5] unanswered.. what are they for?

Sorry. It is checked button and highlighted checked button (.Check method).

Note: to find out what specific elements are for (until somebody writes some docs, it is usually good to look what default values they are assigned and then look them out in Ctrls.iml file.

BTW, I also think that perspectively we should be able to deal with animations. I had some ideas how to make it work, but unfortunately none of them was really clever (just for the record, I consider current Chameleon system quite smart.

Any ideas are welcome there...

Mirek

Subject: Re: progress bar not chameleonized

Posted by piratalp on Wed, 19 Sep 2007 17:51:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:

Quote:

Exactly, that's why I told we need look for toolbar background also (-Value background- maybe?)

Obviously it has to be Value background

Ok, good to agree

Quote:

Quote:

, OTOH you left my question about look[4] & look[5] unanswered.. what are they for?

Sorry. It is checked button and highlighted checked button (.Check method).

I figured it out yesterday when I opened theide help and saw Highlight search keywords button

with ribbon skin (I assigned Green & Red combinations to them so they catch my eyes when appear..) Thanks anyway!

## Quote:

BTW, I also think that perspectively we should be able to deal with animations. I had some ideas how to make it work, but unfortunately none of them was really clever (just for the record, I consider current Chameleon system quite smart .

Any ideas are welcome there...

I completely agree, but it will need more than one headache and a bit of coding... and yes, it is really smart

## Regards