
Subject: upp-portage
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 14:27:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ebojd, I think upp-portage should be placed with releases, not in special category.

Mirek

Subject: Re: upp-portage
Posted by [ebojd](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 14:49:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 09:27Ebojd, I think upp-portage should be placed with releases, not in special category.

If I understand your statement, that was a mistake. I changed the upp-portage package release to be 2007.1rc3. Is this what you were referring to, or do I need to rename the package?

Thanks for catching the upp-portage release thing. It should be changed now.

EBo --

Subject: Re: upp-portage
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 15:41:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, sorry, what I wanted to say is that I believe that file should be called like

upp-portage-2007.1rc3.zip

and be placed in 2007.1rc3 group.

There should be no "upp-portage" group.

Mirek

Subject: Re: upp-portage
Posted by [ebojd](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 17:09:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 10:41No, sorry, what I wanted to say is that I believe that file

should be called like

upp-portage-2007.1rc3.zip

and be placed in 2007.1rc3 group.

There should be no "upp-portage" group.

Mirek

Ah... OK. How about I name it using the gentoo conventions (which are very similar to UPP's). This would make it upp-portage-2007.1_beta1.zip. The only problem here is the versioning between upp and upp-portage might confuse a couple of people, but would likely be the best.

I tried to hide/remove the package and had problems... I'll have to take another look at it tonight after work.

EBo --

Subject: Re: upp-portage
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 20:52:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ebojd wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 13:09luzr wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 10:41No, sorry, what I wanted to say is that I believe that file should be called like

upp-portage-2007.1rc3.zip

and be placed in 2007.1rc3 group.

There should be no "upp-portage" group.

Mirek

Ah... OK. How about I name it using the gentoo conventions (which are very similar to UPP's). This would make it upp-portage-2007.1_beta1.zip. The only problem here is the versioning between upp and upp-portage might confuse a couple of people, but would likely be the best.

I tried to hide/remove the package and had problems... I'll have to take another look at it tonight after work.

EBo --

Well, we had beta1 before... My understanding is that beta is version before "gold" release, supposed to be changed. "rc" is file that, if succesful, is just renamed (by deleting "rc" part) and

then is final.

Anyway, the nameing convention of portage file is not that important IMHO. But it logically belongs to the group, no need to create a special group...

Mirek

Subject: Re: upp-portage
Posted by [ebojd](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 21:01:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ebojd wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 12:09luzr wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 10:41No, sorry, what I wanted to say is that I believe that file should be called like

upp-portage-2007.1rc3.zip

and be placed in 2007.1rc3 group.

There should be no "upp-portage" group.

Mirek

Ah... OK. How about I name it using the gentoo conventions (which are very similar to UPP's). This would make it upp-portage-2007.1_beta1.zip. The only problem here is the versioning between upp and upp-portage might confuse a couple of people, but would likely be the best.

I tried to hide/remove the package and had problems... I'll have to take another look at it tonight after work.

EBo --

Ok... should be done now.

EBo --

Subject: Re: upp-portage
Posted by [ebojd](#) on Wed, 14 Mar 2007 21:04:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Wed, 14 March 2007 15:52
Anyway, the nameing convention of portage file is not that important IMHO. But it logically belongs

to the group, no need to create a special group...

Agreed. I got SF's use of package and group mixed up. With any luck this fixed it, and with any luck will not happen again...

Thanks again

EBo --
