
Subject: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Mon, 24 Sep 2007 21:06:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In a statement like this :

```
while(i < 10)
{
    if(Line.Find("\\"))
        break;
}
```

the parenthesis match is broken in editor.

ciao

Max

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 12:24:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just tried, seems to be ok (?)

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 12:54:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nope....

File Attachments

1) [TheIDEParenthesisBug.png](#), downloaded 588 times

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 13:15:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ah, I was looking for the color of text (parenthesis color), not match paper highlight...

Thanks,

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [melfede](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 13:28:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uh ? It's the parenthesis color (and count) that is mistaken, you see in my example, you pair a ')' with a '{', I guess because of the second '\' taken as escape char and not as a literal '\'.

BTW, I didn't notice before the paper colour.... and I was asking myself why code in theide editor is so well readable

Nice idea, that one, really!

Ciao

Max

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 14:01:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, nevermind how do you want to call that color, it is now fixed...

Quick fix: (would be nice to test it thoroughly...):

CodeEditor/CodeEditor.cpp:

```
bool NotEscape(int pos, const WString& s)
{
    return pos == 0 || s[pos - 1] != '\\' ? true : !NotEscape(pos - 1, s);
}

void CodeEditor::CheckBracket(int li, int pos, int ppos, int pos0, WString ln, int d, int limit)
{
    int li0 = li;
    int lvl = 1;
    pos += d;
    ppos += d;
    for(;;) {
        int c;
        for(;;) {
            while(pos < 0 || pos >= ln.GetLength()) {
```

```

li += d;
if(d * li >= d * limit)
    return;
ln = GetWLine(li);
pos = d < 0 ? ln.GetLength() - 1 : 0;
ppos += d;
}
c = ln[pos];
if((c == '\"' || c == '\\') && (NotEscape(pos, ln) && ln[pos - 1] != '\\')) {
    pos += d;
    ppos += d;
    int lc = c;
    while(pos < ln.GetLength() && pos > 0) {
        if(ln[pos] == lc && NotEscape(pos, ln)) {
            pos += d;
            ppos += d;
            break;
        }
        pos += d;
        ppos += d;
    }
}
else
    break;
}
if(islbrkt(c))
    lvl += d;
if(isrbrkt(c))
    lvl -= d;
if(lvl <= 0) {
    highlight_bracket_pos0 = pos0;
    highlight_bracket_pos = ppos;
    RefreshLine(li);
    RefreshLine(li0);
    bracket_start = GetTimeClick();
    return;
}
pos += d;
ppos += d;
}
}

```

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 14:47:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Tue, 25 September 2007 16:01Well, nevermind how do you want to call that color, it is now fixed...

Quick fix: (would be nice to test it thoroughly...):

I'd like to test it, but svn release is really too buggy to use on Linux, I stopped doing it. If you want I can test the workaround recompiling 2007.1 release (which works like a charm on Linux)... I don't know if your patch is compatible with it.

BTW, i wanted to ask you since some days... the svn is the up-to-date development version, or is an outdated one ? and, if it's outdated, there's some way to stay in sync with latest devel versions ?

Ciao

Max

Subject: Re: Escaped backslash in string confuse editor [bug]

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 16:12:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mdelfede wrote on Tue, 25 September 2007 10:47luzr wrote on Tue, 25 September 2007 16:01Well, nevermind how do you want to call that color, it is now fixed...

Quick fix: (would be nice to test it thoroughly...):

I'd like to test it, but svn release is really too buggy to use on Linux, I stopped doing it. If you want I can test the workaround recompiling 2007.1 release (which works like a charm on Linux)... I don't know if your patch is compatible with it.

Yes, I believe so.

Quote:

BTW, i wanted to ask you since some days... the svn is the up-to-date development version, or is an outdated one ? and, if it's outdated, there's some way to stay in sync with latest devel versions ?

Usually SVN lags.

Mirek
