
Subject: WIKI

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 12 Oct 2007 19:33:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, it looks like wiki never started to have any effect and recently, it is only the target of spammers...

Therefore, it seems to be the most appropriate action to cancel it, at least unless somebody agrees to take the role of maintainer.

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [tvanriper](#) on Sat, 24 Nov 2007 12:47:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We can at least stop the spammers by requiring that people log in to the thing. That seems to slow down most spammers of wiki sites significantly.

I just finished removing a ton of spam left on the pages, and I'm hoping I got it all. Unfortunately, I don't know how to revert to the original content (I'll see if I can figure that out later).

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 21:40:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, if someone would take the role of maintainer, will be nice. Doing the maintainer job is not a hardly job, I belive I will can allocate some minutes daily, maintaining wiki, removing spam, etc. If no one want do that, i will be happy to give a hand. I am at the beginning road of programming with Ultimate++, so if I can help in someway, it will be nice.
Best wishes, CAN.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2007 22:51:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Removed spam from wiki, still need advanced rights to delete some unused(created) pages.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2007 22:18:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, anyway, the real question is whether we need wiki?

It was active for more than year, for which period only about 4 articles emerged.

IMO, most of U++ knowledge concentrates here in the forum. Perhaps we can stay this way for now.

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [phirox](#) on Sat, 29 Dec 2007 22:39:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am using upp for 3 weeks now, and I really like the way its setup. IMHO the approach to c++ is unique, refreshing and absolutly brilliant.

What I really missed though is good documentation. Everything is spread around, or so it feels for the beginner. Therfor my start was a bit hard especially since I use TheIDE under linux.

I think that a good Wiki could help with that, it's an easy way to reference to existing manuals or forum posts. There wouldn't be any need to rewrite old material, just copy'n'paste it. Even from Topic++ where needed. Also adding content is a lot easier for most people and nowadays even familiar.

I would seriously suggest closing anonymous posting, especially with so little activity.

Also there needs to be a small basis to work on, right now its just 'installed'. If you need a maintainer I might be interested if you want to continue with it. Its good practice for me too

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:33:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi phirox. I wrote about wiki to Mirek.

Quote:It seems that wiki is a target for spammers. Daily, from when I'm in, I've removed lot of spam. Seems that no one maintain this wiki, even his logo isn't set yet. I wrote on forum but no one said nothing about who maintain wiki. If you want i can help, removing unused pages and spam. Or if wiki isn't necessary you may remove it because now it is just bad publicity for Ultimate Project.

The response was:

Quote:Yes. Actually, wiki is accessible through main page button by mistake only....

It seems that for moment the wiki isn't needed. Mainly documentation comes with TheIde. You may see the examples and figure out how these work, than make some programs(TheIde have autocompletion which really help).

Well, if you will be the maintainer, you absolve me from removing wiki spam(see wiki-pages history). Thanks.

(hope you understand what i want to say)
The English language is for me the strangest strange language ;

Subject: Re: WIKI
Posted by [phirox](#) on Mon, 31 Dec 2007 10:35:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Sun, 30 December 2007 13:33Hi It seems that for moment the wiki isn't needed. Mainly documentation comes with Thelde. You may see the examples and figure out how these work, than make some programs(Thelde have autocompletion which really help). Well, if you will be the maintainer, you absolve me from removing wiki spam(see wiki-pages history). Thanks.

(hope you understand what i want to say)
The English language is for me the strangest strange language ;

Hi bytefield,

First of all I understand what you're saying, your english isn't that bad

There is definitely enough material to work on, especially through examples. And Assist++ kicks ass. But a wiki would contribute more to the metadata about upp. Things that wouldn't make the normal documentation. But as you say; the decision lies with Mirek.

Subject: Re: WIKI
Posted by [bytefield](#) on Mon, 31 Dec 2007 13:29:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi. Will be nice to have some articles on wiki, but not to copy&paste them from Upp site(or docs.). A maintainer is need indeed. Some policy must be applied(like posting just if you're logged in) if we want a clean wiki. In that way the wiki remain clean, it will grow with useful articles, tutorials, etc. and you are sure that what you write will not disappear (when spam arrive, because I hope applying nice policy will stop spammers or slacken them). Also we may consider updating wiki to a new version(i guess there is not latest version installed). If Mirek don't respond to this message you may send a PM, see what he say about your suggestion and then please inform me to see what to do(i don't want to waste time with wiki if it isn't wanted).
Thanks. Have a nice day.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 31 Dec 2007 13:50:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Mon, 31 December 2007 08:29

Also we may consider updating wiki to a new version(i guess there is not latest version installed).

Alas, webhosting used does not allow a more recent wiki...

Quote:

If Mirek don't respond to this message you may send a PM, see what he say about your suggestion and then please inform me to see what to do(i don't want to waste time with wiki if it isn't wanted).

Thanks. Have a nice day.

Wiki is OK. As long as it has some info

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Mon, 31 Dec 2007 14:02:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Mirek,

Wiki is ok but he need a maintainer if we want to improve it an make a it veritable helper for Upp project. phirox offered his help in maintaining wiki, and i believe it is not too hard giving him a try, see what he can do. The decision is your. Or if this project don't really need the wiki you may consider removing the link from first page(however it is still indexed by google-bot, if you not remove it complete).

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:37:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Mon, 31 December 2007 09:02Hi Mirek,

Wiki is ok but he need a maintainer if we want to improve it an make a it veritable helper for Upp project. phirox offered his help in maintaining wiki, and i believe it is not too hard giving him a try, see what he can do. The decision is your. Or if this project don't really need the wiki you may consider removing the link from first page(however it is still indexed by google-bot, if you not remove it complete).

OK, what kind of access admin needs?

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Tue, 01 Jan 2008 02:18:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Mon, 31 December 2007 19:37bytefield wrote on Mon, 31 December 2007 09:02Hi Mirek,

Wiki is ok but he need a maintainer if we want to improve it an make a it veritable helper for Upp project. phirox offered his help in maintaining wiki, and i believe it is not too hard giving him a try, see what he can do. The decision is your. Or if this project don't really need the wiki you may consider removing the link from first page(however it is still indexed by google-bot, if you not remove it complete).

OK, what kind of access admin needs?

Mirek

Add user to "sysop" and "bureaucrat" groups(with phpMyAdmin or directly in mysql console).

```
INSERT INTO user_groups (ug_user, ug_group) VALUES ('1', 'bureaucrat');  
INSERT INTO user_groups (ug_user, ug_group) VALUES ('1', 'sysop');
```

1 is the user_id(field from user table from wikidb)

replace 1 with desired user id

Doing that to an user, he will be able in future to delete and protect pages, block users and managing others users rights.

To stop anonymous user to post or edit, you must add these two lines to "LocalSettings.php"(you may edit this file by yourself [just webmaster have acces to it]):

```
$wgGroupPermissions['*']['edit'] = false; #anonymous users cannot edit pages  
$wgGroupPermissions['*']['createpage'] = false; #anonymous users cannot create pages
```

I've just installed wiki on my PC and now I'm learning how to be an MediaWiki Hacker . If you need help with wiki, i can help too.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Tue, 01 Jan 2008 02:44:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I forgot to say how you change the wiki logo.

Add this line to "LocalSettings.php":

```
$wgLogo="/path/to/logo.*(png,jpg,etc)";  
// $wgLogo="{ $wgUploadPath}/logo.jpg"; - image from images folder
```

The logo is expected to be 135px square.

Seems that wiki isn't so old (released on 2007-02-20).

WikiVersion

Result:

Logo and user rights:

File Attachments

1) [improvements.jpg](#), downloaded 1212 times

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [phirox](#) on Tue, 01 Jan 2008 18:07:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First of all; Happy new year everyone!

I'm glad to see your giving it a try. Let me know with pm or something else when you've changed the settings.

Also this version is still "current", and we'll always be able to update the database when a newer one will be installed.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Tue, 01 Jan 2008 18:14:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

phirox wrote on Tue, 01 January 2008 20:07First of all; Happy new year everyone!

I'm glad to see your giving it a try. Let me know with pm or something else when you've changed the settings.

Also this version is still "current", and we'll always be able to update the database when a newer one will be installed.

Happy new Year, too!

Actually I've not changed the settings, i haven't rights to do that(on Ultimate++ Wiki). I've just downloaded the wiki and played with it few moments, I've changed the settings locally(on my PC). Is Mirek job or fudadmin i guess, to change wiki settings.

Our current wiki version is 1.6.10.

Subject: Re: WIKI
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2008 10:13:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, done.

Now going to get Wiki button back on all pages

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI
Posted by [bytefield](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2008 11:28:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Will be nice if someone from sysop group will delete unused pages, created by spammers .
Mirek, have you given maintainer rights to phirox or someone else? Or maybe want you to delete these pages.
However you done a nice job . Keep it on. Someday maybe you let C++ for php .

Subject: Re: WIKI
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2008 11:30:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Wed, 02 January 2008 06:28Will be nice if someone from sysop group will delete unused pages, created by spammers .
Mirek, have you given maintainer rights to phirox or someone else?

How?

Quote:

Or maybe want you to delete these pages.
However you done a nice job . Keep it on. Someday maybe you let C++ for php .

Hehe, actually, I would rather, some day, recreated wiki in C++

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI
Posted by [phirox](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2008 12:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:OK, done.

Now going to get Wiki button back on all pages

Great! I've started editing pages

But as bytesize said, we really need delete rights. As how to do it, see his previous post. Basically you add the user to a group. In this case 'sysop' and 'bureaucrat'

Quote:Hehe, actually, I would rather, some day, recreated wiki in C++

By coincidence I was searching for wiki++ when I was updating and found this Wiki++

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2008 13:54:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

phirox wrote on Wed, 02 January 2008 14:27

By coincidence I was searching for wiki++ when I was updating and found this Wiki++
It has more dependencies .

Off-topic: Bug-Report

FAQ Link from "Authors & License" page, don't point to FAQ page. Please fix it.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2008 20:39:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Wed, 02 January 2008 06:28Will be nice if someone from sysop group will delete unused pages, created by spammers .

Mirek, have you given maintainer rights to phirox or someone else? Or maybe want you to delete these pages.

However you done a nice job . Keep it on. Someday maybe you let C++ for php .

OK, "phirox" has rights

Phirox, feel free to give rights to trusted people as needed.

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [captainc](#) on Thu, 21 Feb 2008 18:29:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The wiki can take a role similar to the "Community Contributed Documentation" at Ubuntu's help site (<https://help.ubuntu.com/community/>).

It sits right next to the official documentation and works very well alongside their forums, especially as a place for recurring themes that would be arduous to read entire forum topics to understand completely.

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Thu, 21 Feb 2008 21:22:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

captainc wrote on Thu, 21 February 2008 20:29The wiki can take a role similar to the "Community Contributed Documentation" at Ubuntu's help site (<https://help.ubuntu.com/community/>).

It sits right next to the official documentation and works very well alongside their forums, especially as a place for recurring themes that would be arduous to read entire forum topics to understand completely.

I agree with you but for that we need upload to be enabled and i don't know if Mirek will agree. We need to clean somehow the wiki from spammers accounts and install an add-on for users account creation to proof they are humans.

After solving that we can just wait for contributors (since the wiki was "restored" there was just 2 contributors: Phirox and me, but with my bad English and also poor knowledge about Upp i cannot be named contributor).

So, feel free to add your documentation on wiki(you can use just some images for now, listed on Sandbox).

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [captainc](#) on Thu, 21 Feb 2008 22:15:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok. What about linking the wiki accounts with the forum accounts? Is this possible?

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2008 10:11:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

captainc wrote on Fri, 22 February 2008 00:15Ok. What about linking the wiki accounts with the forum accounts? Is this possible?

Yes it is. But that request time even for a web developer. The problem (spammers) is simply resolved with human proofing test. There exist an add-on for wiki.

The problem with cross-account is quite special, because we don't want all 518 users from forum to have accounts on wiki(it is not normal), just contributors. At the moment the wiki have 238 users from which approximate 5 real users, others are spammers.

Another problem are uploads. An image says a 1000 words. I guess you cannot make documentation without images (you can but have to write a lot).

So, first of all we must:

- remove spammers accounts from wiki
 - install 'human proofing' add-on
 - enable uploads
 - find contributors
-

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 24 Mar 2008 18:14:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have just noticed sf.net now supports wiki for projects.

Should not we use it instead?

Mirek

Subject: Re: WIKI

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Wed, 26 Mar 2008 16:00:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Mon, 24 March 2008 20:14 I have just noticed sf.net now supports wiki for projects.

Should not we use it instead?

Wiki which are hosted on sf are primitive, but good enough to store info we need. I believe we have some advantages making that move:

- the maintainer will be one from dev team
- spammers are not interested anymore
- save space from upp server
- ...

I agree with you.

P.S: Don't forget to update links on all pages.
