
Subject: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 05:41:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if you haven't seen that you don't know how the nearest future GUI will look like...

if you want to see what other people have achieved with AGG, have a look

:<http://www.creativedocs.net/devs/gui>

and you can try how it works in a real app:

<http://www.creativedocs.net/downloads/files/>

and if you download, you will see that their resizing of semi-transparent shapes works faster than Ultimate's form designer...

Skin changing is F5 and Global.

Super tool!

Conclusion: The future of GUI's is only AGG and the future is bright... Others can forget their popularity and swim down the river of their ambitions or enjoy the sunshine of stone age...

Something to think about.

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 08:08:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Conclusion: The future of GUI's is only AGG and the future is bright... Others can forget their popularity and swim down the river of their ambitions or enjoy the sunshine of stone age...

Something to think about.

You can like it or not, but the future of GUI is, in this regard, Xgl/Cairo and Vista-Aero/GDI+.

Mirek

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 08:51:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>And if you download, you will see that their resizing of semi->transparent shapes works faster than Ultimate's form designer...

I downloaded it and yes it looks really good, theming engine is good too. But resizeing speed of ui is very very slow... opposite to resizeing shapes you mentioned - it's quite responsive.

This gui is one more proof that native widget era is gone..

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 08:56:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

This gui is one more proof that native widget era is gone..

Good for us

Mirek

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG
Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 09:10:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

and if you download, you will see that their resizing of semi-transparent shapes works faster than Ultimate's form designer...

BTW, do not be fooled there. Layout designer graphics is quite complex to render (a lot of graphics elements), alpha-blending simpler shapes can be pretty fast compared to it.

Mirek

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG
Posted by [jadeite](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 12:46:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

unodgs wrote on Mon, 20 February 2006 03:51

This gui is one more proof that native widget era is gone..

I don't think that is really the case. Many people prefer the "normal" native appearance, and not only prefer, but expect/demand it. The ultimate UI framework will be one that allows use of a complete native widget, custom drawing of a native widget, and complete non-native widgets, all in the same app. The critical part is making the 3 varieties seamlessly integrate, switching from 1 variety to the other with a single line of code, wrapped in platform-independent code, and last but not least, high performance redraw. In this regard, I don't think that CreativeDocs has the correct approach, in that it forces use of AGG for everything. Don't get me wrong, you want AGG support, but not for everything. Modular AGG.

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG

Posted by [jadeite](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 13:08:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

unodgs wrote on Mon, 20 February 2006 03:51I downloaded it and yes it looks really good, theming engine is good too. But resizeing speed of ui is very very slow...

I notice this too. I wonder why? It could be the underlying messaging system, layout architecture of framework, use of AGG (I'm guessing not), or could it even be .NET?

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 20:23:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jadeite wrote on Mon, 20 February 2006 07:46unodgs wrote on Mon, 20 February 2006 03:51
This gui is one more proof that native widget era is gone..

I don't think that is really the case. Many people prefer the "normal" native appearance, and not only prefer, but expect/demand it. The ultimate UI framework will be one that allows use of a complete native widget, custom drawing of a native widget, and complete non-native widgets, all in the same app. The critical part is making the 3 varieties seamlessly integrate, switching from 1 variety to the other with a single line of code, wrapped in platform-independent code, and last but not least, high performance redraw. In this regard, I don't think that CreativeDocs has the correct approach, in that it forces use of AGG for everything. Don't get me wrong, you want AGG support, but not for everything. Modular AGG.

I believe (hope?) that there is difference between "native widget" and "native look&feel". Look&feel can be implemented using non-native widgets (and in fact, this seems to be the only way how to allow all 3 varieties to seamlessly integrate).

In fact, I understand that not using native widgets is clear disadvantage of U++. However, this design decision solves too many issues... and we can do quite well in "native look&feel" arena...

Mirek

Subject: Re: New GUI (toolkit?) with skinning - based on AGG

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 08:06:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Mon, 20 February 2006 15:23

I believe (hope?) that there is difference between "native widget" and "native look&feel".

I think that feel is much more important than look. Of course if look is a way different to what people are used to it's not too good, but up look is almost like xp (even better to me) so we don't have to worry

Quote:

In fact, I understand that not using native widgets is clear disadvantage of U++. However, this design decision solves too many issues... and we can do quite well in "native look&feel" arena...

I don't think this is disadvantage. Look at the Linux. How many different gui libs are used there. All them provide different look and feel and noone is complaining about that.. Besides if gui lib is themable there always will be someone who provides a proper skin to have a look not to distinguish from its default DE apps.

PS: On windows there are many apps that use native widgets but they are far from 'being native' (big buttons, strange toolbars and control placement).

PS2: Even MS Office use some not-native widgets...