
Subject: EditDate bug (possible)
Posted by [bytefield](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2007 12:11:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi. I found a bug in EditDate Ctrl. I'm using U++ 711-dev2. If days or months are higher than normally, EditDate change his color to pink but if we introduce a sequence like this xx/xx/xx/xx/xx (etc), the date is still valid. For example:
12/12/12/12 give a valid date: 12/12/2012
I believe it is a bug in date validation, because 12/12/12/12 is not a correct date.
You can check this in all date examples included with TheIDE.
(ex. Gui18, Gui19, Gui20c, Days, etc.)
Anyway the date is converted automatically to a valid date ex.: 12/12/2012.
Maybe is not a bug. Maybe in that way was designed. Well, I've done my duty reporting this.
Bye.

Subject: Re: EditDate bug (possible)
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2007 14:50:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Thu, 20 December 2007 07:11Hi. I found a bug in EditDate Ctrl. I'm using U++ 711-dev2. If days or months are higher than normally, EditDate change his color to pink but if we introduce a sequence like this xx/xx/xx/xx/xx (etc), the date is still valid. For example:
12/12/12/12 give a valid date: 12/12/2012
I believe it is a bug in date validation, because 12/12/12/12 is not a correct date.
You can check this in all date examples included with TheIDE.
(ex. Gui18, Gui19, Gui20c, Days, etc.)
Anyway the date is converted automatically to a valid date ex.: 12/12/2012.
Maybe is not a bug. Maybe in that way was designed. Well, I've done my duty reporting this.
Bye.

I guess, it is a way how it is desinged, and the design is wrong

Mirek

Subject: Re: EditDate bug (possible)
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2007 14:55:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fixed.

Mirek
