Subject: MSC 9 Compilation Warnings -- Fix Posted by indiocolifa on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 20:04:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm using U++ 2008 Beta 1 and I've already fixed some compilation warnings with MS C/C++ 9.0.

Here are the patches (all with _MSC_VER > 1400) since I'm using Visual C++ 2008, I don't know what happens in previous versions of the compiler.

- /NOOPT:WIN98 is obsolete for the linker in MSC 9.0, I think it should be removed from the build settings for this compiler.
- In Core/Ol_set.CPP, the file starts with:

#pragma BLITZ_PROHIBIT

this is reported of course as unknown pragma.

should be #define ???

- In CtrlLib/ChWin32.cpp, MSC says tmschema.h is obsolete, I've replaced:

#include <uxtheme.h>
#include <tmschema.h>

by

#include <uxtheme.h>
#ifdef _MSC_VER
#if _MSC_VER > 1400 // Visual C > 2005
#include <vssym32.h>
#else
#include <tmschema.h>
#endif
#endif

I'll report more if you want.

Subject: Re: MSC 9 Compilation Warnings -- Fix Posted by mirek on Sun, 03 Feb 2008 23:27:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

indiocolifa wrote on Sun, 03 February 2008 15:04I'm using U++ 2008 Beta 1 and I've already fixed some compilation warnings with MS C/C++ 9.0.

Here are the patches (all with _MSC_VER > 1400) since I'm using Visual C++ 2008, I don't know what happens in previous versions of the compiler.

- /NOOPT:WIN98 is obsolete for the linker in MSC 9.0, I think it should be removed from the build settings for this compiler.
- In Core/OI set.CPP, the file starts with:

```
#pragma BLITZ_PROHIBIT
```

this is reported of course as unknown pragma.

should be #define ???

Nope, it really should be #pragma.

It is understood by theide build process.

Quote:

- In CtrlLib/ChWin32.cpp, MSC says tmschema.h is obsolete, I've replaced:

```
#include <uxtheme.h>
#include <tmschema.h>
```

by

```
#include <uxtheme.h>
#ifdef _MSC_VER
#if _MSC_VER > 1400 // Visual C > 2005
#include <vssym32.h>
#else
#include <tmschema.h>
#endif
#endif
```

I'll report more if you want.

Well, this one is well known, but was ignored because of backwards compatibility. Anyway, conditional for msc_ver is a good idea!

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from U++ Forum