
Subject: Bazaar....

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 06 Apr 2008 06:22:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I was long thinking about the best method of including "fruits of Bazaar".

I do not like current mode, when it is included as separate nest not linked in any package.

I rather propose this:

Let us choose packages polished enough (vote!). Let us put them into uppsrc for distribution purposes and also examples and reference examples of such packages to normal examples or reference.

However, let us write (or, better, let package authors) to mark such packages as "Bazaar: packagename by author", like

"Bazaar: DockCtrl by James Thomas"

Of course, later in the process, when vindicated, I would like to move such packages into uppsrc proper, giving their autors access to the repository.... (I am 85% convinced we will finally move to svn after this realease too).

Mirek

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Sun, 06 Apr 2008 19:45:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Sun, 06 April 2008 08:22Well, I was long thinking about the best method of including "fruits of Bazaar".

I do not like current mode, when it is included as separate nest not linked in any package.

Well, I'm using it adding Bazaar nest to my project's assembly (or to myapps) and it works quite well. For testing purposes, it's just enough to add a Bazaar assembly with just Bazaar and uppsrc, and you can compile demos.

IMHO whould be not a bad idea to add a Bazaar assembly (with just bazaar and uppsrc) for testing, add Bazaar nest to myapps AND leave there all still unpolished stuffs, while polished ones could be in uppsrc directly. It makes no harm to have an assembly with testing stuffs, it allows us to play with new packages even if they're still buggy.

Max

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 2008 14:51:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think the new assembly "bazaar" should be included, so anyone can check the current upp/bazaar directory right after installing new upp version in the "Select main package" dialog.

There's further question how to link it into MyAppS? To use any bazaar package you have to add bazaar directory into MyAppS's nests? Maybe this can be pre-set right from installation, if there's no conflict between bazaar package and uppsrc package.

But in case we will start to move packages from bazaar to uppsrc such conflicts may arise in the future, so before we add bazaar nest automagically to MyAppS some upp-guru should tell exactly what/how/when can be broken when the packages collide and how TheIDE will behave in such case.

(that means I fully support the Max's post, I'm just not sure about setting the bazaar nest into MyAppS after installation, is it safe?)

Edit:

If adding bazaar nest is safe, maybe there's no need to move packages from bazaar at all (unless they are natural fit for core package, like DockControl seems to me). That way it will be easy to recognize which packages are optional "3rd party" things, and which are core packages supported directly by Mirek and Unodgs.

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 2008 19:15:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mostly agreed with mr_ped. Only one addition: all bazaar packages must be subjects for voting. For example, after 2-3 months of successful usage within bazaar, and if enough people vote for this package in corresponding topic, package is moved from Bazaar to Core.

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 2008 19:51:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Keep in mind there's serious question about maintenance.

So just because people love to USE some package, that love will not do a maintenance upon it for every new upp version.

I think things like DockingControl have to be adopted by Mirek anyway somewhere in the future, so even if the original author will disappear, somebody else will have to maintain it.

But I would be cautious about moving things from Bazaar to Core.

I think the Bazaar nest alone is excellent way to let U++ users know: "this" package is not fully

supported by Core developers, so everyone can evaluate the risk of using it.

Once enough of core developers get used to some Bazaar package source, so they can do at least some basic maintenance, then it's IMHO better time to move it.

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 10 Apr 2008 00:31:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mdelfede wrote on Sun, 06 April 2008 15:45luzr wrote on Sun, 06 April 2008 08:22Well, I was long thinking about the best method of including "fruits of Bazaar".

I do not like current mode, when it is included as separate nest not linked in any package.

Well, I'm using it adding Bazaar nest to my project's assembly (or to myapps) and it works quite well. For testing purposes, it's just enough to add a Bazaar assembly with just Bazaar and uppsrc, and you can compile demos.

I guess this is ok for U++ developer or experienced user. However, I guess that for average user, adding another package to assembly is not that simple.

Anyway, in any case, I believe that it is important to mark them "Bazaar" in the info line, because such packages will appear among uppsrc packages...

Mirek

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 10 Apr 2008 00:34:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mr_ped wrote on Tue, 08 April 2008 15:51

I think the Bazaar nest alone is excellent way to let U++ users know: "this" package is not fully supported by Core developers, so everyone can evaluate the risk of using it.

Nest is not enough. Nests are not displayed when adding "uses".

Mirek

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Thu, 10 Apr 2008 10:24:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Thu, 10 April 2008 02:31

Anyway, in any case, I believe that it is important to mark them "Bazaar" in the info line, because such packages will appear among uppsrc packages...

I agree. I often get confused when I look for packages that I know they're bazaar ones and I find them intermixed with upp ones.

Maybe (but that would be a bit more complicated...) would be better to have packages separated by nest group in package manager, with a separator between :

UPPSRC :

ide
core

.....

BAZAAR ;

rasterctrl
otherstuffs

.....

MYAPPS :

myfirstpackage
mysecondpackage

....

So we could always see to what belongs a package.

Ciao

Max

Subject: Re: Bazaar....

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 10 Apr 2008 16:03:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Interesting idea.

Mirek
