

---

Subject: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [Novo](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 15:31:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

There are many uninitialized class members in U++. Valgrind complains about them a lot.

---

## File Attachments

1) [uninitialized\\_members.diff](#), downloaded 381 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:44:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not sure what valgrind really tests...

```
-ScrollBar::ScrollBar() {  
+ScrollBar::ScrollBar() :  
+style(NULL)  
+{
```

"style" is initialized by SetStyle call in constructor.

```
-TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl()  
+TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl() :  
+ selectcount(0)
```

"selectcount" is initialized by Clear call in constructor.

Stopped there.... Finding them in .diff is too time consuming, maybe you could just post me the list of problems instead of .diff?

Mirek

P.S.: Do not get me wrong, valgrind is perhaps a good idea! But looks like it produces some false alarms too...

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [Novo](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 18:34:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 13:44 Not sure what valgrind really tests...

```
-ScrollBar::ScrollBar() {  
+ScrollBar::ScrollBar() :  
+style(NULL)  
+{
```

"style" is initialized by SetStyle call in constructor.

Valgrind:

```
==30773== at 0x5A10E3: Upp::ScrollBar::SetStyle(Upp::ScrollBar::Style const&)  
==30773== by 0x5DDB88: Upp::ScrollBar::ScrollBar() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/  
==30773== by 0x5F4699: Upp::ColumnList::ColumnList() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/  
==30773== by 0x5F4A87: Upp::FileList::FileList() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dv  
==30773== by 0x44A7D3: WorkspaceWork::WorkspaceWork() (in /export/home/ssikor  
==30773== by 0x45C1DB: Ide::Ide() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/  
==30773== by 0x482D8C: GuiMainFn_() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/up  
==30773== by 0x484171: main (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/out/GC  
==30773==
```

Code:

```
ScrollBar& ScrollBar::SetStyle(const Style& s)  
{  
  if(style != &s) {  
    style = &s;  
    RefreshLayout();  
    Refresh();  
  }  
  return *this;  
}
```

That "if(style != &s)" looks very suspicious to me ...

Quote:

```
-TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl()  
+TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl() :  
+ selectcount(0)
```

"selectcount" is initialized by Clear call in constructor.

Valgrind:

```
==31285== at 0x672D10: Upp::TreeCtrl::Dirty(int) (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dv
==31285== by 0x67545A: Upp::TreeCtrl::Clear() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/
==31285== by 0x6756A8: Upp::TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dv
==31285== by 0x6142BF: Upp::HelpWindow::HelpWindow() (in /export/home/ssikorsk
==31285== by 0x42EE02: TopicCtrl::TopicCtrl() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/
==31285== by 0x45C91F: Ide::Ide() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/
==31285== by 0x482D8C: GuiMainFn_() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/up
==31285== by 0x484171: main (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/out/GC
==31285==
```

Code:

```
void TreeCtrl::Clear()
{
    item.Clear();
    item.Add();
    item[0].linei = -1;
    item[0].parent = -1;
    item[0].canopen = true;
    freelist = -1;
    Dirty();
    cursor = anchor = -1;
    selectcount = 0;
}
```

Dirty() is called before initialization of select count.

Quote:

Stopped there.... Finding them in .diff is too time consuming, maybe you could just post me the list of problems instead of .diff?

I can post either diff or results of valgrind processing, which you can produce yourself ...

Quote:

P.S.: Do not get me wrong, valgrind is perhaps a good idea! But looks like it produces some false alarms too...

As you can see from examples above, valgrind is not giving false alarms.

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 19:27:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 14:34luzr wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 13:44Not sure what valgrind really tests...

```
-ScrollBar::ScrollBar() {  
+ScrollBar::ScrollBar() :  
+style(NULL)  
+{
```

"style" is initialized by SetStyle call in constructor.

Valgrind:

```
==30773== at 0x5A10E3: Upp::ScrollBar::SetStyle(Upp::ScrollBar::Style const&)  
==30773== by 0x5DDB88: Upp::ScrollBar::ScrollBar() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/  
==30773== by 0x5F4699: Upp::ColumnList::ColumnList() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/  
==30773== by 0x5F4A87: Upp::FileList::FileList() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dv  
==30773== by 0x44A7D3: WorkspaceWork::WorkspaceWork() (in /export/home/ssikor  
==30773== by 0x45C1DB: Ide::Ide() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/  
==30773== by 0x482D8C: GuiMainFn_() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/up  
==30773== by 0x484171: main (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/out/GC  
==30773==
```

Code:

```
ScrollBar& ScrollBar::SetStyle(const Style& s)  
{  
  if(style != &s) {  
    style = &s;  
    RefreshLayout();  
    Refresh();  
  }  
  return *this;  
}
```

That "if(style != &s)" looks very suspicious to me ...

Quote:

```
-TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl()  
+TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl() :  
+ selectcount(0)
```

"selectcount" is initialized by Clear call in constructor.

Valgrind:

```
==31285== at 0x672D10: Upp::TreeCtrl::Dirty(int) (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dv
==31285== by 0x67545A: Upp::TreeCtrl::Clear() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/
==31285== by 0x6756A8: Upp::TreeCtrl::TreeCtrl() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dv
==31285== by 0x6142BF: Upp::HelpWindow::HelpWindow() (in /export/home/ssikorsk
==31285== by 0x42EE02: TopicCtrl::TopicCtrl() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/
==31285== by 0x45C91F: Ide::Ide() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/
==31285== by 0x482D8C: GuiMainFn_() (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/up
==31285== by 0x484171: main (in /export/home/ssikorsk/dvlp/upp/svn/upp/out/GC
==31285==
```

Code:

```
void TreeCtrl::Clear()
{
    item.Clear();
    item.Add();
    item[0].linei = -1;
    item[0].parent = -1;
    item[0].canopen = true;
    freelist = -1;
    Dirty();
    cursor = anchor = -1;
    selectcount = 0;
}
```

Dirty() is called before initialization of select count.

Quote:

Stopped there.... Finding them in .diff is too time consuming, maybe you could just post me the list of problems instead of .diff?

I can post either diff or results of valgrind processing, which you can produce yourself ...

Quote:

P.S.: Do not get me wrong, valgrind is perhaps a good idea! But looks like it produces some false alarms too...

As you can see from examples above, valgrind is not giving false alarms.

OK, thanks for clarification, you are right (and I am wrong, once again

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 19:30:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

```
LineEdit::LineEdit() {  
+   isdrag = true;
```

Why true? IMO should be false.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 19:36:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

```
--- uppsrc/Core/Core.upp (revision 226)  
+++ uppsrc/Core/Core.upp (working copy)  
@@ -141,3 +141,4 @@  
mainconfig  
    "Normal" = "",  
    "Remote shared" = "SHARED";  
+
```

I should ignore this, righth?

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 19:42:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, thank you Novo, all patches applied.

Please, continue running these diag tools, it seems really helpful

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 19:50:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 15:42Well, thank you Novo, all patches applied.

Please, continue running these diag tools, it seems really helpful

Mirek

Thanks.

I'm glad to be helpful

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Tue, 06 May 2008 21:18:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 02:10:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mdelfede wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:18It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

That would be useful. Especially because there is no adequate GUI tool for valgrind at the moment. I've been using valkyrie, which is QT-based, but it doesn't work with valgrind 3.3.0. vim is pretty good in handling of valgrind's output (as usual).

I'd like to mention that valgrind is just a framework. I was running a tool called memcheck, which is based on valgrind. There are other valgrind-based tools. Most popular beside memcheck are callgrind and helgrind.

memcheck can produce XML output. So, the only thing left is to parse that XML and put data into a grid or a tree.

There is a cool example of GUI for callgrind called kcache-grind.

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 07:57:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mdelfede wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:18It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

Yep, I already started checking this

Anyway, right now I see (as usual the problem with output in console apps...

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 12:20:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 09:57mdelfede wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:18It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

Yep, I already started checking this

Anyway, right now I see (as usual the problem with output in console apps...

Mirek

Which problem ?

Max

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 14:32:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mdelfede wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 08:20luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 09:57mdelfede wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:18It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

---



Yep, I already started checking this

Anyway, right now I see (as usual the problem with output in console apps...

Mirek

Which problem ?

Max

That the output is mixed (normal output from the app and valgrind output). Same as with gdb.

But now thinking about it, maybe this is what error output is for, right?

Mirek

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 15:38:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 10:32

That the output is mixed (normal output from the app and valgrind output). Same as with gdb.

Options below could be useful ...

--log-fd=<number>      log messages to file descriptor [2=stderr]  
--log-file=<file>      log messages to <file>  
--xml=yes              all output is in XML (some tools only)

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 15:40:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 03:57mdelfede wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:18It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

Yep, I already started checking this

Could you please also check on integration of callgrind?

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [cocob](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 15:55:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh yes !, i'am really interested by these features !

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 17:51:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 15:42Well, thank you Novo, all patches applied.

Please, continue running these diag tools, it seems really helpful

Mirek

Another diff-file.

Sometimes it is really hard to find out which member is not initialized, so I moved initialization of all class members to initialization list instead of assignment in many cases.

Opening TheIDE and loading a project looks almost clean now.

#### File Attachments

1) [uninitialized\\_members\\_2.diff](#), downloaded 328 times

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 20:47:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
-ScrollBar::ScrollBar() {
```

```
+ScrollBar::ScrollBar()  
+: style(NULL)  
+, pagepos(0)  
+, pagesize(0)  
+, totalsize(0)  
+{  
    minthumb = 16;  
- pagepos = pagesize = totalsize = 0;  
    linesize = 1;
```

?

Same for ArrayCtrl, RichTextView, TopWindow, Ide, Browser....

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 21:05:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 16:47

```
-ScrollBar::ScrollBar() {  
+ScrollBar::ScrollBar()  
+: style(NULL)  
+, pagepos(0)  
+, pagesize(0)  
+, totalsize(0)  
+{  
    minthumb = 16;  
- pagepos = pagesize = totalsize = 0;  
    linesize = 1;
```

?

Same for ArrayCtrl, RichTextView, TopWindow, Ide, Browser....

Mirek

I personally would move all initialization of members into initialization lists, if I understand you correctly.

I traced only several classes. And it took me two or three hours to understand what is wrong with ArrayCtrl. It is just easier to use initialization lists from very beginning.

BTW, helgrind doesn't complain about TheIDE.

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 22:06:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 16:32mdelfede wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 08:20luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 09:57mdelfede wrote on Tue, 06 May 2008 17:18It would be quite interesting to integrate Valgrind inside the ide....

Max

Yep, I already started checking this

Anyway, right now I see (as usual the problem with output in console apps...

Mirek

Which problem ?

Max

That the output is mixed (normal output from the app and valgrind output). Same as with gdb.

But now thinking about it, maybe this is what error output is for, right?

Mirek

Uhmhhh.... I don't know, but I guess there should be a way to redirect GDB/Valgrind output to a different stream than app output... or, you could attach user app output to a different stream than stdout/stderr.

I'll investigate that one, maybe

Max

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 22:21:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mdelfede wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 18:06

Uhmhhh.... I don't know, but I guess there should be a way to redirect GDB/Valgrind output to a different stream than app output... or, you could attach user app output to a different stream than stdout/stderr.

I'll investigate that one, maybe

Max

As I wrote previously:

```
--log-fd=<number>    log messages to file descriptor [2=stderr]
--log-file=<file>     log messages to <file>
--xml=yes            all output is in XML (some tools only)
```

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 23:00:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 17:05luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 16:47

```
-ScrollBar::ScrollBar() {
+ScrollBar::ScrollBar()
+: style(NULL)
+, pagepos(0)
+, pagesize(0)
+, totalsize(0)
+{
  minthumb = 16;
- pagepos = pagesize = totalsize = 0;
  linesize = 1;
```

?

Same for ArrayCtrl, RichTextView, TopWindow, Ide, Browser....

Mirek

I personally would move all initialization of members into initialization lists, if I understand you correctly.

OK, I will be thinking about it, although I do not find it particularly attractive... Anyway, for now, I just wanted to clarify the problem:)

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Thu, 08 May 2008 03:37:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 May 2008 19:00OK, I will be thinking about it, although I do not find it particularly attractive... Anyway, for now, I just wanted to clarify the problem:)

Mirek

The problem is that when you want to run valgrind on TheIDE to understand why it is crashing in release build, you see thousands of messages about using uninitialized memory.

It is just impossible to find something useful among them. Each of them can be a problem.

And of course, there are other concerns ...

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 08 May 2008 06:00:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think the question was whether valgrind detected a problem in ArrayCtrl (and others) when initialization was in constructor body vs in initializer list.

Anyway, I hope I will try myself today...

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.  
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 09 May 2008 02:37:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 02:00I think the question was whether valgrind detected a problem in ArrayCtrl (and others) when initialization was in constructor body vs in initializer list.

Sorry, I misunderstood you.

Yes, it can detect that. If an uninitialized variable was used in an arithmetic expression, and that expression was used in a logical expression after that, you will be warned. Actually, it is really hard to figure out which exactly variable was that. It is much easier to put all members onto initialization list.

valgrind is very important tool to me, and I'd like to see U++ valgrind-frendly, and I'm ready to help.

Besides, it helps find bugs.

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 11 May 2008 16:01:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 22:37luzr wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 02:00I think the question was whether valgrind detected a problem in ArrayCtrl (and others) when initialization was in constructor body vs in initializer list.

Sorry, I misunderstood you.

Yes, it can detect that. If an uninitialized variable was used in an arithmetic expression, and that expression was used in a logical expression after that, you will be warned. Actually, it is really hard to figure out which exactly variable was that. It is much easier to put all members onto initialization list.

valgrind is very important tool to me, and I'd like to see U++ valgrind-friendly, and I'm ready to help.

Besides, it helps find bugs.

Well, I believe theide and U++ is now quite valgrind friendly.

Anyway, I am seeing a lot of "invalid read" entries, but none seems to originate from U++ code (they seem to be "ld", "glibc" and "gtk" issues). What to think about it?

(Of course, valgrind reports a lot of leaks, but that is the same problem IMO; there should be no leaks in U++ code).

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [Novo](#) on Sun, 11 May 2008 18:43:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Sun, 11 May 2008 12:01Well, I believe theide and U++ is now quite valgrind friendly.

Thank you very much!

Quote:

Anyway, I am seeing a lot of "invalid read" entries, but none seems to originate from U++ code (they seem to be "ld", "glibc" and "gtk" issues). What to think about it?

There is nothing you can do about these "ld", "glibc" and "gtk" issues. Valgrind allows you to provide a suppression file. Suppressions can be generated by valgrind automatically (in interactive mode).

I personally never use valgrind without a customized suppression file.

Quote:

(Of course, valgrind reports a lot of leaks, but that is the same problem IMO; there should be no leaks in U++ code).

It depends on what you call a leak. As I remember, valgrind reports a lot of "potentially lost memory". That usually means that there is non-freed memory on application exit. People usually do not care about that memory because their application is going to stop working anyway, but valgrind does.

These "memory leaks" are usually fixed by eliminating static objects (like strings) and registering memory pools cleanups with `atexit()`.

I'll take a look at that when I get a chance.

Thank you for integrating TheIDE with valgrind again!

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 11 May 2008 20:56:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Novo wrote on Sun, 11 May 2008 14:43

It depends on what you call a leak. As I remember, valgrind reports a lot of "potentially lost memory". That usually means that there is non-freed memory on application exit. People usually do not care about that memory because their application is going to stop working anyway, but valgrind does.

Actually, U++ will complain there too, unless you use "MemoryAllocPermanent"... (or activate leak suppression block).

Mirek

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.



Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Mon, 19 May 2008 20:23:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

BTW, Novo.... don't you have a ready-to-use valgrind suppression file, in order to avoid thousands of useless reports about internal gnome/gtk/x11 problems ?

Max

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [Novo](#) on Mon, 19 May 2008 21:20:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mdelfede wrote on Mon, 19 May 2008 16:23BTW, Novo.... don't you have a ready-to-use valgrind suppression file, in order to avoid thousands of useless reports about internal gnome/gtk/x11 problems ?

Max

I'll prepare one for X11 + Ubuntu 7.10. Unfortunately I cannot build against GTK because of a glitch in TheIDE in release mode, which always compiles static version instead of DLL-based. :-/

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Tue, 20 May 2008 11:44:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you !

I think Valgrind can be really useful then!

Max

---

---

Subject: Re: Uninitialized class members.

Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 30 May 2008 04:06:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=161> 63

No GTK at the moment. Only X11.

---