Subject: BAZAAR folders restructuration
Posted by mdelfede on Tue, 13 May 2008 16:08:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Since the inclusion of BAZAAR files in latest svn builds, I'm thinking about a restructuration of bazaar folder tree.

IMHO it would be better to separate samples from code, so bazaar samples could be put in EXAMPLES assembly upon UPP installation.

That would avoid to have too many assemblies in upp, while allowing to test bazaar features.

My idea is to use :

BAZAAR for added packages.
BAZAAR_SAMPLES for examples of use.

I'd suggest also to have bazaar's package description files in the format :

BAZAAR : package <name>, purpose <purpose>, author <author>, email <email>

So upp users will know easily that those are experimental packages not yet included in stable releases.

Last but not least... if you can, comment contributed code and provide the documenting .tpp file. That will encourage users to test it!

I'll wite for contributor's comments before restructuring the SVN BAZAAR folders.

Ciao

Max

Subject: Re: BAZAAR folders restructuration
Posted by unodgs on Tue, 13 May 2008 18:51:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, personaly I like baazar as it is - full freedom Rahter dividing it into two parts I would suggest naming examples apps with ExampleN postfix like DockingExample1,2,3 etc. Comments you proposed are ok.

Subject: Re: BAZAAR folders restructuration

Posted by mdelfede on Tue, 13 May 2008 18:58:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

unodgs wrote on Tue, 13 May 2008 20:51Well, personaly I like baazar as it is - full freedom Rahter dividing it into two parts I would suggest naming examples apps with ExampleN postfix like DockingExample1,2,3 etc. Comments you proposed are ok.

Me too I like, but the problem is that just adding Bazaar nest to MyApps, for example, would not allow to select Bazaar example files, as only main packages in first assembly's nest are shown.... at least, if you don't enable "all packages" checkbox.
The "separation" of example stuffs it would allow to copy them on example folder upon installation and the selection of them like other examples.

Otherwise, we should add an BazaarExamples nest...

Max

Subject: Re: BAZAAR folders restructuration
Posted by mirek on Wed, 14 May 2008 06:29:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mdelfede wrote on Tue, 13 May 2008 14:58unodgs wrote on Tue, 13 May 2008 20:51Well, personaly I like baazar as it is - full freedom Rahter dividing it into two parts I would suggest naming examples apps with ExampleN postfix like DockingExample1,2,3 etc. Comments you proposed are ok.

Me too I like, but the problem is that just adding Bazaar nest to MyApps, for example, would not allow to select Bazaar example files, as only main packages in first assembly's nest are shown.... at least, if you don't enable "all packages" checkbox.
The "separation" of example stuffs it would allow to copy them on example folder upon installation and the selection of them like other examples.

Otherwise, we should add an BazaarExamples nest...

Max

Well, we have discussed all this in depth, so I am posting my position for others:

I think that we should simply add Bazaar and Bazaar examples on per-package basis to regular uppsrc and examples (and perhapas reference), only marking then by "BAZAAR: package xyz by zyx, email: " in the description.

Alternatively, we can add bazaar and bazaar examples nests, but maybe just put them into all relevant assemblies.

Mirek

Subject: Re: BAZAAR folders restructuration
Posted by mdelfede on Wed, 14 May 2008 08:34:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luzr wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 08:29mdelfede wrote on Tue, 13 May 2008 14:58unodgs wrote on Tue, 13 May 2008 20:51Well, personaly I like baazar as it is - full freedom Rahter dividing it into two parts I would suggest naming examples apps with ExampleN postfix like DockingExample1,2,3 etc. Comments you proposed are ok.

Me too I like, but the problem is that just adding Bazaar nest to MyApps, for example, would not allow to select Bazaar example files, as only main packages in first assembly's nest are shown.... at least, if you don't enable "all packages" checkbox.
The "separation" of example stuffs it would allow to copy them on example folder upon installation and the selection of them like other examples.

Otherwise, we should add an BazaarExamples nest...

Max

Well, we have discussed all this in depth, so I am posting my position for others:

I think that we should simply add Bazaar and Bazaar examples on per-package basis to regular uppsrc and examples (and perhapas reference), only marking then by "BAZAAR: package xyz by zyx, email: " in the description.

Alternatively, we can add bazaar and bazaar examples nests, but maybe just put them into all relevant assemblies.

Mirek

Ok, so we have to choose between one of 2 solutions
IMHO, in either cases, I think that a small change in package manager/selector would be helpful. This change would consist in separate in a by-nest basis the packages, instead of having all of them listed alphabetically, with a "title" line in top of them, or as a tree-like presentation.
For example :

Upp core :
  package 1
  package 2
  .....
Bazaar contibutions :
  package 1
  package 2
  ....

There would be a "small" additional problem on how to deal with contributions/update of bazaar packages : if you want to put directly in uppsrc nest, you have to open uvs repo to contributors... or switch to svn. Alternatively, we can leave Bazaar as separated package (mantained on svn as it is now) and just copy it during setup in uppsrc nest and in reference/example nests. But, to automate this process, a restructuration of bazaar is needed.
The second option would be simpler, but again, we should separate bazaar code core from samples/reference.

Max
