Subject: Final release
Posted by mirek on Sat, 19 Jul 2008 17:58:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am not aware about any really serious problems (there are some minor, but I am afraid there still will be..), so let us procede.

Anyway, change of tactics. Instead of releasing another rc, I recommend doing a final release - but at first only put it to website, not sf.net, and not annouce it.

Then let us test files a bit and if everything OK, proceed.

OK?

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by TeCNoYoTTa on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 05:46:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OK.....And thanks for this greaaaaaat Library

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by unodgs on Tue, 22 Jul 2008 19:04:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ok, I will prepare in this week final release.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mdelfede on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 22:32:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tagged 2008.1 release in svn.

You can get it with :

svn co https://upp.svn.sourceforge.net/svnroot/upp/tags/2008.1 upp

You can also test 64 bit debian build here :
http://www.ultimatepp.org/releases/upp_2008.1_amd64.deb

Ciao

Max

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 23:24:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

32 bit Debian build here:
http://www.ultimatepp.org/releases/upp_2008.1_i386.deb

Edit: you can access all upp releases through http://www.ultimatepp.org/releases/

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 14:07:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you can't find a solution for the recent dependency issues in Lenny, please take them out. We are programmers, we can handle it. A unworkable package is worse.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mdelfede on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 14:43:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 16:07If you can't find a solution for the recent dependency issues in Lenny, please take them out. We are programmers, we can handle it. A unworkable package is worse.

Well, packages are build on ubuntu hoary, as stated many times here... which is 80% of user base, afaik.
I've got no time at all to build packages for every debian distro.... If you like to do it, you're wellcome.

That's an open source project, not a commercial one.
And, IMHO, is better to serve 80% of users than no one.

Max

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 15:20:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mdelfede on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 15:29:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:20Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

As some (by luck seldom) idiots out there, you maybe think that an opensource project means that you get work of other people for free without giving back anything.
Well, much better you'll go somewhere else, then.

And, to be more clear, I DON'T think debian is esotheric, I'm just doing a FREE job because I LIKE to do it and because I LIKE to share it with others. That doesn't mean that i MUST do anything.
What I think is really esotheric is your damaged brain.

Bye

Max

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 15:52:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mdelfede wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:29guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:20Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

As some (by luck seldom) idiots out there, you maybe think that an opensource project means

that you get work of other people for free without giving back anything.
Well, much better you'll go somewhere else, then.

And, to be more clear, I DON'T think debian is esotheric, I'm just doing a FREE job because I LIKE to do it and because I LIKE to share it with others. That doesn't mean that i MUST do anything.
What I think is really esotheric is your damaged brain.

Bye

Max

You can read my name in the About TheIDE box. Though I'm a bit embarassed for it, as my contribution was very minor back then. Wouldn't complain if it was taken out now.
I have done my fair share of contributions to various parts of the Linux stack, don't worry. As a FOSS contributor you should commit to some responsibility for your work. At least try not to waste other people's time gratitously. Or else don't complain, when they say Linux is only free when your time has no value.

Anyway, don't take it too personal. That was a scream of frustration. Upp targets primarly mISV. Now if we can't manage to deploy upp itself, how is there any hope at all, developers will be able to deploy their apps with it? What is the point of a Linux port then, other as a toy for college kids? This lame ass attitude is why Linux is stuck at 1% solid.

Sorry pal

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mrjt on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 16:30:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I for one really like the proper packaging/dependancy downloading. It used to be a real pain in the arse to get the correct libs installed (I'm looking at you GTK!).

I may be a programmer, and yes I can handle it, but life is too short to mess with the Linux missing dependancy madness (sometimes worse than DLL hell if you ask me).

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mdelfede on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 16:55:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:52mdelfede wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:29guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:20Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

As some (by luck seldom) idiots out there, you maybe think that an opensource project means that you get work of other people for free without giving back anything.
Well, much better you'll go somewhere else, then.

And, to be more clear, I DON'T think debian is esotheric, I'm just doing a FREE job because I LIKE to do it and because I LIKE to share it with others. That doesn't mean that i MUST do anything.
What I think is really esotheric is your damaged brain.

Bye

Max

You can read my name in the About TheIDE box. Though I'm a bit embarassed for it, as my contribution was very minor back then. Wouldn't complain if it was taken out now.
I have done my fair share of contributions to various parts of the Linux stack, don't worry. As a FOSS contributor you should commit to some responsibility for your work. At least try not to waste other people's time gratitously. Or else don't complain, when they say Linux is only free when your time has no value.

Anyway, don't take it too personal. That was a scream of frustration. Upp targets primarly mISV. Now if we can't manage to deploy upp itself, how is there any hope at all, developers will be able to deploy their apps with it? What is the point of a Linux port then, other as a toy for college kids? This lame ass attitude is why Linux is stuck at 1% solid.

Sorry pal

Well, ok
I'm a bit nervous too... too much work (real life one, and it's not programming).
BTW, I'm contributing too to linux, well, better said to wine development, with some (very) small patches. I do it because I like it and because I see some usefulness on it, we got autocad working on wine and that one IS useful for my job too

BTW, back to upp... I'm involved on it because I like it and I do in my spare time, which is becoming very very scarce, lately.
So, for me it's impossible to build other than 64 bit ubuntu and, thanx to bytefield, we've got 32 bit ubuntu maintained too, and to others for windows daily builds.
That said, my build scripts, which contains all dependencies, are on svn. If you have a solution about dependencies on Lenny, you can patch the script for it and we can put on svn too.
Maybe somebody will take care of daily Lenny builds.

Max

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mirek on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 16:58:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 11:52
Anyway, don't take it too personal. That was a scream of frustration. Upp targets primarly mISV. Now if we can't manage to deploy upp itself, how is there any hope at all, developers will be able to deploy their apps with it?

Well, maybe developers can do the same thing - target the same 80% user base as us. In fact, Ubunutu seems to be the exact kind of relief here you are calling for.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mirek on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 17:00:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

(P.S.: The first little flame-war. Is this a sign that the project is maturing?

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by cocob on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 17:59:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ubuntu hoary ?? it must be hardy no ?

I understand why we don't have svnbuilds for debian but for a final release it would be great !

I agree with 80 % user on ubuntu against debian but i think most developpers (or old users of linux) are on debian.

Personnaly i love UPP and i want promote it around me bu it is very difficult to show seriousness of a open-source project which debian package can't be installed on current debian testing.

Thanks for the release i will test it tonight !

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 19:11:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The blame should have gone to the people, who make it so hard for you to provide a widely working package, in the first place.

Sorry again! This should be a day to celebrate

After eight years of Linux use, it is getting hard to tolerate these things any longer. People like me are starting to give up and go Mac for their desktop needs. Many have left already.
I'm flirting with Solaris, so as not having to leave my comfort zone completely. But fear it is too focused on the big enterprisy stuff, to become usefull as a regular desktop.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mirek on Fri, 25 Jul 2008 07:12:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, I guess that while not easy, it currently IS possible to provide comercial closed-source apps:

http://www.zero-bugs.com/2.0/free_download.html

I am putting this example also because perhaps we can learn something from its packaging (essentially, we have similar requirements).

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 00:09:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,
All Debian users please test upp_2008.1_i386.deb from Releases. I expect some feedback if there are U++ Debian users. . Ubuntu users please test this package too to see if it work on your system (it work on mine), preferably a fresh system, without upp previously installed.

Now some explanation about the package...
Logically, if we have installed g++-4.1 it needs libstdc++6-4.1-dev (g++-4.1 dependencies say that) and if libstdc++6-dev (no matter which version 6-*) is installed, Utlimate++ can be used to develop software. I've modified control file from *.deb package to have as dependencies libstdc++6-4.1-dev which however get installed with g++-4.1 which is for moment the main compiler used by upp under Linux. If feedback is positive, we would use the same package for Debian and Ubuntu without any problem.

Edit: link update
Andrei

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mirek on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 09:39:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

BTW, I do not want to be to picky and certainly it is OK for this release, BUT should not we install into /usr/local in the future?


Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:06:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thank you for your effort!
Works fine as far as I can tell.

I'll use this post to file a bug against upp:
There is a layout glitch in build methods. See attachment.
Also, edit fields height is too large for font size. See the white stripe padded with background color. Is that intentional?

File Attachments
1) layout-glitch.png, downloaded 1122 times



Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:24:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luzr wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 11:39BTW, I do not want to be to picky and certainly it is OK for this release, BUT should not we install into /usr/local in the future?

Mirek

No, it is not allowed for .deb packages. BSD installs non-core packages there. Not Debian/Ubuntu or any Linux I know.

/usr/local is reserved for manual installs (from source), like when you need python2.2 and it is no longer in the repository.

Normally in Unix /opt is meant for third-party application packages. It is the closest to Windows' Program Files.
Solaris does it that way, SuSE/Novell to some extend AFAIK. But Debian ignores its existance. Stuff installed there won't even show up in the menu by default. I don't know if Ubuntu is different, suspect not.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:42:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 13:06Thank you for your effort!
Works fine as far as I can tell.

I'll use this post to file a bug against upp:
There is a layout glitch in build methods. See attachment.
Also, edit fields height is too large for font size. See the white stripe padded with background color. Is that intentional?

Which theme do you use? I see 12 different themes on Debian Lenny... and i've tried with some of them and cannot reproduce this bug.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mirek on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:44:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 06:24luzr wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 11:39BTW, I do not want to be to picky and certainly it is OK for this release, BUT should not we install into /usr/local in the future?

Mirek

No, it is not allowed for .deb packages. BSD installs non-core packages there. Not Debian/Ubuntu or any Linux I know.

Well, zero debugger .deb I was introducing here dose exactly this: installs in /usr/local.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 11:02:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Maybe this help to clarify where should be installed upp:
/usr/bin
/usr/local
We have to install in /usr/local just when we want that an update to not change our version of software and usually in /usr/local software are installed manually as guido said.

We should follow the line of all other software (like gimp, gftp, nmap, etc.) which get installed in /usr/bin. I think is better stay on current installation path.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 11:33:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:...if we have installed g++-4.1 it needs libstdc++6-4.1-dev (g++-4.1 dependencies say that) and if libstdc++6-dev (no matter which version 6-*) is installed, Utlimate++ can be used to develop software...
I've updated linux_scripts from svn to use libstdc++6-4.1-dev.
As I said in a message, I've tried U++ on Ubuntu 8.10 (next release, still Alpha), i hope this fix also U8.10 problem, which was caused by merge of some packages from Debian in Ubuntu.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by guido on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 16:03:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bytefield wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 12:42Which theme do you use? I see 12 different themes on Debian Lenny... and i've tried with some of them and cannot reproduce this bug.

xfce theme engine. No problem for me, I'm not married to it, don't even use xfce as such. But xfce is still quite popular.

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by mirek on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 17:27:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

guido wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 06:06Thank you for your effort!
Works fine as far as I can tell.

I'll use this post to file a bug against upp:
There is a layout glitch in build methods. See attachment.

Also, edit fields height is too large for font size. See the white stripe padded with background color. Is that intentional?

This rather looks like the layout is compressed (too small screen).

Build methods dialog is rather big. Can this be a problem?


Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 17:30:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've tried Upp under Debian Lenny with xfce with various themes but i couldn't get your output, indeed there are some glitches but with border of widgets not with layout positioning. I'm attaching 2 screenshots.

File Attachments


1) Screenshot.png, downloaded 1188 times


Select main package (Th...
Debian Le...
Floppy Drive
Trash
Home
File System
Downloads
Select main package (TheIDE 2008.1 2008-07-2
Assembly
bazaar
examples
MyApps
MyApps-Bazaar
reference
tutorial
uppsrc
Package
Description
AddressBook
Simple address book applicatio
AddressBookXML
Address book application, uses
AddressBookXML2
Address book application, uses
AnimatedHello
Animated Hello world example
Bombs
Mine sweeping game
Button
Counting button clicks
Clock
Analogue / digital clock
cmdsrv
Simple HTTP text server
CodeMetric
Investigating C++ cyclometric c
Color
Paints text using different color
CompDir
Compares directory contents
Converter
Converts metric units and U.S.
Days
Displays a number of days betw
DbfView
Viewer of DBF files
EyeCare
Tray application that reminds us
HelloWorld
Rudimentary HelloWorld applica
HomeBudget
Demonstrates using of GridCtrl
httpcli
Command-line driven HTTP clien
idmap
U++ containers demonstration
idmapBench
C++ standard library vs. U++ C
ImageView
Simple image viewer
imgsrv
Simple http image server
OleCalc
Simple example of ActiveX contr
Puzzle
Puzzle game
Counting button clicks
All packages
Search
Brief list

Subject: Re: Final release
Posted by bytefield on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 17:32:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

File Attachments

1) Screenshot-1.png, downloaded 1199 times


ide - GUI - TheIDE - [/hom...
ide - GUI - TheIDE - [/home/can/upp/uppsrc/ide/ide.lay windo
File Edit Project Build Debug Assist Setup
GUI
GCC Debug
ide
ide\Common
ide\LayDes
ide\IconDes
ide\Builders
ide\Debuggers
ide\Browser
ide\QuickTabs
CodeEditor
CtrlLib
Web
plugin\bz2
HexView
art\BlueBar
plugin/astyle
Esc
Core
plugin\z
RichEdit
IconDes
ide.lay
BuildMethodsLayout
ModePaneLayout
LicenseLayout
Build methods
Method
GCC
Builder:
GCC
Compiler name:
Debug mode defaults
Default debug info level:
Full
Use BLITZ
All static
Shared libs
All shared
Debug options:
-O0
Debug fixed flags:
PATH - executable directories
INCLUDE directo
Lock link mode
Script file:
Store all target files
in the same directory
Set as default
Remote host
Remote host[:port]
OS type:
File access:
IDE
ide.h
version.h
UppDlg.h
SelectPkg.cpp
UppWspc.cpp
UppDlg.cpp
Template.cpp
ide.key
FindInFiles.cpp
Console.cpp
ide.cpp
idefile.cpp
QuickTabs.cpp
Bottom.cpp
t.cpp
Assist.cpp
Index.cpp
Virtuals.cpp
Thisbacks.cpp
Goto.cpp
Setup.cpp
Custom.cpp
idetool.cpp
Install.cpp
idebar.cpp
idewin.cpp
Help.cpp
Util.cpp
Macro.cpp
Calc.cpp
FormatCo...cpp
Compile
Methods.cpp
AutoSetup.cpp
OutputMo...cpp
Host.cpp
Build.cpp
Debug.cpp
Valgrind.cpp
Export.cpp
Resources
ide.iml
ide.lay
app.tpp
ide.rc
Makefile
Info
Copying
Copying-plain
Authors

Subject: Re: Final release: thanks
Posted by trackom on Fri, 26 Mar 2010 18:27:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks a lot for your contribution. I tried to install some U++ releases on debian Lenny and xfce desktop but the ide always crashed showing:

(<unknown>:2926): GLib-GObject-CRITICAL **: g_object_ref: assertion `G_IS_OBJECT (object)' failed
libpng error: Error reading input file!
terminate called after throwing an instance of 'Upp::JpegErrorException'

Solving dependencies, the package you made is working.
Thanks again