
Subject: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 19 Jul 2008 17:58:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am not aware about any really serious problems (there are some minor, but I am afraid there still will be..), so let us proceed.

Anyway, change of tactics. Instead of releasing another rc, I recommend doing a final release - but at first only put it to website, not sf.net, and not announce it.

Then let us test files a bit and if everything OK, proceed.

OK?

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [TeCNoYoTTa](#) on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 05:46:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK.....And thanks for this greaaaaat Library

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Tue, 22 Jul 2008 19:04:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok, I will prepare in this week final release.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mdefede](#) on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 22:32:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tagged 2008.1 release in svn.

You can get it with :

svn co <https://upp.svn.sourceforge.net/svnroot/upp/tags/2008.1> upp

You can also test 64 bit debian build here :

http://www.ultimatepp.org/releases/upp_2008.1_amd64.deb

Ciao

Max

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 23:24:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

32 bit Debian build here:

http://www.ultimatepp.org/releases/upp_2008.1_i386.deb

Edit: you can access all upp releases through <http://www.ultimatepp.org/releases/>

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 14:07:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you can't find a solution for the recent dependency issues in Lenny, please take them out. We are programmers, we can handle it. A unworkable package is worse.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 14:43:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 16:07If you can't find a solution for the recent dependency issues in Lenny, please take them out. We are programmers, we can handle it. A unworkable package is worse.

Well, packages are build on ubuntu hoary, as stated many times here... which is 80% of user base, afaik.

I've got no time at all to build packages for every debian distro.... If you like to do it, you're wellcome.

That's an open source project, not a commercial one.

And, IMHO, is better to serve 80% of users than no one.

Max

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 15:20:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 15:29:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:20Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

As some (by luck seldom) idiots out there, you maybe think that an opensource project means that you get work of other people for free without giving back anything.
Well, much better you'll go somewhere else, then.

And, to be more clear, I DON'T think debian is esoteric, I'm just doing a FREE job because I LIKE to do it and because I LIKE to share it with others. That doesn't mean that i MUST do anything.

What I think is really esoteric is your damaged brain.

Bye

Max

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 15:52:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mdelfede wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:29guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:20Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

As some (by luck seldom) idiots out there, you maybe think that an opensource project means

that you get work of other people for free without giving back anything.
Well, much better you'll go somewhere else, then.

And, to be more clear, I DON'T think debian is esoteric, I'm just doing a FREE job because I LIKE to do it and because I LIKE to share it with others. That doesn't mean that i MUST do anything.

What I think is really esoteric is your damaged brain.

Bye

Max

You can read my name in the About ThelDE box. Though I'm a bit embarrassed for it, as my contribution was very minor back then. Wouldn't complain if it was taken out now.

I have done my fair share of contributions to various parts of the Linux stack, don't worry. As a FOSS contributor you should commit to some responsibility for your work. At least try not to waste other people's time gratuitously. Or else don't complain, when they say Linux is only free when your time has no value.

Anyway, don't take it too personal. That was a scream of frustration. Upp targets primarily mISV. Now if we can't manage to deploy upp itself, how is there any hope at all, developers will be able to deploy their apps with it? What is the point of a Linux port then, other as a toy for college kids? This lame ass attitude is why Linux is stuck at 1% solid.

Sorry pal

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mrjt](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 16:30:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I for one really like the proper packaging/dependancy downloading. It used to be a real pain in the arse to get the correct libs installed (I'm looking at you GTK!).

I may be a programmer, and yes I can handle it, but life is too short to mess with the Linux missing dependancy madness (sometimes worse than DLL hell if you ask me).

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 16:55:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:52
mdelfede wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:29
guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 17:20
Debian is now considered esoteric around here?

Thanks, for nothing, asshole!

Bye!

As some (by luck seldom) idiots out there, you maybe think that an opensource project means that you get work of other people for free without giving back anything.
Well, much better you'll go somewhere else, then.

And, to be more clear, I DON'T think debian is esoteric, I'm just doing a FREE job because I LIKE to do it and because I LIKE to share it with others. That doesn't mean that i MUST do anything.

What I think is really esoteric is your damaged brain.

Bye

Max

You can read my name in the About ThelDE box. Though I'm a bit embarrassed for it, as my contribution was very minor back then. Wouldn't complain if it was taken out now.

I have done my fair share of contributions to various parts of the Linux stack, don't worry. As a FOSS contributor you should commit to some responsibility for your work. At least try not to waste other people's time gratuitously. Or else don't complain, when they say Linux is only free when your time has no value.

Anyway, don't take it too personal. That was a scream of frustration. Upp targets primarily mISV. Now if we can't manage to deploy upp itself, how is there any hope at all, developers will be able to deploy their apps with it? What is the point of a Linux port then, other as a toy for college kids? This lame ass attitude is why Linux is stuck at 1% solid.

Sorry pal

Well, ok

I'm a bit nervous too... too much work (real life one, and it's not programming).

BTW, I'm contributing too to linux, well, better said to wine development, with some (very) small patches. I do it because I like it and because I see some usefulness on it, we got autocad working on wine and that one IS useful for my job too

BTW, back to upp... I'm involved on it because I like it and I do in my spare time, which is becoming very very scarce, lately.

So, for me it's impossible to build other than 64 bit ubuntu and, thanx to bytefield, we've got 32 bit ubuntu maintained too, and to others for windows daily builds.

That said, my build scripts, which contains all dependencies, are on svn. If you have a solution about dependencies on Lenny, you can patch the script for it and we can put on svn too.

Maybe somebody will take care of daily Lenny builds.

Max

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 16:58:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Thu, 24 July 2008 11:52

Anyway, don't take it too personal. That was a scream of frustration. Upp targets primarily mISV. Now if we can't manage to deploy upp itself, how is there any hope at all, developers will be able to deploy their apps with it?

Well, maybe developers can do the same thing - target the same 80% user base as us. In fact, Ubunutu seems to be the exact kind of relief here you are calling for.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 17:00:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(P.S.: The first little flame-war. Is this a sign that the project is maturing?

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [cocob](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 17:59:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ubuntu hoary ?? it must be hardy no ?

I understand why we don't have svnbuilds for debian but for a final release it would be great !

I agree with 80 % user on ubuntu against debian but i think most developpers (or old users of linux) are on debian.

Personnaly i love UPP and i want promote it around me bu it is very difficult to show seriousness of a open-source project which debian package can't be installed on current debian testing.

Thanks for the release i will test it tonight !

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Thu, 24 Jul 2008 19:11:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The blame should have gone to the people, who make it so hard for you to provide a widely working package, in the first place.

Sorry again! This should be a day to celebrate

After eight years of Linux use, it is getting hard to tolerate these things any longer. People like me are starting to give up and go Mac for their desktop needs. Many have left already.

I'm flirting with Solaris, so as not having to leave my comfort zone completely. But fear it is too focused on the big enterprisy stuff, to become usefull as a regular desktop.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 25 Jul 2008 07:12:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I guess that while not easy, it currently IS possible to provide comercial closed-source apps:

http://www.zero-bugs.com/2.0/free_download.html

I am putting this example also because perhaps we can learn something from its packaging (essentially, we have similar requirements).

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 00:09:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

All Debian users please test upp_2008.1_i386.deb from Releases. I expect some feedback if there are U++ Debian users. . Ubuntu users please test this package too to see if it work on your system (it work on mine), preferably a fresh system, without upp previously installed.

Now some explanation about the package...

Logically, if we have installed g++-4.1 it needs libstdc++6-4.1-dev (g++-4.1 dependencies say that) and if libstdc++6-dev (no matter which version 6-*) is installed, Utlimate++ can be used to develop software. I've modified control file from *.deb package to have as dependencies libstdc++6-4.1-dev which however get installed with g++-4.1 which is for moment the main compiler used by upp under Linux. If feedback is positive, we would use the same package for Debian and Ubuntu without any problem.

Edit: link update

Andrei

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 09:39:07 GMT

BTW, I do not want to be to picky and certainly it is OK for this release, BUT should not we install into /usr/local in the future?

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:06:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you for your effort!

Works fine as far as I can tell.

I'll use this post to file a bug against upp:

There is a layout glitch in build methods. See attachment.

Also, edit fields height is too large for font size. See the white stripe padded with background color. Is that intentional?

File Attachments

1) [layout-glitch.png](#), downloaded 560 times

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:24:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 11:39BTW, I do not want to be to picky and certainly it is OK for this release, BUT should not we install into /usr/local in the future?

Mirek

No, it is not allowed for .deb packages. BSD installs non-core packages there. Not Debian/Ubuntu or any Linux I know.

/usr/local is reserved for manual installs (from source), like when you need python2.2 and it is no longer in the repository.

Normally in Unix /opt is meant for third-party application packages. It is the closest to Windows' Program Files.

Solaris does it that way, SuSE/Novell to some extend AFAIK. But Debian ignores its existence. Stuff installed there won't even show up in the menu by default. I don't know if Ubuntu is different, suspect not.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:42:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 13:06Thank you for your effort!
Works fine as far as I can tell.

I'll use this post to file a bug against upp:

There is a layout glitch in build methods. See attachment.

Also, edit fields height is too large for font size. See the white stripe padded with background color. Is that intentional?

Which theme do you use? I see 12 different themes on Debian Lenny... and i've tried with some of them and cannot reproduce this bug.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 10:44:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 06:24luzr wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 11:39BTW, I do not want to be to picky and certainly it is OK for this release, BUT should not we install into /usr/local in the future?

Mirek

No, it is not allowed for .deb packages. BSD installs non-core packages there. Not Debian/Ubuntu or any Linux I know.

Well, zero debugger .deb I was introducing here dose exactly this: installs in /usr/local.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 11:02:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe this help to clarify where should be installed upp:

/usr/bin

/usr/local

We have to install in /usr/local just when we want that an update to not change our version of software and usually in /usr/local software are installed manually as guido said.

We should follow the line of all other software (like gimp, gftp, nmap, etc.) which get installed in /usr/bin. I think is better stay on current installation path.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 11:33:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:...if we have installed g++-4.1 it needs libstdc++6-4.1-dev (g++-4.1 dependencies say that) and if libstdc++6-dev (no matter which version 6-*) is installed, Utlimate++ can be used to develop software...

I've updated linux_scripts from svn to use libstdc++6-4.1-dev.

As I said in a message, I've tried U++ on Ubuntu 8.10 (next release, still Alpha), i hope this fix also U8.10 problem, which was caused by merge of some packages from Debian in Ubuntu.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [guido](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 16:03:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bytefield wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 12:42Which theme do you use? I see 12 different themes on Debian Lenny... and i've tried with some of them and cannot reproduce this bug.

xfce theme engine. No problem for me, I'm not married to it, don't even use xfce as such. But xfce is still quite popular.

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 17:27:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

guido wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 06:06Thank you for your effort!

Works fine as far as I can tell.

I'll use this post to file a bug against upp:

There is a layout glitch in build methods. See attachment.

Also, edit fields height is too large for font size. See the white stripe padded with background color. Is that intentional?

This rather looks like the layout is compressed (too small screen).

Build methods dialog is rather big. Can this be a problem?

Mirek

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 17:30:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've tried Upp under Debian Lenny with xfce with various themes but i couldn't get your output, indeed there are some glitches but with border of widgets not with layout positioning. I'm attaching 2 screenshots.

File Attachments

1) [Screenshot.png](#), downloaded 601 times

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Sat, 26 Jul 2008 17:32:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

File Attachments

1) [Screenshot-1.png](#), downloaded 623 times

Subject: Re: Final release: thanks

Posted by [trackom](#) on Fri, 26 Mar 2010 18:27:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks a lot for your contribution. I tried to install some U++ releases on debian Lenny and xfce desktop but the ide always crashed showing:

(<unknown>:2926): GLib-GObject-CRITICAL **: g_object_ref: assertion `G_IS_OBJECT (object)' failed

libpng error: Error reading input file!

terminate called after throwing an instance of 'Upp::JpegErrorException'

Solving dependencies, the package you made is working.

Thanks again
