Subject: Choosing svn configuration

Posted by mirek on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 09:54:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Which one is the best for us??

http://svnbook.red-bean.com/en/1.4/svn.serverconfig.choosing .html

Subject: Re: Choosing svn configuration

Posted by masu on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 11:05:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Do you want to maintain another SVN repo in parallel to the SF one, why?

I vote for Apache configuration using WebDAV, because this is the most flexible solution IMHO. We have it running (but without using SSL) and the configuration was not complicated at all. We also use restricted repo access through authentication and WebSVN that enables repo browsing.

Matthias

Subject: Re: Choosing svn configuration

Posted by mirek on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 12:33:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hm, WebDAV was my first impression too.

OTOH, more I am thinking about it, the basic "svnserve" (without SSH) seems to be the most attractive option for me now.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Choosing svn configuration

Posted by masu on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 13:11:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Maybe it is a good approach to first setup the simpler svn server and afterwards, if need arises, enable access via WebDAV.

But does this topic mean that you want to use the SVN repo as a replacement to UVS repo? That would mean your quick fixes and improvements would only be available there at first until someone (I think Max) synchronizes it with SF repo?

Why don't you want to use the trunk path or another additional path within the SF repo?

## Matthias

Subject: Re: Choosing svn configuration

Posted by mirek on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 13:37:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

masu wrote on Fri, 08 August 2008 09:11Maybe it is a good approach to first setup the simpler svn server and afterwards, if need arises, enable access via WebDAV.

But does this topic mean that you want to use the SVN repo as a replacement to UVS repo?

Yes. Finally getting there.

## Quote:

That would mean your quick fixes and improvements would only be available there at first until someone (I think Max) synchronizes it with SF repo?

I plan to use use SF repo as an automatic read-only mirror (using synsync).

## Quote:

Why don't you want to use the trunk path or another additional path within the SF repo?

Basically, because I want per-user per-path access rights. Cannot do it on SF.

Mirek