
Subject: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Sat, 21 Mar 2009 04:10:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One of the first real issues I discovered in U++ that hasn't been fixed yet was the fact that you can't specify the position of an access key: "Zoom &Out" will highlight the first "o" in the text, not the third one.

Here is a possible fix for it. Not exactly the way I wanted it, but I did it this way so that only controls who specify a manual access key get affected by modified code and I also wanted to maintain backward compatibility.

Here is the general mechanism to implement access key positioning:

File Attachments

- 1) [LabelBase.h](#), downloaded 515 times
 - 2) [LabelBase.cpp](#), downloaded 466 times
 - 3) [Draw.h](#), downloaded 471 times
 - 4) [DrawTextUtil.cpp](#), downloaded 433 times
-

Subject: Re: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Sat, 21 Mar 2009 04:12:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...and a sample use of the code for Button:

File Attachments

- 1) [PushCtrl.h](#), downloaded 502 times
 - 2) [Button.cpp](#), downloaded 477 times
-

Subject: Re: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 22 Mar 2009 10:12:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, that is reasonable, and initially I have used it, but later I was thinking and rather changed the code to use 'int' instead of 'byte' for accesskey and encode position in high-word. IMO it is more simple for adapting existing code and even to use.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 22 Mar 2009 10:27:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, should be fixed across the board....

One note:

In Zoom Out, 'O' would take precedence over 'o' now...

(But maybe some more coding would be nice, as during the 'distribution' 'o' in preceding item would spoil the fun. The correct would perhaps be to make two passes - one assigning upper letters, second lower for rest).

Mirek

Subject: Re: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Sun, 22 Mar 2009 11:26:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well this works also. I didn't want to change the meaning of the field. I planned this as intermediate stage towards having internationalized access keys, where I would extend also to an int storage. No use getting national keyboard if you can't use it to select items in menus . If you stick other information in that int, it may make things harder. But 32 bits should be enough!

Tested and works fine. It's nice that there isn't a need to modify every widget to enable correct behavior.

cbpporter Issue #003: Accelerator keys are not properly aligned
Fixed

On to the next issue...

Subject: Re: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 22 Mar 2009 19:54:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cbpporter wrote on Sun, 22 March 2009 07:26
Tested and works fine. It's nice that there isn't a need to modify every widget to enable correct behavior.

Actually, there was. Many had 'byte' field - that has to be changed to 'int'. Still easier than adding another field..

Mirek

Subject: Re: Issue with access keys positions
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 22 Mar 2009 19:55:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cbpporter wrote on Sun, 22 March 2009 07:26

cbpporter Issue #003: Accelerator keys are not properly aligned
Fixed

On to the next issue...

P.S.: I see another issue lurking in TreeCtrl forum, I am not just capable today dealing with it

Mirek
