
Subject: Idea...

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 26 Jun 2009 11:33:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Writing SQL tutorial, I have got an idea.

I have to admit I have problems writing those explanation texts - partly because of my english literacy, partly because it is sometimes harder for me to sort out things that require explanation in deeper detail.

So I have got an idea: what about if I would only provide source code examples and maybe provisional section titles and somebody else would fill the explanation?

(for hints how the result should look like, try
[http://www.ultimatepp.org/srcdoc\\$Sql\\$tutorial\\$en-us.html](http://www.ultimatepp.org/srcdocSqltutorial$en-us.html))

Also, related:

If you have any suggestion about extending existing tutorials, let me know...

Mirek

Subject: Re: Idea...

Posted by [koldo](#) on Mon, 29 Jun 2009 06:36:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Mirek

- One thing I would like is to have a documentation full copy in one file. It would be easy to handle and print.

- Other thing we have been talking about is to have a clear "Contents". Through "Contents" it is:

1. Easier to look for info
2. We can include also subjects to be included, so it would be easier for new documentators to choose in which area the can help

- Mirek, Please do a "Contents" with areas now documented and not documented. After that ask the people to choose what they want to document. We have there a good "Contents" sample in <http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=21689&>.

Just move it on

Best regards

Koldo

Subject: Re: Idea...

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 29 Jun 2009 07:10:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

koldo wrote on Mon, 29 June 2009 02:36Hello Mirek

- One thing I would like is to have a documentation full copy in one file. It would be easy to handle and print.

- Other thing we have been talking about is to have a clear "Contents". Through "Contents" it is:

1. Easier to look for info

2. We can include also subjects to be included, so it would be easier for new documentators to choose in which area the can help

- Mirek, Please do a "Contents" with areas now documented and not documented. After that ask the people to choose what they want to document. We have there a good "Contents" sample in <http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=21689>.

Just move it on

Best regards

Koldo

Well, just tell where it does fit... I mean, I can imagine the content on website.

It is however maybe a little bit more problematic as part of help system: There is still an idea that documentation is modular, therefore each package has its docs and packages can be added / removed as needed...

Mirek

Subject: Re: Idea...

Posted by [koldo](#) on Mon, 29 Jun 2009 08:08:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Mon, 29 June 2009 09:10koldo wrote on Mon, 29 June 2009 02:36Hello Mirek

- One thing I would like is to have a documentation full copy in one file. It would be easy to handle and print.

- Other thing we have been talking about is to have a clear "Contents". Through "Contents" it is:

1. Easier to look for info

2. We can include also subjects to be included, so it would be easier for new documentators to choose in which area the can help

- Mirek, Please do a "Contents" with areas now documented and not documented. After that ask the people to choose what they want to document. We have there a good "Contents" sample in

<http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=21689&>.

Just move it on

Best regards
Koldo

Well, just tell where it does fit... I mean, I can imagine the content on website.

It is however maybe a little bit more problematic as part of help system: There is still an idea that documentation is modular, therefore each package has its docs and packages can be added / removed as needed...

Mirek

Hello Mirek

Using the gprentice "Contents" proposal in <http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=21689&>. you can define the main structure and fill it with the existing help contents and a simple empty screen with a "To Be Documented" in the chapters not documented yet.

Then you can ask people to fill those gaps or to add new chapters that fit in the main "Contents" structure. Bazaar documents could fit in an "Appendix" section at the end.

And it could be great the possibility to hardcopy all in a single document that can be copied and printed as a whole. You can publish it and earn a bunch of money!

Best regards
Koldo

Subject: Re: Idea...
Posted by [gprentice](#) on Mon, 29 Jun 2009 11:42:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm still interested in this issue too but I'm struggling to find time so far.

My feeling is that U++ needs a conventional help system orthogonal to the existing help system and I was hoping to think of a way of sharing the doc pages between each. As I've probably said more than once, the information you need to get started with U++ is hard to find and I think a quick start section that explains the basics of the IDE, setting up a compiler (what compilers are supported?) and building a simple application would help. Plus a help button for the "set main package dialog" ...

I suspect the reference topics could be linked to a second help system automatically but the "documentation" topics would need manual linking to the second help system. Also, it would be

nice if the help system could have the attractive appearance that pspad help has
<http://www.pspad.com/en/helpfiles.htm>
plus "history", user bookmarks, better searching...

Graeme

Subject: Re: Idea...

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 29 Jun 2009 17:38:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gprentice wrote on Mon, 29 June 2009 07:42I'm still interested in this issue too but I'm struggling to find time so far.

My feeling is that U++ needs a conventional help system orthogonal to the existing help system and I was hoping to think of a way of sharing the doc pages between each. As I've probably said more than once, the information you need to get started with U++ is hard to find and I think a quick start section that explains the basics of theIDE, setting up a compiler (what compilers are supported?) and building a simple application would help. Plus a help button for the "set main package dialog" ...

I suspect the reference topics could be linked to a second help system automatically but the "documentation" topics would need manual linking to the second help system. Also, it would be nice if the help system could have the attractive appearance that pspad help has
<http://www.pspad.com/en/helpfiles.htm>
plus "history", user bookmarks, better searching...

Graeme

I think there is little needed to achieve that.

I guess, having such manual on www is as good as anything else (but we can easily provide PDF export too).

All it takes is somebody brave starting the content page in uppweb...

Mirek

Subject: Re: Idea...

Posted by [pveach1](#) on Sat, 08 Aug 2009 00:49:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi All,
If this topic isn't dead I'd like to add my 2 cents.

I prefer CHM compiled help files and PDF files. In that order.
With a chm file you can have the help open on one monitor while the IDE is open on another.
Second, you can have more than one CHM file open at a time, with PDFs they open in the same App.

Lastly, as regards writing, the problem is that the subject is so abstract that it makes it hard not to wander about a bit. One solution might be to take a specific piece of code (starting with the most commonly used scenario) and explain what is going on in that code. Once that is done, then cover a more advanced or less common usage. The specific code fragment keeps you focused.

cheers Mirek et al
