

---

Subject: sf.net tracker  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 04 Aug 2009 16:09:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This was discussed several times and I think it is a good idea to start using something to track issues. Let us try sf.net first:

<https://sourceforge.net/tracker/index.php>

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: sf.net tracker  
Posted by [kodos](#) on Tue, 04 Aug 2009 19:51:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ah finally, I think this is a good idea.

btw. here is the correct link: [http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?atid=606223&group\\_id=939](http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?atid=606223&group_id=939) 70

edit:

I think that link should be added to the link bar on this homepage, otherwise nobody will find it

---

---

Subject: Re: sf.net tracker  
Posted by [tojocky](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2009 06:07:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

kodos wrote on Tue, 04 August 2009 22:51Ah finally, I think this is a good idea.

btw. here is the correct link: [http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?atid=606223&group\\_id=939](http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?atid=606223&group_id=939) 70

edit:

I think that link should be added to the link bar on this homepage, otherwise nobody will find it

Nice, then we can try this?  
But what about google code?

---

---

Subject: Re: sf.net tracker  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2009 06:19:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

tojocky wrote on Wed, 05 August 2009 02:07  
Nice, then we can try this?  
But what about google code?

I believe that sf.net version is more feature rich.

I think there is nothing bad using both sites (googlecode and sf.net) and just use what is better.

googlecode seems superior for svn mirror (AFAIK, sf.net cannot be used that way) and automated builds. sf.net for stable builds and now tracker.

Mirek

---