
Subject: There were competitors in the name of "U++"
Posted by [sergeynikitin](#) on Wed, 16 Sep 2009 04:56:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

During the search for alternative sources of information for U + +,
I stumbled on this page here:
<http://packages.ubuntu.com/en/hardy/devel/u++>

No comments, just an unpleasant fact.

Subject: Re: There were competitors in the name of "U++"
Posted by [sergeynikitin](#) on Wed, 16 Sep 2009 05:01:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another link
The U++ Consortium

Subject: Re: There were competitors in the name of "U++"
Posted by [koldo](#) on Wed, 16 Sep 2009 07:29:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Sergey

Both are very unpleasant.

It is curious that in the second case they say:

Quote:It was decided ... to change the name of the UNL Consortium into U++ Consortium in order to avoid name or brand conflicts with other institutions that already use this acronym

I think that it is impossible to have an acronym so unique that no company or organization in the world uses it. I know cases of it for very big companies with thousands of workers.

For example, there are two companies with Gamesa name:

- Wind Mill manufacturer, staff 7200, <http://www.gamesacorp.com/en>
- Cookies manufacturer, <http://www.gamesa.com>

Best regards
Koldo
