Subject: Upp::Sort(begin, end, less) does not compile under MSC8 when less is a plain C function

Posted by Didier on Sat, 16 Oct 2010 10:35:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi all,

I stumbled accross an unexpected compile error that appears only when compiling with MSC8: no problem with GCC.

There is an easy workaround using a functor instead of a plain C compare function.

Is it an MSC8 limitation or a GCC added feature ?!?

```
Here is a sample code:
#include <Core/Core.h>
using namespace Upp;
typedef Pointf XY;
bool CompareXY_x(XY a, XY b)
return (a.x < b.x);
struct MyLessFunctor {
bool operator () (const XY& a, const XY& b) const
 return CompareXY_x(a, b);
};
CONSOLE APP MAIN
typedef Vector<XY> PointsType;
PointsType points;
points \ll XY(1,2) \ll XY(2,2) \ll XY(3,2) \ll XY(4,2) \ll XY(6,2);
Sort(points, CompareXY x); // Compile on GCC only
Sort(points, MyLessFunctor());// compiles on GCC and MSC8
```

Subject: Re: Upp::Sort(begin, end, less) does not compile under MSC8 when less is a plain C function

Posted by Didier on Sat, 16 Oct 2010 11:02:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Besides this compile issue (which is not really an issue I think).

There is another problem: the sort algorithm is not completely exact:

```
while((count = (int)(end - begin)) > __SORT_THRESHOLD) {
```

The only test that is valid to do on iterators is: iter1 != iter2 (and of course iter1 == iter2)

The operation 'end - begin' on iterators is not generic: it may work for the Upp containers, but with others?

Subject: Re: Upp::Sort(begin, end, less) does not compile under MSC8 when less is a plain C function

Posted by mirek on Sat, 16 Oct 2010 11:12:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Didier wrote on Sat, 16 October 2010 07:02 The only test that is valid to do on iterators is: iter1 != iter2 (and of course iter1 == iter2)

Incorrect.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Upp::Sort(begin, end, less) does not compile under MSC8 when less is a plain C function

Posted by Didier on Sat, 16 Oct 2010 13:13:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OK

I'm gonna refresh my knowledge

Dags 2 of 2 Conserted from III. Forum