Subject: RM: "Patch ready"
Posted by mirek on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 06:04:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have added a new status to the Redmine intended for patch submission... (Ready for QA should be really reserved for the final QA).

Mirek

Subject: Re: RM: "Patch ready"
Posted by Sender Ghost on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 09:37:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello, Mirek.

I would like to clarify this topic.

Now, if developer create a patch and submit it for the issue, (s)he need to select "Patch ready" status, right?
In what situations, after this, the status of the issue can be selected for "Ready for QA" and later for "Approved"/"Rejected"? And who really must select this after (e.g. issue starter, developer who did quality analysis and/or applied the patch to repository)?

Subject: Re: RM: "Patch ready"
Posted by mirek on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 19:51:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sender Ghost wrote on Fri, 07 October 2011 05:37Hello, Mirek.

I would like to clarify this topic.

Now, if developer create a patch and submit it for the issue, (s)he need to select "Patch ready" status, right?
In what situations, after this, the status of the issue can be selected for "Ready for QA" and later for "Approved"/"Rejected"? And who really must select this after (e.g. issue starter, developer who did quality analysis and/or applied the patch to repository)?

Ideal sequence:

Well, there is issue. Person A finds it and posts (directly or inderectly) to RM.

Person B, without direct write priviledges to update uppsrc fixes it. Posts a patch to the very same RM issue as "Patch ready"

Person C (one from "cxl", "trc" or "unodgs") checks the patch, applies it to uppsrc, commits svn,

changes to "Ready for QA" and assigns the task back to A to check it solved the problem.

Does it makes sense? My problem was that "Ready for QA" was the status for QA person, preferably the one that has submitted the issue....

Surely, in practice, I will make it "Approved" after applying the patch quite often, but that is another issue (like missing QA ?

Mirek

Subject: Re: RM: "Patch ready"
Posted by Sender Ghost on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 21:29:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mirek wrote on Fri, 07 October 2011 21:51
Does it makes sense?

Yes, it makes sense.
Paraphrasing, "Ready for QA" is responsibility of A, if C wants to check quality of applied patch(es) from A point of view. Further, A changes issue status to "Approved" or writes comments about new issue(s). The "Ready for QA" issue status is not mandatory.

Thanks for answering.
