

---

Subject: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?

Posted by [skan](#) on Sat, 16 Feb 2013 18:46:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello

How does Ultimate++ compare to WTL?

(longer code, easier to learn, faster...)

(I know that U++ has it's own development GUI)

I know that these ones aim to develop games, but what if one just wants to create an intereractive menu or the minesweeper game?

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?

Posted by [nlneilson](#) on Sat, 16 Feb 2013 20:26:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Try all of them.

As you get more experienced at programming the advantages of using U++ can be appreciated.

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?

Posted by [lectus](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2013 22:29:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

U++ is more feature rich than other frameworks.

It contains GUI, SQL, Sockets, Web Framework, etc.

SDL and Ogre3D are graphics/game libraries. You can't really compare them to U++.

You can use U++ core containers and classes with SDL just fine.

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?

Posted by [skan](#) on Thu, 21 Feb 2013 00:27:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

thanks

I would like to mix CUDA (probably using Visual Studio) and I would like to also create a GUI (and Ultimate++ looks nice) but I don't know if I can mix it all properly or if is better to create separate

---

dlls...

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2013 08:05:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Skan

U++ do not encapsulate the libs in DLLs. You just deploy a .EXE that simply runs.

Anyway you can call DLLs from U++ or create U++ DLLs that can be called from another programs.

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?  
Posted by [skan](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2013 11:40:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I don't understand if "it doesn't encapsulate the libs in DLLs" how do "you create U++ DLLs that can be called from another programs"?

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?  
Posted by [dolik.rce](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2013 13:26:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

skan wrote on Fri, 22 February 2013 12:40 I don't understand if "it doesn't encapsulate the libs in DLLs" how do "you create U++ DLLs that can be called from another programs"?

The first statement means that everything is compiled into single binary file (usually executable), which can be used without any further DLL dependencies. But it is also possible to compile it into DLL, instead of exe, and use that in other applications.

Honza

---

---

Subject: Re: Ultimate++ vs WTL and others?  
Posted by [skan](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2013 13:35:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK, thanks.

I will look for information on how to use a dll from another application, I-m just starting with all this.

regards

---