
Subject: ArrayCtrl.AsQtF bug?

Posted by [forlano](#) on Sun, 01 Sep 2013 20:57:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

today I experienced a very strange problem in my application.

This is how it looks a qtf file on a windows 7 machine with resolution (around) 1300x768:

and this is how it should look (got on a XP machine) 1024x768 resolution

Both images are produced by the same executables with the same data.

What make the difference is the following string that defines the table in the qtf file:

in the first picture it is

```
{{74:425:75:424:75:150:0:100:0@L
```

in the second one (that correct) it is

```
{{38:220:38:220:38:78:0:52:0@L
```

Both qtf table are generated automatically by

```
arrp.AsQtF();
```

method that I use to get for free a qtf table from a populated ArrayCtrl (just I add a header and footer to it).

From what I can see it seems the method AsQtF() is resolution dependent. Is it true?

If yes the proportion are not correct, at least at that I have used. My Upp version is the old 4603.

Only now I (believe to) have understood where the issue is. Tomorrow I'll try to modify the resolution on the windows 7 machine to test my hypothesis and let you know.

Thanks,

Luigi

File Attachments

1) [v1.png](#), downloaded 588 times

2) [v2.png](#), downloaded 589 times

Subject: Re: ArrayCtrl.AsQtF bug?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 02 Sep 2013 12:16:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, it is sort of resolution dependent, but only in sense that values are pixel widths of ArrayCtrl column. As those are merely ratios, it should not matter. I would be however concerned about those "0" in there...

All that said, AsQtF is just for simple cases...

Mirek

Subject: Re: ArrayCtrl.AsQtF bug?

Posted by [forlano](#) on Mon, 02 Sep 2013 14:41:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Confirmed on the same machine!

On 1366x728 the document looks bad formatted, while it is ok at 1024x728.

mirek wrote on Mon, 02 September 2013 14:16 I would be however concerned about those "0" in there...

Mirek

Do you mean the :0: in {74:425:75:424:75:150:0:100:0@L ? It is because a column is hided.

Quote:

All that said, AsQtF is just for simple cases...

No problem. I can reproduce myself that document. Just to let you know about this strange (for me) case.

Thanks,
Luigi
