Subject: Can we consider Regex "under development"?
Posted by fudadmin on Mon, 01 May 2006 17:45:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Can we consider Regex "under development"?

Subject: Re: Can we consider Regex "under development"?
Posted by mirek on Mon, 01 May 2006 20:58:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Planed?...

Mirek

Subject: Re: Can we consider Regex "under development"?
Posted by fudadmin on Tue, 02 May 2006 00:17:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luzr wrote on Mon, 01 May 2006 21:58Planed?...

Mirek

If it's not rejected then it can stay here - maybe it will attract joining developers interests?

Subject: Re: Can we consider Regex "under development"?
Posted by mirek on Tue, 02 May 2006 15:53:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

fudadmin wrote on Mon, 01 May 2006 20:17luzr wrote on Mon, 01 May 2006 21:58Planed?...

Mirek

If it's not rejected then it can stay here - maybe it will attract joining developers interests?

Actually, it is highly needed:

- we need to identify the right library (or decide to develop own)

- implement regex package working on Streams - or discuss on what it should work. However, at the moment, I favor Streams with encoding as regex parameter, with utf-8 possible. That will allow using regex for String as well.

Sure, template approach is also possible, but I am a bit afraid of possible bloat.

As this issue has loose dependencies with the rest of U++, i guess somebody should take over it...

Mirek